Impact of COVID-19 on healthcare activity in NSW, Australia

Kim Sutherland\textsuperscript{a,e}, Julia Chessman\textsuperscript{a}, Jiaying Zhao\textsuperscript{b}, Grant Sara\textsuperscript{c}, Amith Shetty\textsuperscript{c}, Sharon Smith\textsuperscript{c}, Allan Went\textsuperscript{c}, Sophie Dyson\textsuperscript{d} and Jean-Frédéric Levesque\textsuperscript{a}

\textsuperscript{a} Agency for Clinical Innovation, Sydney, NSW, Australia
\textsuperscript{b} Centre for Epidemiology and Evidence, NSW Ministry of Health, Sydney, Australia
\textsuperscript{c} System Information and Analytics Branch, NSW Ministry of Health, Sydney, Australia
\textsuperscript{d} Clinical Systems Integration, NSW Ambulance, Sydney, Australia
\textsuperscript{e} Corresponding author: kim.sutherland@health.nsw.gov.au

Article history
Publication date: 9 December 2020

Key points
• COVID-19 has affected every healthcare system around the world. In New South Wales (NSW), Australia, healthcare activity was subdued in the first half of 2020, as healthcare-seeking behaviour changed and service provision was modified to manage system capacity
• The disruption COVID-19 has caused to provision of healthcare may have both positive and negative health consequences. Ongoing monitoring of these potential consequences will be required

Abstract
\textbf{Objective:} COVID-19 has left no healthcare system untouched. In New South Wales (NSW), the most populous state in Australia, COVID-19 case numbers have to date been relatively low. However, that does not mean the state’s healthcare system has been unaffected. Preparations to create sufficient capacity to accommodate a COVID-19 surge resulted in widespread deferment of scheduled medical procedures and appointments. Patterns of healthcare-seeking behaviour changed, with a reluctance to visit healthcare settings. The aim of this study was to quantify the changes in activity seen in the NSW health system in the first half of 2020.

\textbf{Methods:} Healthcare data were drawn from multiple sources, including primary care, ambulance, emergency departments and inpatient settings. Volumes of healthcare activity in 2020, overall, by urgency of cases and by reasons for care were compared with the figures for the same period in 2019. Changes in the modality of care provision were also examined.

\textbf{Results:} In March to June 2020, compared with the same period in 2019, primary care face-to-face consultations decreased by 22.1%, breast screening activity by 51.5%, ambulance incidents by 7.2%, emergency department visits by 13.9%, public hospital inpatient episodes by 14.3%, and public hospital planned surgical activity by 32.6%.

\textbf{Conclusion:} There were substantial declines in a wide range of healthcare activities across the NSW health system between March and June 2020 due to the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic. Although activity levels were recovering by September 2020, they had not yet returned to ‘normal’. The implications of these changes – and the indirect impact of COVID-19 – require further study.
Introduction

Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) has affected every healthcare system around the world. In New South Wales (NSW), with only 3726 cases reported from 1.7 million tests (up to 11 August 2020), the public health response has to date been successful in attenuating the pandemic (Figure 1). However, the impact of COVID-19 reaches far beyond actual cases.

Preparations by healthcare systems and organisations for a possible major outbreak resulted in significant changes to routine services. These included postponement of scheduled care, such as elective surgery, and reconfiguration, such as a shift to virtual care. Patients’ healthcare-seeking behaviour also changed significantly, due to concerns about infection risks1-3 and a reluctance to burden the system as it dealt with COVID-19 cases.4 Available information does not allow us to easily establish the underlying reasons for changes in activity. However, we may assume that, where services such as elective surgery and breast screening were cancelled, the data reflect system changes; where there have been fewer presentations – such as in primary care and the emergency department (ED) – the data reflect patient choices; and where there have been changes in the nature of presentations – such as a reduction in trauma cases – the data reflect changes in patient behaviour.

Foregone care, delays and postponements have been widespread. This article draws on a range of datasets to describe changes in activity across the healthcare system in NSW. It outlines changes in activity in different domains of the system (ambulance, cancer screening, ED, hospital and operating theatres), in the acuity of cases that presented for care, in the reasons for seeking care or presenting complaints, in the volumes of key procedures, in patterns of delivery of care and in numbers of deaths. The data for 2020 are compared with data for the corresponding period in 2019.

