The
New South Wales
Adult Health Survey
2002

NSW DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH
CONTENTS

1 FOREWORD 7

2 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS 8

3 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY 9

4 SNAPSHOT OF ADULT HEALTH, NSW, 2002 11

5 METHODS 12

Table 1: Outcomes of telephone calls
Table 2: Completed interviews and response rates by health area
Table 3: Completed interviews by language
Map of NSW area health services

6 REPRESENTATIVENESS OF SAMPLE 16

Figure 1: Age distribution of unweighted survey sample versus NSW population: Males
Figure 2: Age distribution of unweighted survey sample versus NSW population: Females
Table 4: Survey sample size and NSW population: by age and sex
Figure 3: Percentage of weighted sample persons aged 16 years and over in each SEIFA quintile
Figure 4: Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander origin, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002
Figure 5: Country of birth, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002
Figure 6: Speak a language other than English at home, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002
Figure 7: Current employment status, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002
Figure 8: Highest level of school completed, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002
Figure 9: Household income, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002

7 HEALTH BEHAVIOURS 22

Alcohol 22

Figure 10: Any alcohol risk drinking by age, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002
Figure 11: Any alcohol risk drinking behaviour by socioeconomic disadvantage score, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002
Figure 12: Any alcohol risk drinking behaviour by health area, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002
Table 5: Any alcohol risk drinking behaviour by health area, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002
Figure 13: Short-term alcohol risk in the past 4 weeks, persons who consume alcohol, aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002
Figure 14: High risk drinking in the past 4 weeks, persons who consume alcohol, aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002

Cancer screening 26

Figure 15: Screening mammogram within the last 2 years by age, females aged 50–69 years, NSW, 2002
Figure 16: Screening mammogram within the last 2 years by socioeconomic disadvantage score, females aged 50–69 years, NSW, 2002
Figure 17: Screening mammogram within the last 2 years by health area, females aged 50–69 years, NSW, 2002
Table 6: Screening mammogram within the last 2 years by health area, females aged 50–69 years, NSW, 2002
Figure 18: Pap test within the last 2 years by age, females aged 20–69 years, NSW, 2002
Figure 19: Pap test within the last 2 years by socioeconomic disadvantage score, females aged 20–69 years, NSW, 2002
Figure 20: Pap test within the last 2 years by health area, females aged 20–69 years, NSW, 2002
Table 7: Pap test within the last 2 years by health area, females aged 20–69 years, NSW, 2002
Figure 21: Hysterectomy rate by age, females aged 20–69 years, NSW, 2002
Environmental health

Figure 22: Water treatment before drinking, public water supply, persons who treat their public water supply, aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002

Figure 23: Use public water as usual source of water by socioeconomic disadvantage score, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002

Figure 24: Use public water as usual source of water by health area, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002

Table 8: Use public water as usual source of water by health area, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002

Figure 25: Recreational water use limited by blue green algae in last 12 months by socioeconomic disadvantage score, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002

Figure 26: Recreational water use limited by blue green algae in last 12 months by health area, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002

Table 9: Recreational water use limited by blue green algae in last 12 months by health area, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002

Figure 27: Support re-use of treated effluent water, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002

Figure 28: Gas cooking without ventilation by socioeconomic disadvantage score, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002

Figure 29: Gas cooking without ventilation by health area, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002

Table 10: Gas cooking without ventilation by health area, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002

Figure 30: Exposure to unflued heating by socioeconomic disadvantage score, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002

Figure 31: Exposure to unflued heating by health area, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002

Table 11: Exposure to unflued heating by health area, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002

Figure 32: Internally accessed garages by socioeconomic disadvantage score, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002

Figure 33: Internally accessed garages by health area, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002

Table 12: Internally accessed garages by health area, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002

Immunisation

Figure 34: Vaccinated against influenza in the last 12 months by age, persons aged 65 years and over, NSW, 2002

Figure 35: Vaccinated against influenza in the last 12 months by socioeconomic disadvantage score, persons aged 65 years and over, NSW, 2002

Figure 36: Vaccinated against influenza in the last 12 months by health area, persons aged 65 years and over, NSW, 2002

Table 13: Vaccinated against influenza in the last 12 months by health area, persons aged 65 years and over, NSW, 2002

Figure 37: Vaccinated against pneumococcal disease in last 5 years by age, persons aged 65 years and over, NSW, 2002

Figure 38: Vaccinated against pneumococcal disease in last 5 years by socioeconomic disadvantage score, persons aged 65 years and over, NSW, 2002

Figure 39: Vaccinated against pneumococcal disease in last 5 years by health area, persons aged 65 years and over, NSW, 2002

Table 14: Vaccinated against pneumococcal disease in last 5 years by health area, persons aged 65 years and over, NSW, 2002

Injury prevention

Figure 40: Homes with a smoke alarm or detector by socioeconomic disadvantage score, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002

Figure 41: Homes with a smoke alarm or detector by health area, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002

