

Research

Use of targeted SMS messaging to encourage COVID-19 oral antiviral uptake in south-west Victoria

Naomi E Clarke^{a,d}, Jessica O'Keeffe^{a,b}, Arvind Yerramilli^{a,b}, Caroline Bartolo^{a,b}, Nomvuyo Mothobi^{a,b}, Michael Muleme^a, Bridgette J McNamara^a, Daniel P O'Brien^{a,b}, Eugene Athan^{a,b,c} and Mohammad Akhtar Hussain^a

- ^a Barwon South West Public Health Unit, Geelong, Victoria, Australia
- ^b Department of Infectious Diseases, Barwon Health, Geelong, Victoria, Australia
- $^\circ$ School of Medicine, Deakin University, Geelong, Victoria, Australia
- ^d Corresponding author: naomi.clarke@barwonhealth.org.au

Article history

Publication date: 28 September 2023 Citation: Clarke NE, O'Keeffe J, Yerramilli A, Bartolo C, Mothobi N, Muleme M, McNamara BJ, O'Brien DP, Athan E, Hussain MA. Use of targeted SMS messaging to encourage COVID-19 oral antiviral uptake in south-west Victoria. Public Health Res Pract. 2023; Online early publication. https://doi.org/10.17061/ phrp33342309

Key points

- There is limited understanding of the barriers and facilitators to accessing coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) oral antiviral agents in Australia
- Antiviral uptake was high in south-west Victoria during winter 2022, particularly among those aged 70 years and older
- Primary reasons for people not obtaining antivirals included that they were feeling well and self-assessed as ineligible.
 A small proportion of people reported challenges accessing medical care and medication

Abstract

Objectives: During winter 2022, as part of a multifaceted approach to optimise oral antiviral uptake in the Barwon South West region in Victoria, Australia, the Barwon South West Public Health Unit (BSWPHU) implemented an innovative, targeted SMS messaging program that encouraged people with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) to be assessed for antiviral treatment. In this study, we investigated patterns of antiviral uptake, identified barriers and facilitators to accessing antivirals, and examined the potential impact of targeted SMS messaging on oral antiviral uptake.

Methods: We conducted a cross-sectional study of notified COVID-19 cases aged 50 years and older, and Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people aged 30-49 years, in the BSWPHU catchment area over a 6-week period commencing 21 July 2022. We analysed survey data using descriptive statistics and generalised linear models.

Results: Of the 3829 survey respondents, 36.7% (95% confidence interval (CI) 35.2, 38.2) reported being prescribed oral antivirals, with 75.4% (95% CI 72.8, 77.9) of these aged \geq 70. Antiviral prescriptions increased significantly over the 6-week survey period. Most prescriptions (87.5%; 95% CI 85.7, 89.2) were provided by the respondents' usual general practitioners (GPs). Barriers to receiving antivirals included respondents being unable to get a medical appointment in time (3.7%; 95% CI 3.1, 4.2), testing too late in their illness (2.3%; 95% CI 1.8, 2.8) and being unable to access medications in time after receiving a prescription (0.2%; 95% CI 0.1, 0.6). Facilitators to receiving antivirals included respondents first hearing about antivirals from a trusted source such as a family member, friend or usual doctor. Nearly one

Key points (continued)

• Targeted SMS messaging to notified cases is simple, low cost and effective for increasing awareness of antiviral treatments

in eight people who were prescribed antivirals reported first hearing about them from the SMS message sent by BSWPHU.

Conclusions: Oral antiviral treatment uptake in south-west Victoria in July– August 2022 was high among survey respondents and increased over time. GPs were the key prescribers in the community. Targeted SMS messaging to COVID-19 cases is a simple, low-cost intervention that potentially increases antiviral uptake.

Introduction

Developing biomedical interventions to reduce transmission and improve patient outcomes for coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) has been a key priority since the disease's emergence in 2020. Following the publication of efficacy and safety data for two oral antiviral agents, molnupiravir (Lagevrio®; Merck Sharp & Dohme Australia) and a combination of nirmatrelvir and ritonavir (Paxlovid®; Pfizer Australia)^{1,2}, Australia's Therapeutic Goods Administration granted provisional approval for both agents on 18 January 2022.³ Lagevrio and Paxlovid were then listed on Australia's subsidised medication program, the Pharmaceutical Benefits Scheme (PBS), on 1 March and 1 May 2022, respectively.^{4,5} Eligibility criteria gradually expanded over time (Box 1).

