The Australian Prevention Partnership Centre: systems thinking to prevent lifestyle-related chronic illness
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Abstract

Chronic diseases are the major cause of death in Australia and the biggest contributor to premature death and disability. Although prevention of chronic disease can be effective and cost-effective, it has proven difficult to systematically implement interventions that target important lifestyle-related risk factors for chronic disease such as poor nutrition, physical inactivity and harmful alcohol use.

Prevention efforts targeting these lifestyle-related risk factors have had mixed success due to issues around designing and implementing effective interventions that address the complexity of risk factors, and incorporating evidence and implementing interventions at a scale, duration, intensity and quality required to achieve population effects. There is increasing recognition that multilevel, multisector approaches are required for the effective and sustained prevention of complex chronic disease.

The Australian Prevention Partnership Centre, one of two National Health and Medical Research Council Partnership Centres established in 2013, is researching and developing systems perspectives to prevent lifestyle-related chronic disease in Australia. The Centre’s collaborative approach is providing opportunities for researchers to work with policy makers and practitioners to develop research questions, conduct research, and analyse, interpret and disseminate the findings. As such, it is the model of interaction that is being tested as much as the specific projects. With its funding partners, the Centre has developed plans for more than 30 projects. It has also established four capacity units that will improve the gathering, sharing and use of evidence to build a prevention system in Australia. The Centre is exploring new ways to advance prevention by bringing together researchers, policy makers and practitioners to determine the information and actions needed for an effective prevention system for Australia.
Increasing burden of chronic disease

Chronic diseases are the major cause of death in Australia and the biggest contributor to premature death and disability-adjusted life years (DALYs). With very few exceptions, chronic disease is increasing as a determining factor in DALYs in all countries, regardless of their level of economic development. In Australia, as life expectancy has increased, the number of older Australians living with a chronic disease has increased. Between 1997 and 2007, the proportion of deaths reported as being caused by five or more chronic diseases increased from 11% to 21%. Half of all Australians aged between 45 and 64 have one or more chronic diseases. Compared with same-age peers, people with chronic disease are 60% more likely to not participate in the labour force, are less likely to be employed full time, and are more likely to be unemployed than people without chronic disease. If we want to reduce the burden of chronic disease, improve productivity and live our longer lives in good health, there is clearly a need for prevention.

Given this complex profile, it is easy to be nihilistic about preventing chronic disease. While complex prevention interventions have proven difficult to evaluate (as highlighted in the article in this issue by Bauman and Nutbeam), there is high-quality evidence that prevention of chronic disease is effective and cost-effective. Moreover, these impacts can be influential at a population level. One example is the extraordinary decline in mortality from ischaemic heart disease, which has more than halved since 1967. Prevention efforts such as smoking controls, and hypertension and hyperlipidaemia detection and treatment have been major contributors to this decline.

However, these were probably the ‘easy wins’ in prevention. It has proven much more difficult to systematically implement interventions that target important lifestyle-related risk factors for chronic disease such as poor nutrition, physical inactivity and harmful alcohol use. Also, it has been difficult to address the socioeconomic differentials in health and risk factors for chronic disease. Even when interventions have been demonstrated to be effective in specific research settings, there are few examples of successful population-wide implementation.

In our view, at least five major factors are contributing to the mixed record of success of preventive interventions that target lifestyle-related risk factors for chronic disease:

- The challenge of designing, funding and implementing multistrategy interventions that address the complexity of risk factors for chronic disease
- The implementation of simple, independent, one-off solutions that are not suitable for long-lasting, sustainable change
- The failure to identify and incorporate evidence and experience of what does or does not work in designing and implementing initiatives, including replication and dissemination of effective interventions – the frequently recognised evidence–research gap
- The fact that many interventions are not implemented at a scale, duration, intensity and quality likely to achieve sustainable population effects
- The prevalence of evaluations that are overly simplistic and unable to fully appreciate the inputs and effects of initiatives

Call for systems approaches

Internationally, there is increasing recognition that multilevel, multisector approaches are required for the effective and sustained prevention of complex chronic disease. It is not enough to simply urge Australians to eat better and exercise more – we need to look in depth at our communities, our food systems, our environments and our workplaces, and assess how these interact to create communities in which healthy choices are the easier, more sustainable choices.

To achieve this, prevention science is increasingly looking to systems science for different ways to develop, implement and evaluate interventions. Systems science provides a way to examine complex problems, taking into account the bigger picture and context of those problems. It includes:

- Conceptualising and mapping all the parts and interrelated elements of the issue, with an appreciation that a system is more than the sum of its parts (developing systems maps)
- Examining potential leverage points for changing the issue and testing the outcomes, both positive and negative, from different intervention options (modelling and scenario testing)
- Identifying approaches to influence the issue that recognise the complexity of the system (developing management strategies)
- Implementing changes to influence the issue, and reflecting on effects and revising strategies (feedback and reflection)

Prevention science also has many overlaps with the growing field of implementation science.

The Australian Prevention Partnership Centre

The Australian Prevention Partnership Centre is similar to successful models used internationally, such as the Population Health Intervention Research Initiative for Canada. The Centre was established in July 2013 to research and develop systems perspectives to prevent lifestyle-related chronic disease in Australia.
It is one of two National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) Partnership Centres launched in 2013 to improve the availability and quality of research evidence for policy decision makers. The NHMRC, the Australian Government Department of Health, NSW Ministry of Health, ACT Health and the HCF Research Foundation have provided the Centre with $22.6 million over five years.

