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Background

This issue of Public Health Research & Practice builds on the 2019 Oceania Tobacco Control Conference theme, ‘Making tobacco control a priority’. The conference, held in Sydney before the COVID-19 pandemic, brought together record numbers of researchers, advocates, policy makers and administrators from Australia, New Zealand and Pacific Island nations. Although the Oceania region has had incredible success in reducing smoking rates, smoking will not simply fade out on its own. Fresh approaches and a commitment to continuing to implement what we know works in tobacco control is crucial.

Our goal is to rid decision makers of any sense of complacency around tobacco control policy and practice. Debunking the myth that we are now only dealing with a ‘hard-core group’ of committed smokers must be part of this renewal strategy. While it may be tempting to think ‘mission accomplished’, there is much more work still to do.

This issue coincides with historically low smoking rates in Australia. The number of daily smokers aged older than 14 years has fallen significantly, with 11\% of Australians reporting daily smoking in 2019 – down from 12.2\% in 2016.\textsuperscript{1} A further 63\% of Australians say they have never smoked, with that figure increasing to almost 97\% among those younger than 18 years.\textsuperscript{1}

Evidence-based tobacco control measures have contributed to these decades-long declines. Key measures adopted include: large-scale investment in quit-smoking campaigns, restrictions on tobacco advertising, health warnings on tobacco packaging, real increases in the price of tobacco and smoke-free environments.\textsuperscript{2} Grogan and Banks\textsuperscript{3}, and Brooks et al.\textsuperscript{4} critique the status of some of these key measures and outline what’s missing from our approach.

Although smoking rates are now lower, the health toll remains high. Two in three continuing smokers will die prematurely from smoking-related disease\textsuperscript{5}, and yet tobacco products remain readily accessible in all our communities. For this special issue, we invited authors to consider where we should go from here for tobacco control, giving consideration to the many innovative policy and practice approaches presented at the 2019 Oceania Tobacco Control Conference.
Where to next in tobacco control?

The World Health Organization Framework Convention on Tobacco Control (FCTC) commits signatories to take evidence-based action to address tobacco-related harm. Article 5.3 of the treaty requires parties to protect public health policies from commercial and other vested interests of the tobacco industry. Despite this, tobacco industry interference stalls policy making, perpetuates conflicted research and spreads false information. Big Tobacco’s latest ploy includes an attempt to reposition itself as pivotal to health improvement, social and economic development, through the expansion and marketing of its product offerings. In this issue, Daube examines expanding the application of Article 5.3 to other non-government health groups, including academic institutions and conferences.

Tobacco use is a primary contributor to health inequity, with smoking rates highest among low socio-economic groups1 and vulnerable populations, including Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people. Encouragingly, smoking prevalence among Aboriginal and Torres Islander people has fallen from 55% in 1994 to 43% in 2018–19. Thomas and Calma review achievements and current limitations in decreasing tobacco use among Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people across different states and territories, and those living in metropolitan versus regional and remote areas.

Overwhelmingly, smokers (63%) want to quit. Less than one-third of Australian smokers report that they do not plan to quit, with this proportion remaining unchanged over the past decade. Cigarette cost and health concerns are cited as the primary reasons for motivating quit attempts. Despite this, in 2019, three in 10 smokers tried and failed to successfully quit. Smoking cessation is “the single, most powerful, preventive intervention” available in clinical practice and has been comprehensively implemented in some countries for some time. Yet in Australia, when a patient comes into contact with the health system, the systematic identification and recording of smoking status is still not consistently occurring. Patients are not being provided with advice and support to quit – a potentially life-saving intervention. As argued by White et al., smokers must be supported with evidence-based measures to quit, including access to tobacco cessation treatment, and further investment in smoking cessation support is needed.

Smoking rates among youth and young adults have never been lower. Across Australia, today’s generation of young adults have grown up as children exposed to powerful antismoking campaigns. The first National Tobacco Campaign was hard-hitting in approach and between 1997 and 2001, was coupled with unprecedented financial investment from the Australian Government, and state and territory organisations. In more recent years, investment in public education campaigns has fluctuated nationally and between states and territories. There are more media channels and fragmented audiences, which in turn is adding pressure to what advertising dollars are available. Despite these challenges, we know investment in quality media campaigns at high enough levels can continue to encourage quitting behaviours and also improve equity in cessation outcomes. Beasley et al. build on existing evidence and provide practical insights on where we can direct future campaign efforts.

Looking to the future for tobacco control

Moves to address the commercial supply of tobacco products have gained momentum globally, as outlined in a plenary by Ruth Malone of the University of California, San Francisco, at the 2019 Oceania Tobacco Control Conference. Tobacco retail licensing schemes are becoming increasingly commonplace and calls to ban the sale of products close to schools and in neighbourhoods are growing. Reducing the supply of tobacco products, with an eye to removing them completely from retail sale, may seem a bridge too far, but the same was said of plain packaging for tobacco products.

Fears that chronic disease prevention will be put aside during the COVID-19 pandemic further fuel the need to renew investment in tobacco control. As part of South Africa’s response to the COVID-19 pandemic, tobacco products were deemed a ‘non-essential’ consumer good during lockdown and were abruptly made unavailable for legal purchase. The COVID-19 pandemic has also seen reported record numbers of UK citizens quit smoking in light of the link between smoking and likelihood of suffering from severe coronavirus illness. Public health concerns need not compete with each other; both the COVID-19 pandemic and tobacco use can be concurrently and effectively addressed.

We hope readers enjoy the themed papers and all the articles within this issue, and they are useful as we consider next steps in tobacco control and our long-term goal of a smoke-free Oceania.
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