Box 1: PBS eligibility for COVID-19 oral antivirals⁶

From 11 July 2022, individuals with mild to moderate COVID-19 were eligible for molnupiravir or nirmatrelvir and ritonavir via the PBS if they were either:

a) aged ≥70

b) aged 50-69 with at least two risk factors for severe disease

c) Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people aged 30-49 with at least two risk factors for severe disease

d) moderately to severely immunocompromised and aged ≥ 18 .

Treatment was to be initiated within 5 days of symptom onset, or if asymptomatic, as soon as possible following diagnosis. For asymptomatic people, only those aged ≥70 were eligible for oral antivirals.

Australia experienced a significant surge in severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) infections between June and August 2022, driven by the Omicron BA.4 and BA.5 sublineages.⁷ Given the high case numbers and expanded eligibility criteria, many people were eligible for oral antivirals during this time. The Barwon South West Public Health Unit (BSWPHU) in Victoria, Australia, implemented a multipronged approach throughout 2022 to improve awareness and uptake of antivirals, which included the following key components:

- 1. Facilitating clinician education across primary and tertiary health sectors
- Ensuring appropriate referral pathways were available, which included collaborating with government-funded GP Respiratory Clinics (GPRCs), working with COVID Positive Pathways teams (who provided targeted care and support for individuals with COVID-19 in Victoria)⁸, and providing a specialist referral service
- Working closely with high-risk settings such as residential care facilities to ensure appropriate antiviral prescription
- Developing a public communications campaign, including messaging to priority groups. As well as broad community messaging, in May 2022 we implemented an innovative, targeted messaging program. This involved sending an SMS message to newly notified COVID-19 cases in the Barwon South West (BSW) region who were potentially eligible for oral

antivirals, prompting them to be assessed for treatment. SMS messaging is a simple, low-cost communication method that is increasingly being used to deliver health interventions and promote healthy behaviours.9 This reflects the burgeoning field of mobile health (mHealth), defined by the World Health Organization as "medical and public health practice supported by mobile devices".¹⁰ Meta-analyses have shown that SMS messaging has positive effects on health behaviours such as medical appointment attendance, medication adherence and the adoption of healthy lifestyle choices^{9,11,12}. In recent years, SMS messaging has also successfully been used to increase uptake of COVID-19 vaccinations.^{13,14} We did not identify any previous analyses of targeted SMS messaging about COVID-19 antiviral medications.

Barriers to receiving oral antivirals that have been reported in other countries include a lack of public awareness, insufficient clinician education, limited pathways for clinical evaluation before treatment, and logistical challenges in medication dispensing.¹⁵ However, there is limited understanding of the Australian community's awareness, perception and uptake of oral antivirals.

We report the results of a survey conducted to inform local efforts to improve antiviral uptake. The specific objectives of the survey were to:

- a) Investigate patterns of oral antiviral prescription in south-west Victoria following the expansion of eligibility criteria on 11 July 2022
- b) Understand potential barriers and facilitators to accessing oral antivirals
- c) Examine the potential impact of targeted SMS messaging on oral antiviral uptake.

Methods

Setting

The BSWPHU catchment area (population approximately 464 000) encompasses 10 local government areas (LGAs) in south-west Victoria, Australia, and includes Victoria's largest regional city, Geelong (Supplementary Figure S1, available from: doi.org/10.6084/ m9.figshare.24155514). The region experienced a significant surge in COVID-19 infections in July– August 2022, peaking at more than 1000 cases a day in mid-July (Supplementary Figure S2, available from: doi. org/10.6084/m9.figshare.24155514).

Targeted SMS messaging

From 25 May 2022, BSWPHU sent an SMS message to COVID-19 cases who were potentially eligible for oral antivirals based on their age and Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander status. The messaging was updated from 11 July 2022 to reflect the PBS eligibility criteria (Supplementary Text S1, available from: doi.org/10.6084/ m9.figshare.24155514).