The Centre is administered by the Sax Institute in Sydney and co-hosted by the Sax Institute and the Centre of Excellence in Intervention and Prevention Science in Melbourne.

The team includes many of Australia’s international lead researchers and practitioners in prevention, with 31 investigators from five states and territories representing more than 20 research institutes, and government, non-government and private sector agencies. The investigators are champions of developing evidence from research, implementing research-informed changes to policy and practice, and developing innovative research methods. The inclusion of such a large and diverse group is intended to facilitate connections in research in chronic disease prevention, and to reflect the range of agencies that need to be involved outside the health sector. It is likely that the Centre’s activities will lead to more groups that are directly or indirectly involved with its work being able to achieve meaningful and sustainable change.

Objectives and approach

The Centre’s priority areas are the main lifestyle-related determinants of chronic disease risk: obesity, diet, tobacco, physical activity and alcohol. However, the Centre is not limited in its scope and will draw on relevant research and practice from any field that will potentially advance thinking, such as knowledge and experience gained in the fields of HIV prevention, motor vehicle accidents and Indigenous health.

The Centre’s specific objectives (Box 1) are intended to capture the broader objectives of the NHMRC program. As articulated by the NHMRC, Partnership Centres are intended to be fundamentally different to other NHMRC research programs through:

- A focus on research-informed change in practice, management or policy as the driving force behind activities, including the determination of a centre’s priority theme by health or healthcare system organisations, rather than by researchers
- A recognition that investigators are not only researchers. System-based clinicians, managers or policy makers who bring skills other than research analysis and methods to the Partnership Centre equally qualify as investigators, and are central to the work of the Centre

Box 1. Objectives of The Australian Prevention Partnership Centre

- Establish new ways for researchers, policy and program practitioners to work together and form strong national networks
- Produce internationally significant research about innovative methods in prevention, systems science and communication of prevention
- Help governments and the community better understand the importance of prevention
- Develop and provide tools and methods to support national prevention efforts
- Support increased capacity of researchers, policy makers and practitioners to use evidence and systems approaches in the design, implementation, evaluation and communication of prevention
- A broad set of objectives that go well beyond the production and translation of research and recognise that ongoing linkage and exchange between the producers and users of research is the key to its application and use in clinical practice, management or policy.

The Centre’s innovative collaborative approach is attempting to bridge the divide between policy makers and practitioners and researchers. Researchers are working with policy makers and practitioners to develop research questions, conduct research, and analyse, interpret and disseminate the findings. As such, it is the model of interaction that is being tested as much as the specific projects. As well as undertaking new research, the Centre will look to collaborate with other research groups to better coordinate and connect Australia’s chronic disease prevention research. This will include leveraging from research with others where this can more efficiently or effectively achieve the objectives.

The Centre is also aiming for a legacy of greater capacity among both practitioners and researchers for systems thinking about prevention. It has established four capacity units that will improve the gathering, sharing and use of evidence to build a prevention system in Australia (Box 2). The Centre will also create a structure to facilitate the uptake and use of the research findings, through researcher–policy maker partnerships in knowledge production, translation and application. We are developing new ways to systematically capture practice knowledge and synthesise it with evidence from research.

The work program and initial priorities

With our funding partners, we have developed plans for more than 30 projects over five years. Initial projects include:

- Examining how aspects of the Australian food supply affect availability, affordability and acceptability of different foods
Increasing the capacity of the prevention workforce to use systems thinking in developing and implementing strategies
Developing methodologies to assess the adequacy of scale, intensity and quality of prevention interventions
Developing and assessing options for national indicators for a prevention system, starting with indicators relevant to the built environment
Developing ways to increase public understanding of prevention policy and science.

Learning from practice and research

A fundamental pillar of the Centre’s approach is that public health practice and practitioners should inform prevention research as much as research should inform policy and practice. We will use a mixed approach to knowledge-to-action, which recognises that people and organisations in the preventive health system are at different stages and levels of familiarity with what might be considered ‘more radical’ approaches to knowledge (co-)production. This will involve three interlinked components:

- Establishing a model of engagement that will see policy makers, practitioners and researchers discuss problems and set research questions together, with variable levels of collaboration in the design and implementation of research and evaluation
- Developing a range of knowledge-exchange opportunities, from formal expert-led opportunities through to informal work-integrated, problem-based discussion
- Developing a model of knowledge production that maximises the likelihood that research will achieve its intended action.

To achieve this, we will facilitate opportunities for researchers to work in practice settings, and for practitioners to participate in research and evaluation. We will foster sharing of expertise and experience through practitioners and researchers working together on common problems – for example, changing the built environment or program evaluation – with input from experts drawn from the Centre’s investigators or elsewhere.

Conclusion

The Australian Prevention Partnership Centre presents an opportunity for a new way to advance prevention science and practice. Our model brings together researchers, policy makers and practitioners to determine the information and actions needed for an effective prevention system for Australia. The research is as much in the process of the approach as in the focus and outputs of the specific projects.

Readers who are interested in the Centre’s work are encouraged to visit the website at www.saxinstitute.org.au/our-work/preventing-chronic-disease or contact the Centre’s Deputy Director, Associate Professor Sonia Wutzke, on (02) 9188 9555 or sonia.wutzke@saxinstitute.org.au
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