Background

NSW has a population of about 8 million people and covers an area of about 801 000 km². NSW Health is a publicly funded healthcare system and employs more than 110 000 people, working across more than 220 public hospitals and health services around the state. In a ‘normal’ year, there are more than 1.9 million hospitalisations and almost 3 million ED visits.5

The first Australian case of COVID-19 was recorded in late January 2020. In March, national borders were closed to non-residents and non–Australian citizens, and mandatory 14-day hotel quarantine was put in place for Australians returning from overseas. All non-urgent elective surgery was temporarily suspended in public and private hospitals. State and territory governments introduced increasingly stringent lockdowns and restrictions on people’s ability to work, travel, gather and interact. In NSW, the tightest restrictions on movement and interactions were in place during April and the first half of May. Schools remained open, but parents were encouraged to keep children at home.

---

Figure 1. COVID-19 cases and tests, NSW, 1 January–11 August 2020

Methods

The study looked at indirect impacts of COVID-19 by assessing changes in the volume of healthcare activity, acuity of presentations, reasons for care and modality of delivery. Data were drawn from multiple sources (Table 1).

Not all measures explored are presented in this paper. Indicators were calculated according to current monitoring plans in NSW. Indicator definitions can be provided by the authors on request. Ethics approval was not required as the study is based on de-identified data from NSW and Federal Government databases as outlined in Table 1.

Results

Volume of activity

The 4-month period from March to June 2020 (inclusive) saw a substantial drop in healthcare activity in NSW, compared with the same period in 2019. Altogether, primary care face-to-face consultations decreased by 22.1%, breast cancer screening activity by 51.5%, ambulance incidents by 7.2%, ED visits by 13.9%, public hospital inpatient episodes by 14.3%, public hospital planned surgical activity by 32.6%, and mental health

Table 1. Sectors and data sources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>Data source</th>
<th>Data type</th>
<th>Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Primary care</td>
<td>Online Medicare item reports, Services Australia⁶</td>
<td>Face-to-face consultation item numbers 23, 3, 36, 44; telehealth item numbers 91790, 91800, 91801, 91802; telephone item numbers 91795, 91809, 91810, 91811</td>
<td>Volumes and modes of delivery of care (e.g. face-to-face versus virtual)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambulance</td>
<td>NSW Ambulance Information System⁷</td>
<td>Ambulance incidents (calls that generate an ambulance response)</td>
<td>Volumes, priority, patient complaint (i.e. nature of call – assigned according to the Medical Priority Dispatch System protocol)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency department (ED)</td>
<td>Public Health Rapid, Emergency, Disease and Syndromic Surveillance system, which uses a stable cohort of 67 EDs that accounted for about 87% of all ED activity in NSW in 2018‒2019⁸</td>
<td>ED presentations</td>
<td>Volumes, acuity, surveillance syndrome (diagnosis based)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital inpatient</td>
<td>NSW Health Information Exchange, accessed via the Quality Improvement Data System, Clinical Excellence Commission⁹</td>
<td>Public hospital inpatient episodes</td>
<td>Volumes, principal diagnosis, procedure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planned surgery</td>
<td>Waiting List Collection Online System, managed by the System Information and Analytics Branch at the NSW Ministry of Health¹⁰</td>
<td>Public hospital planned surgical activity</td>
<td>Volumes, urgency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast cancer screening</td>
<td>BreastScreen NSW, Cancer Institute NSW¹¹</td>
<td>Breast screening</td>
<td>Volumes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental health</td>
<td>NSW Health Information Exchange¹³</td>
<td>ED mental health presentations, community mental health service referrals</td>
<td>Volumes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-admitted care</td>
<td>Non-Admitted Patient Data Collection¹³</td>
<td>Non-admitted patient videoconference service events</td>
<td>Modes of delivery of care (e.g. videoconference)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deaths</td>
<td>Australian Bureau of Statistics¹⁴</td>
<td>Doctor-certified deaths registered in NSW</td>
<td>Volumes⁹</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

⁶ Cause of death data not available.

Note: All data available from authors pending data custodian approval.
service activity by 3.3% for inpatient episodes and by 8.3% for the number of new community clients. The largest decreases occurred in April and May (Table 2 and Figure 2). In June, although many activities remained subdued, there were increases in new mental health community clients, ED presentations and hospital admissions (Figure 3).

**Acuity**

Decreases in the volume of activity were not uniform across measures of acuity (urgency). For ambulance incidents, there was a decrease in all priority groups between March and June 2020 compared with 2019, most notably in ‘other’ incidents (mostly transfers from nursing homes or medical centres). This decrease in ‘other’ incidents represented a change in the way that nursing home calls were handled during COVID-19.

For EDs, the number of lowest-acuity (triage category 5) visits increased by 34%, and numbers of the other four more urgent triage categories decreased. The increase in lowest-acuity visits was most notable in March and was primarily driven by COVID-19 testing in EDs before the establishment of community testing clinics (data not shown).