The SMS message advised COVID-19 cases who were aged ≥70 of their eligibility for antivirals. Those aged 50–69, and Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people aged 30–49, were informed of their potential eligibility and referred to eligibility criteria published online.¹⁶ All cases were advised to contact their general practitioner (GP) or local GPRC.

Messages were sent daily, using the online platform SMS Broadcast, to all confirmed and probable COVID-19 cases in the above groups who had been notified to the Victorian Department of Health in the previous 24 hours and: a) had a postcode in the BSWPHU catchment; b) had an Australian mobile phone number; and c) were not a recent confirmed case, as defined in the *Quarantine Isolation and Testing Order* in place at the time.¹⁷ Cases were identified using the Transmission and Response Epidemiology Victoria (TREVi) database.

Project design and data collection

We conducted a cross-sectional survey of COVID-19 cases in the BSWPHU catchment. All cases who were sent an SMS message between 11 July and 21 August 2022 were sent a link to an anonymous online survey 10 days later. The survey collected basic demographic data (age group and postcode) and information about the respondents' experiences with oral antivirals, including where prescriptions were accessed, the type of antiviral prescribed and how long it took to access antivirals, or the reasons why they were not prescribed antivirals. The survey also asked where respondents first heard about oral antivirals for COVID-19.

This study received ethics approval from the Research Ethics, Governance and Integrity Unit at Barwon Health (QA/91034/VICBH-2022-338494).

Statistical analysis

We used descriptive statistics (proportions and 95% confidence intervals [CIs]) to examine responses overall and by age group. We compared proportions between age groups (≥70 versus <70) and between those who were and were not prescribed antivirals using two-sample Z tests for proportions. We used generalised linear models to examine the impact of age group, local government area (LGA) and survey week on the odds of being prescribed oral antivirals, and to examine changes over time. All analyses were completed using Stata software version 15.1 (College Station, Texas, US).

Results

Survey respondents

From 7010 survey invitations sent over the survey period (11 July–21 August 2022), we received 3829 responses (54.6% response rate). Most respondents (70.4%) were aged 50–69, 28.2% were aged \geq 70, 0.9% were aged 30–49, and 0.6% did not specify their age group. Nearly two-thirds of respondents (60.6%) reported a home postcode within the City of Greater Geelong, 7.1% within Surf Coast Shire and 6.8% within City of Warrnambool. There were less than 5% of respondents with home postcodes within each of the remaining LGAs.

Patterns of antiviral prescription

Of the survey responses received between 21 July and 31 August 2022, just over one-third (36.7%; 95% CI 35.2, 38.2) reported being prescribed oral antivirals. Prescription rates were much higher among respondents aged \geq 70 (75.4%; 95% CI 72.8, 77.9) than those aged <70 (21.1%; 95% CI 19.6, 22.6). The proportion of respondents that reported being prescribed antivirals increased over the survey period (Figure 1). Details of antiviral prescriptions by age group, LGA and survey week are shown in Supplementary Table S1, available from: doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.24155514.

As shown in Table 1, the odds of respondents having been prescribed oral antivirals were higher in survey weeks 4, 5 and 6 than in survey week 1. Compared with Greater Geelong, six LGAs had lower odds of antiviral prescription and two LGAs had higher odds; however, most of these results were not statistically significant.





Note: Vertical bars represent 95% confidence intervals.

Table 1. Impact of age, LGA and time on antiviral prescription (N = 3779)

Covariate	Adjusted odds ratio	95% CI	p value
Age group			
30-49 years ^a	0.69	0.26, 1.82	0.454
50–69 years	(ref)	(ref)	(ref)
≥70 years	11.71 9.86, 13.91		<0.001
Survey week (2022)			
Week 1 (21–27 July)	(ref)	(ref)	(ref)
Week 2 (28 July–3 August)	1.07	0.84, 1.36	0.592
Week 3 (4–10 August)	1.11	0.86, 1.44	0.417
Week 4 (11–17 August)	1.49	1.14, 1.95	0.003
Week 5 (18–24 August)	1.98	1.47, 2.67	<0.001
Week 6 (25–31 August)	2.36	1.71, 3.26	<0.001
Local government area			
Colac Otway Shire	0.66	0.45, 0.99	0.043
Corangamite Shire	0.81	0.46, 1.42	0.458
Glenelg Shire	0.63	0.39, 1.00	0.051
City of Greater Geelong	(ref)	(ref)	(ref)
Golden Plains Shire	0.74	0.50, 1.08	0.119
Moyne Shire	1.18	0.72, 1.90	0.533
Borough of Queenscliffe	1.44	0.85, 2.46	0.175
Southern Grampians Shire	1.02	0.67, 1.55	0.915
Surf Coast Shire	0.80	0.58, 1.09	0.159
City of Warrnambool	0.86	0.62, 1.17	0.330
Other (outside Barwon South West)	0.80	0.44, 1.46	0.478
Constant	0.23	0.18, 0.27	<0.001

CI = confidence interval; ref = reference group

^a Survey only included Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people for this age group.

Table 2 details which antivirals were prescribed, where prescriptions were accessed and if the five-day antiviral course was completed. GPs provided most prescriptions, specifically usual GP practices and GPRCs. There were no significant changes over time in the prescription source or types of antivirals prescribed (Supplementary Table S2, available from: doi.org/10.6084/ m9.figshare.24155514).

Access to medications after prescription

Most respondents accessed medication within 24 hours of receiving a prescription (87.3%; 95% CI 85.4, 88.9), 8.0% (95% CI 6.7, 9.5) accessed it within 24–48 hours, and 2.4% (95% CI 1.7, 3.4) did not access it until more than 48 hours after receiving a prescription. These proportions did not change significantly over the survey period. Three survey respondents (0.2% of those prescribed antivirals) reported that they were not able to access antivirals in time to start treatment.

Treatment completion

Most respondents (97.2%; 95% Cl 96.2, 97.9) reported that they completed antiviral treatment (Table 2). Among the 39 individuals who stopped treatment, 22 (1.6% of those prescribed antivirals) reported stopping due

to side effects, nine (0.6%) stopped because they felt better or believed treatment was no longer necessary, and two (0.1%) stopped due to the large number of tablets. The remaining six individuals did not provide a reason for stopping treatment. Of those respondents who stopped treatment due to side effects, 11 were prescribed molnupiravir (1.2% of respondents who were prescribed molnupiravir), nine were prescribed nirmatrelvir and ritonavir (2.6% of respondents who were prescribed nirmatrelvir and ritonavir), and two could not recall which antiviral they were prescribed. Reported side-effects included dysgeusia (four respondents), rash (two respondents), nausea, diarrhoea, headaches and dizziness (one respondent each).

Reasons for antivirals not being prescribed

Overall, the most commonly reported reasons for antivirals not being prescribed were the respondent not contacting a doctor due to feeling well, self-assessing as ineligible for antivirals, and being assessed by a doctor as ineligible, as shown in Table 3. Compared with respondents aged \geq 70, respondents aged <70 were significantly more likely to report feeling well, selfassessing as ineligible and being assessed as ineligible by their doctor (all *p* < 0.001). However, respondents aged \geq 70 were more likely to report getting tested for