**Figure 2.** Percentage change in healthcare activity, NSW, March–June 2020 (compared with 2019)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primary care face-to-face consultations</th>
<th>Breast screening activity</th>
<th>Ambulance incidents</th>
<th>Emergency department presentations</th>
<th>Public hospital inpatient episodes</th>
<th>Public hospital planned surgical activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mar</td>
<td>Apr</td>
<td>May</td>
<td>Jun</td>
<td>Mar</td>
<td>Apr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2%</td>
<td>-18%</td>
<td>-24%</td>
<td>-40%</td>
<td>-18%</td>
<td>-13%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 3.** Percentage change in mental health service activity, NSW, March–June 2020 (compared with 2019)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ED mental health presentations</th>
<th>Public hospital acute mental health inpatient episodes</th>
<th>New community mental health service clients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mar</td>
<td>Apr</td>
<td>May</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-8%</td>
<td>-1%</td>
<td>-13%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
For planned surgical activity, the greatest decrease was in non-urgent surgery (recommended waiting time within 365 days) at 54%. The largest decreases occurred in April and May (data not shown). For urgent surgery within 30 days and semi-urgent surgery within 90 days, the reductions in activity were 1% and 23%, respectively (Figure 4).

The changes seen in acuity do not reflect uniform changes in the reasons for patients seeking care. For example, the increase in lower-acuity ED presentations reflects an increase in people attending for COVID-19 assessment and testing, particularly at some facilities; all other syndromes saw a decrease in low-acuity presentations.

### Reasons for care

In the case of ambulance incidents (Appendix Figure 1a, available from doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.13272605.v1), activity levels were driven by a number of underlying factors. Call-outs for breathing problems had a large relative (and absolute) increase in March and then declined. Call-outs that related to exposure to certain activities (e.g. traumatic injuries, traffic accidents, assaults) fell. Traumatic injuries had the largest relative decrease in May compared with calls for other reasons, and returned to usual levels in July. Call-outs for mental health incidents (including abnormal behaviour and suicide attempt) were higher than in 2019 from January 2020 onwards, reflecting a multiyear trend of increasing incidents (data not shown).

For EDs, there was a decrease in March and April in unplanned presentations for all diagnosis-based surveillance syndromes except respiratory illness, fever or unspecified infection (RFUI). RFUI presentations increased in the first 3 weeks of March and returned to usual levels in mid-April (Appendix Figure 1b, available from doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.13272605.v1). The increase in respiratory presentations was driven by increases in low-triage (non-urgent) cases. Between 5 March and 22 April 2020, respiratory presentations in triage categories 4–5 (the least urgent categories) increased from an average of 15 036 in 2019 to 46 212 in 2020; for triage categories 1–3, the corresponding figures were 31 930 in 2019 and 26 268 in 2020. A marked decrease in ED presentations for injury was seen across all triage categories (including the most urgent category: triage category 1).

For hospitalisations, there was a decrease across most diagnosis categories between March and June 2020, particularly for diseases of the respiratory system, eye, adnexa and musculoskeletal system (Appendix Figure 1c, available from doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.13272605.v1). For procedures, there was a decrease in some potentially low-value care, such as tonsillectomy and grommet insertion (Appendix Figure 1d, available from doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.13272605.v1).

---

**Table 2. Aggregate impact of COVID-19 on healthcare activity, NSW, March–June 2020 (compared with 2019)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Primary care face-to-face consultations</td>
<td>3401 101</td>
<td>2675 467</td>
<td>2549 676</td>
<td>2568 122</td>
<td>11194 366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast screening (service suspended 24 March–18 May)</td>
<td>25991</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7169</td>
<td>25318</td>
<td>58478</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambulance incidents</td>
<td>81 835</td>
<td>68 405</td>
<td>73 172</td>
<td>76 501</td>
<td>299 913</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency department (ED) presentations</td>
<td>260 802</td>
<td>181 436</td>
<td>210 136</td>
<td>232 028</td>
<td>884 402</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ED mental health presentations</td>
<td>8 420</td>
<td>7 430</td>
<td>7 465</td>
<td>7 272</td>
<td>30 587</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public hospital inpatient episodes</td>
<td>153 126</td>
<td>120 004</td>
<td>137 275</td>
<td>149 991</td>
<td>560 396</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public hospital acute mental health inpatient episodes</td>
<td>3 260</td>
<td>2 881</td>
<td>3 155</td>
<td>2 966</td>
<td>12 262</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public hospital planned surgical activity</td>
<td>17 763</td>
<td>7 626</td>
<td>11 788</td>
<td>16 523</td>
<td>53 700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New community mental health service clients</td>
<td>6 281</td>
<td>5 080</td>
<td>6 071</td>
<td>5 301</td>
<td>22 733</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doctor-certified deaths</td>
<td>1 Jan to 28 Apr 2020: 14 986 (0.4%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
COVID-19 and healthcare activity in NSW