Table 2. Antiviral prescription details overall and by age group

Proportion of respondents, % (95% CI)				
Overall ^a	Aged ≥70	Aged <70 ^b		
(<i>N</i> = 1404)	(<i>n</i> = 813)	(<i>n</i> = 575)		
67.1 (64.6, 69.5)	66.8 (63.5, 69.9)	67.8 (63.9, 71.5)		
25.0 (22.8, 27.4)	23.7 (20.9, 26.8)	27.0 (23.5, 30.7)		
7.7 (6.4, 9.3)	9.5 (7.6, 11.7)	4.9 (3.4, 7.0)		
87.5 (85.7, 89.2)	88.7 (86.3, 90.7)	85.9 (82.8, 88.5)		
4.1 (3.2, 5.3)	4.3 (3.1, 5.9)	4.0 (2.7, 5.9)		
2.3 (1.6, 3.2)	1.7 (1.0, 2.9)	3.1 (2.0, 4.9)		
2.6 (1.9, 3.5)	2.7 (1.8, 4.1)	2.1 (1.2, 3.6)		
2.7 (2.0, 3.7)	2.1 (1.3, 3.3)	3.7 (2.4, 5.5)		
0.8 (0.4, 1.3)	0.5 (0.2, 1.3)	1.2 (0.6, 2.5)		
97.2 (96.2, 97.9)	97.9 (96.7, 98.7)	96.2 (94.2, 97.5)		
2.8 (2.1, 3.8)	2.1 (1.3, 3.3)	3.8 (2.5, 5.7)		
	Overalla (N = 1404) 67.1 (64.6, 69.5) 25.0 (22.8, 27.4) 7.7 (6.4, 9.3) 87.5 (85.7, 89.2) 4.1 (3.2, 5.3) 2.3 (1.6, 3.2) 2.6 (1.9, 3.5) 2.7 (2.0, 3.7) 0.8 (0.4, 1.3) 97.2 (96.2, 97.9) 2.8 (2.1, 3.8)	Proportion of respondents, % of Aged ≥ 70OverallaAged ≥ 70 $(N = 1404)$ $(n = 813)$ 67.1 (64.6, 69.5)66.8 (63.5, 69.9)25.0 (22.8, 27.4)23.7 (20.9, 26.8)7.7 (6.4, 9.3)9.5 (7.6, 11.7)87.5 (85.7, 89.2)88.7 (86.3, 90.7)4.1 (3.2, 5.3)4.3 (3.1, 5.9)2.3 (1.6, 3.2)1.7 (1.0, 2.9)2.6 (1.9, 3.5)2.7 (1.8, 4.1)2.7 (2.0, 3.7)2.1 (1.3, 3.3)0.8 (0.4, 1.3)0.5 (0.2, 1.3)97.2 (96.2, 97.9)97.9 (96.7, 98.7)2.8 (2.1, 3.8)2.1 (1.3, 3.3)		

CI = confidence interval; GP = general practitioner

Notes: Denominator is all respondents who reported being prescribed oral antivirals (*N* = 1404). All findings were not significantly different between age groups

^a Some respondents (n = 16) did not specify their age range.

^b Includes people aged 50–69 and Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people aged 30–49.

Table 3. Reported reasons for antivirals not being prescribed, both overall and by age group

	Proportion of respondents (95% CI)						
Reason	Overallª (<i>N</i> = 3829)	Aged ≥70 (<i>n</i> = 1078)	Aged <70 ^b (<i>n</i> = 2728)	p value ^c			
Felt well so did not contact a doctor	26.1 (24.7, 27.5)	12.9 (11.0, 15.0)	31.5 (29.7, 33.2)	<0.001			
Self-assessed as ineligible	18.2 (17.0, 19.5)	1.6 (1.0, 2.5)	25.0 (23.4, 26.2)	<0.001			
Doctor assessed as ineligible	9.1 (8.2, 10.1)	1.7 (1.1, 2.6)	12.1 (10.9, 13.4)	<0.001			
Tested late in illness	2.3 (1.8, 2.8)	3.2 (2.3, 4.5)	1.9 (1.5, 2.4)	0.013			
Unable to get an appointment	1.6 (1.3, 2.1)	1.4 (0.8, 2.3)	1.8 (1.3, 2.3)	0.423			
Delays in getting appointment	2.1 (1.7, 2.6)	0.7 (0.4, 1.5)	2.7 (2.1, 3.4)	<0.001			
Contraindications to receiving antivirals	0.7 (0.5, 1.0)	0.8 (0.4, 1.6)	0.7 (0.4, 1.1)	0.653			
Did not know about antivirals/did not know that antivirals were an option	0.7 (0.5, 1.0)	0.4 (0.1, 1.0)	0.8 (0.6, 1.3)	0.118			
Personal preference not to have antivirals	0.4 (0.2, 0.6)	0.3 (0.1, 0.9)	0.4 (0.2, 0.8)	0.473			

CI = confidence interval

Notes: Respondents could indicate more than one answer. Denominator is all survey respondents (N = 3829)

^a Some respondents (n = 23) did not specify their age range.

^b Includes people aged 50-69 and Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people aged 30-49.

° p values were calculated using two-sample Z tests comparing the proportions of age groups.

COVID-19 too late in their illness to access antivirals than younger respondents (p = 0.013). There was a 10% increase each survey week in the odds of respondents self-assessing as ineligible (OR 1.10; 95% CI 1.04, 1.17), while the odds of other reasons for antivirals not being prescribed did not change over the survey period (See Supplementary Table S3, available from: doi.org/10.6084/ m9.figshare.24155514).

Other reasons that were less commonly reported included not having a GP (two respondents), believing antivirals would be too expensive (two respondents), being concerned about side-effects (one respondent), and being unable to afford a GP appointment (one respondent).

Knowledge of antivirals

Supplementary Table S4 (available from: doi.org/10.6084/ m9.figshare.24155514) details the sources from which respondents first heard about oral antivirals for COVID-19. The three most commonly reported sources overall were media (34.7%; 95% CI 33.2, 36.3), the SMS message from BSWPHU (23.8%; 95% CI 22.5, 25.2), and a family member or friend (17.2%; 95% CI 16.0, 18.4). Of those respondents who were prescribed antivirals, 11.8% (95% CI 10.2, 13.6) reported first hearing about oral antivirals from the SMS message from BSWPHU.

Individuals who were prescribed antivirals were significantly more likely to have first heard about antivirals from their GP or other doctor than those who were not prescribed antivirals (p < 0.001), while those who were not prescribed antivirals were significantly more likely to have first heard about antivirals from the SMS message from BSWPHU (p < 0.001). Among respondents aged \geq 70, those prescribed antivirals were significantly more likely to have first heard about antivirals from a family member or friend than those not prescribed antivirals (p = 0.002), see Supplementary Table S5, available from: doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.24155514.

Discussion

We observed high rates of oral antiviral uptake among survey respondents, particularly for those aged \geq 70. These results are supported by data from the Victorian Department of Health showing that, between 11 July and 10 October 2022, individuals aged \geq 70 living in the BSW region were the most likely to be prescribed oral antivirals for COVID-19 in Victoria (personal communication, Victorian Department of Health, 24 October 2022). The increasing rates of antiviral prescription observed over the survey period reflect increased awareness of antivirals among health providers and consumers. We believe the multifaceted approach taken by the BSWPHU to improve clinician awareness, referral pathways and public knowledge of antivirals – in collaboration with key partners and stakeholders including the Western Victoria Primary Health Network, local GPRCs and high-risk settings - played a key role in the high and increasing prescription rates.

Nearly one-quarter of respondents, including nearly one in eight of those prescribed antivirals, first learned about oral antivirals from the targeted SMS message from BSWPHU. While our study design prevents direct attribution of antiviral uptake to our SMS messaging program, this finding demonstrates that the messaging improved awareness of antivirals among COVID-19 cases. It also may have contributed to broader community awareness through, for example, word of mouth from cases to family and friends, and to increased clinician awareness through patient demand. The findings from our cross-sectional analysis complement those from longitudinal studies and randomised controlled trials demonstrating that targeted SMS messaging increases awareness of health interventions, including those for COVID-19.13,18,19

Sending the SMS messages took less than 10 minutes each day, required minimal training, and cost under A\$1200 (A\$0.168 per message) over six weeks. This is consistent with previous characterisations of SMS messaging as a simple and cost-effective health intervention.⁹

Accessible and affordable care pathways are essential to achieve equitable access to COVID-19 treatment.¹⁵ GPs were the most common source of antiviral prescriptions, highlighting the important role of primary care in the COVID-19 response. Although referral and supply pathways appeared to be functioning well, some respondents reported a lack of access to medical care and medication as barriers to accessing antivirals; this is consistent with previous findings.¹⁵ Lower prescription rates in most LGAs compared with Greater Geelong may reflect challenges in accessing medical appointments and pharmacy services in regional areas.^{20,21}

More than one in eight respondents aged ≥70, and more than one-quarter of respondents aged <70, felt they were too well for antivirals. This highlights opportunities to strengthen community messaging about antiviral treatment eligibility and benefits for high-risk individuals, even if they are not experiencing many symptoms.

Respondents who were prescribed antivirals were more likely than those not prescribed antivirals to have first heard about oral antivirals from a family member or friend, or from their usual GP. This suggests that learning about antivirals from a trusted source may increase the likelihood of accessing them, and that people who are well engaged with a GP may be more likely to seek treatment than those who are not. Healthcare providers in Australia were also encouraged to proactively create COVID-19 action plans with high-risk patients²², which may have contributed to high antiviral uptake.