Modality

As well as changes in activity, acuity and reasons for seeking healthcare, the modality of care provision also changed in the first half of 2020. For example, in primary care, there was a marked decrease in face-to-face consultations between March and June 2020, and an increase in virtual consultations, including telephone and video consultations (Figure 5). (In Australia, the Federal Government funds primary care activity via a system called Medicare. In March 2020, a Medicare Benefits Schedule rebate for virtual consultations was introduced, meaning general practitioners could make a claim for these types of services).

In non-admitted hospital care, there was a rapid rise in videoconferencing, with almost 80,000 videoconferences in March–May 2020, compared with about 7000 in March–May 2019 (Figure 6).

NSW specialist community mental health services reported a rapid change to telephone and video contact. Before COVID-19, these comprised around 20% of total community care hours. This increased to 48% in mid-April and comprised 30% in June 2020.
Early in the outbreak, the overall number of service events, NSW, 2020

There is international concern about the indirect impacts of COVID-19. A modelling study in England found that modest delays in surgery for some cancers had a significant impact on outcomes and decreased survival. These impacts were particularly marked for aggressive cancers: delays of 1–6 months were associated with >30% reduction in survival at 6 months and >17% reduction in survival at 3 months for patients with stage 2 or 3 cancers of the bladder, lung, oesophagus, ovary, liver, pancreas and stomach.

Patients with cardiovascular conditions have also been affected. International data show an increase in out-of-hospital cardiac arrests and deaths, missed opportunities to offer secondary preventive treatment, and increases in medium- and long-term complications in cardiac care during the COVID-19 pandemic. For stroke patients, international studies demonstrate longer times to reperfusion and decreased use of telestroke (the use of technology by clinicians to treat stroke patients in another location) during the COVID-19 pandemic – both of which are strongly associated with better patient outcomes.

However, not all indirect impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic are detrimental. Compared with previous winters, 2020 has so far been distinguished by low rates of other respiratory infections such as influenza in NSW – a dividend of non-pharmacological controls put in place to manage COVID-19. Similarly, sharp decreases in trauma-related injury in March and April shown in this study reflect government-imposed restrictions on social and work activity, and a consequential reduction in underlying behaviours that result in accidents (both leisure and work related).

In the case of low-value procedures, the COVID-19 pandemic presents an opportunity to revisit and potentially prevent the resumption of such activities. For example, tonsillectomy has been found to have been performed when not indicated, and the number of procedures performed in NSW public hospitals in April dropped precipitously (but then started to increase again).

Our study provides a first step in assessing the indirect impact of COVID-19 in NSW. It does, however, have important limitations – it draws on administrative data, which are unable to capture in detail the treatments provided to patients and many changes in severity of disease. The analysis focuses on a relatively short time period, and the full picture is still emerging. Although it is possible to track changes in activity, and to estimate backlog, pent-up demand and foregone care, it is more challenging to assess the impact of perturbed patterns of delivery on patient experience, morbidity and mortality. It will be important for subsequent studies to assess healthcare outcomes related to foregone care, such as an increase in rates of chronic disease.

The COVID-19 pandemic has led to marked changes in the way people use healthcare services in NSW – because of both alterations in the services that are offered and available, and changes in healthcare-seeking...
behaviours. We are yet to see if these changes will be temporary or permanent.

Many healthcare systems are seeking to assess and mitigate the indirect impacts and unintended consequences (‘collateral damage’) from COVID-19.17,24-26 There is a pressing need for a comprehensive suite of measures to assess these impacts. Future work in NSW will focus on developing proposals for measurement, data definitions and time horizons for data collection with healthcare professionals and the community, as well as building consensus around clinical, organisational and system interventions to address and remediate the indirect impacts of COVID-19.

Conclusion

Healthcare systems have had to adapt rapidly to the challenges presented by COVID-19. In NSW, healthcare-seeking behaviour changed, and service provision was modified to protect healthcare capacity and prevent infection. Different healthcare systems have seen varying patterns of changes in healthcare activity – depending on prevalence, the stage of the pandemic and local policy. The same types of change resonate across jurisdictions both in Australia and globally. These changes mean that all healthcare systems should now prepare for indirect impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic.
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