Limitations

This study has several limitations, the most important being the potential for selection bias. Individuals actively engaged in healthcare may have been more likely to both seek antivirals and participate in this survey than those who are not actively engaged, which may have led to overestimated prescription rates. Self-reporting of antiviral prescriptions can also lead to misclassification (for example, respondents who were prescribed other medications may have mistakenly reported receiving antivirals). Additionally, survey recipients were identified based on the address provided at COVID-19 testing, meaning that a small proportion of survey data may not relate to the BSW region (for example, some people may have been diagnosed in another jurisdiction, or returned to another jurisdiction after being tested).

Finally, because the survey was conducted in English, culturally and linguistically diverse (CALD) groups were likely under-represented. Recent Australian data have shown that individuals who were born overseas are more likely to die from COVID-19 than those who were born in Australia.²³ Understanding barriers and facilitators to oral antiviral access for people from CALD backgrounds, as well as other priority populations, is crucial to achieve equitable access to treatment.

Conclusion

We observed high and increasing rates of antiviral prescriptions for COVID-19 in the BSW region of Victoria during winter 2022, with most prescriptions provided by GPs. A targeted SMS messaging program was a simple and low-cost intervention that improved awareness of COVID-19 antivirals among clinicians and patients. Larger studies that link to PBS data on medication use are warranted to understand prescription patterns and coverage across Australia, and to further clarify barriers and facilitators to antiviral access. These findings can be used to inform interventions to improve equitable access to treatment across the population.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to acknowledge the team leaders, administrative staff, in-reach testing team and COVID Positive Pathways team at the BSWPHU for their work in sending out the daily SMS messages.

Peer review and provenance

Externally peer reviewed, not commissioned.

Competing interests

None declared.

Author contributions

NC led the implementation of the SMS messaging, drafted the survey, conducted the statistical analysis and drafted the manuscript. MH conceived the SMS messaging and survey. JO, AY, CB, NM, MM, BJM, DO, EA and MH helped develop the SMS messaging and survey and provided feedback on the manuscript. All authors approved the submitted version of the manuscript.

References

- Hammond J, Leister-Tebbe H, Gardner A, Abreu P, Weihan B, Wisemandle W, et al. Oral nirmatrelvir for highrisk, nonhospitalized adults with Covid-19. N Engl J Med. 2022;386(15):1397–1408.
- Jayk Bernal A, Gomes da Silva MM, Musungaie DB, Kovalchuk E Gonzalez A, Delos Reyes V,et al. Molnupiravir for oral treatment of Covid-19 in nonhospitalized patients. N Engl J Med. 2022;386(6): 509–520.
- Therapeutic Goods Administration. TGA provisionally approves two oral COVID-19 treatments, molnupiravir (LAGEVRIO) and nirmatrelvir + ritonavir (PAXLOVID). Canberra, ACT; Australian Government TGA; 2022 Jan 20 [cited 2022 Sep 27]. Available from: www.tga.gov. au/news/media-releases/tga-provisionally-approvestwo-oral-covid-19-treatments-molnupiravir-lagevrio-andnirmatrelvir-ritonavir-paxlovid
- 4. Pharmaceutical Benefits Scheme. Paxlovid® (nirmatrelvir and ritonavir) PBS listing, Canberra, ACT: Australian Government PBS; 2022 [cited 2022 Sep 27]. Available from: www.pbs.gov.au/info/news/2022/04/paxlovidnirmatrelvir-and-ritonavir-pbs-listing
- Pharmaceutical Benefits Scheme. Lagevrio® (molnupiravir) PBS listing. Canberra, ACT: Australian Government PBS; 2022 [cited 2022 Sep 27]. Available from: www.pbs.gov.au/info/news/2022/03/lagevriomolnupiravir-pbs-listing
- Australian Government Department of Health and Aged Care. Updated eligibility for oral COVID-19 treatments. Canberra, ACT: Department of Health and Aged Care; 2023 [cited 2023 Sep 18]. Available from: www.health. gov.au/health-alerts/covid-19/treatments/eligibility
- COVID-19 National Incident Centre Surveillance Team. COVID-19 Australia: Epidemiology Report 65: Reporting period ending 28 August 2022. Communicable Diseases Intelligence. 2018;2022:46.
- Victorian Government. COVID Positive Pathways. Victoria: Victorian Government; 2022 [cited 2022 Sep 27]. Available from: www.coronavirus.vic.gov.au/covidpositive-pathways
- Orr JA, King RJ. Mobile phone SMS messages can enhance healthy behaviour: a meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. Health Psychol Rev. 2015;9(4):397–416.

- WHO Global Observatory for eHealth. mHealth: new horizons for health through mobile technologies: second global survey on eHealth. Geneva: WHO; 2011 [cited 2023 Sep 4]. Available from: apps.who.int/iris/ handle/10665/44607
- Armanasco AA, Miller YD, Fjeldsoe BS, Marshall AL. Preventive health behavior change text message interventions: a meta-analysis. Am J Prev Med. 2017;52(3):391–402.
- Spohr SA, Nandy R, Gandhiraj D, Vemulapalli A, Anne S, Walters ST. Efficacy of SMS text message interventions for smoking cessation: a meta-analysis. J Subst Abuse Treat. 2015.56:1–10.
- Bateman J, Cox N, Rajagopala L, Ford M, Jasim M, Mulherin D et al. COVID-19 vaccination advice via SMSbased video to improve vaccination uncertainty in at-risk groups. Lancet Rheumatol. 2021;3(6): e399–e401.
- 14. Dai H, Saccardo S, Han MA, Roh L, Raja N, Vangala S et al. Behavioural nudges increase COVID-19 vaccinations. Natur., 2021:597(7876):404–9.
- 15. Vasan A, Foote M, Long T. Ensuring widespread and equitable access to treatments for COVID-19. JAMA. 2022;328(8):705–6.
- Victorian Government Department of Health. Antivirals and other medicines. Victoria: Department of Health: 2022 [cited 2022 Sep 27]. Available from: www. coronavirus.vic.gov.au/covid-19-medicines
- 17. Victorian Government Department of Health. Quarantine Isolation and Testing Order. Victoria: Department of Health; 2022 [cited 2022 Sep 27]. Available from: www. health.vic.gov.au/covid-19/quarantine-isolation-andtesting-order
- Gandhi S, Chen S, Hong L, Sun K, Gong E, Li C et al. Effect of mobile health interventions on the secondary prevention of cardiovascular disease: systematic review and meta-analysis. Can J Cardiol. 2017;33(2):219–31.
- 19. Gold J, Lim MS, Hocking JS, Keogh LA, Spelman T, Hellard ME.. Determining the impact of text messaging for sexual health promotion to young people. Sex Transm Dis. 2011;38(4):247–52.
- 20. National Rural Health Alliance. Discussion Paper: Access to medicines and pharmacy services in rural and remote Australia [Discussion Paper]. Canberra, ACT: NHRA; 2014 [cited 2023 Sep 4]. Available from: www. ruralhealth.org.au/sites/default/files/documents/nrhapolicy-document/policy-development/nrha-medicinesdiscussion-paper-january-2014.pdf
- 21. The Royal Australian College of General Practitioners. General Practice: Health of the Nation 2020. Melbourne, VIC: RACGP; 2020 [cited 2023 Sep 4]. Available from: www.racgp.org.au/getmedia/c2c12dae-21ed-445f-8e50-530305b0520a/Health-of-the-Nation-2020-WEB.pdf.aspx

- 22. Australian Government Department of Health and Aged Care. Coronavirus (COVID-19) action plan 2021. Canberra, ACT: Department of Health and Aged Care; 2021. [cited 2022 Sep 27]. Available from: https://www. health.gov.au/resources/publications/coronavirus-covid-19-action-plan (URL no longer active).
- 23. Australian Bureau of Statistics. COVID-19 mortality in Australia: deaths registered until 31 August 2022. Canberra, ACT: ABS; 2022 [cited 2022 Nov 22]. Available from: www.abs.gov.au/articles/covid-19-mortalityaustralia-deaths-registered-until-31-august-2022



© 2023 Clarke et al. This article is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International Licence, which allows others to redistribute, adapt and share this work non-commercially provided they attribute the work and any adapted version of it is distributed under the same Creative Commons licence terms. See: www.creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/