Table 15: Homes with a smoke alarm or detector by health area, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002
Nutrition

Figure 42: Recommended daily fruit intake by age, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002
Figure 43: Recommended daily fruit intake by health area, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002
Table 16: Recommended daily fruit intake by health area, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002
Figure 44: Recommended daily vegetable intake by age, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002
Figure 45: Recommended daily vegetable intake by health area, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002
Table 17: Recommended daily vegetable intake by health area, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002
Figure 46: Usual use of low fat, reduced fat or skim milk by socioeconomic disadvantage score, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002
Figure 47: Usual use of low fat, reduced fat or skim milk by health area, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002
Table 18: Usual use of low fat, reduced fat or skim milk by health area, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002
Figure 48: Frequency of eating chips, french fries, wedges, fried potatoes or crisps per week, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002
Figure 49: Frequency of eating breakfast cereal, breads, pasta, rice and noodles per day, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002
Figure 50: Frequency of eating processed meat products per week, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002
Figure 51: Food insecurity in last 12 months by age, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002
Figure 52: Food insecurity in last 12 months by socioeconomic disadvantage score, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002

Physical activity

Figure 53: Adequate physical activity by age, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002
Figure 54: Adequate physical activity by socioeconomic disadvantage score, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002
Figure 55: Adequate physical activity by health area, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002
Table 19: Adequate physical activity by health area, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002
Figure 56: Usual transport to work, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002

Smoking

Figure 57: Smoking status, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002
Figure 58: Current daily or occasional smoking by age, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002
Figure 59: Intention to quit smoking, persons who smoke aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002
Figure 60: Environmental tobacco household exposure, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002
Figure 61: Smoke-free households by socioeconomic disadvantage score, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002
Figure 62: Smoke-free households by health area, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002
Table 20: Smoke-free households by health area, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002

8 HEALTH STATUS

Self-rated health status

Figure 63: Self-rated health status, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002
Figure 64: Excellent, very good, or good self-rated health status by age, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002
Figure 65: Excellent, very good, or good self-rated health status by health area, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002
Table 21: Excellent, very good, or good self-rated health status by health area, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002
### Asthma
- **Figure 66:** Ever diagnosed with asthma by age, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002
- **Figure 67:** Current asthma by age, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002
- **Figure 68:** Current asthma by health area, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002
- **Table 22:** Current asthma by health area, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002
- **Figure 69:** Visited doctor or emergency department for asthma attack in last 4 weeks, persons who currently have asthma, aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002

### Cardiovascular disease precursors
- **Figure 70:** Blood pressure measured within the last 2 years by age, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002
- **Figure 71:** High blood pressure by socioeconomic disadvantage score, persons who have had their blood pressure measured in the last 2 years, aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002
- **Figure 72:** High blood pressure by health area, persons who have had their blood pressure measured in the last 2 years, aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002
- **Table 23:** High blood pressure by health area, persons who have had their blood pressure measured in the last 2 years, aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002
- **Figure 73:** Cholesterol measured within last 2 years by age, persons aged 16 years and over who have had their cholesterol measured in the last 2 years, NSW, 2002
- **Figure 74:** High cholesterol by age, persons aged 16 years and over who have had their cholesterol measured in the last 2 years, NSW, 2002

### Chemical sensitivity

### Diabetes
- **Figure 75:** Diabetes or high blood sugar by age, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002
- **Figure 76:** Diabetes or high blood sugar by socioeconomic disadvantage score, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002

### Work-related injury
- **Figure 77:** Work-related injury in last 12 months by age, persons who worked in the previous 12 months, aged 16–65 years, NSW, 2002
- **Figure 78:** Work-related injury in last 12 months by socioeconomic disadvantage score, persons who worked in the previous 12 months, aged 16–65 years, NSW, 2002
- **Figure 79:** Work-related injury in last 12 months by health area, persons who worked in the previous 12 months, aged 16–65 years, NSW, 2002
- **Table 24:** Work-related injury in last 12 months by health area, persons who worked in the previous 12 months, aged 16–65 years, NSW, 2002
- **Figure 80:** Workers’ compensation for work-related injury in last 12 months, persons with a work-related injury, aged 16–65 years, NSW, 2002
- **Figure 81:** Type of work-related injury, persons who had a work-related injury, aged 16–65 years, NSW, 2002

### Mental health
- **Figure 82:** Psychological distress (Kessler 10) categories, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002
- **Figure 83:** High and very high psychological distress by age, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002
- **Figure 84:** High and very high psychological distress by socioeconomic disadvantage score, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002
- **Table 25:** High and very high psychological distress by health area, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002
- **Figure 85:** High and very high psychological distress by health area, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002
- **Figure 86:** Times that physical problems have been the cause of psychological distress in past 4 weeks, persons with moderate, high, or very high psychological distress, aged 16–64 years, NSW, 2002
- **Table 26:** Effect of psychological distress on daily activities in people with moderate, high, or very high psychological distress, aged 16–64 years, NSW, 2002
Oral health

Figure 87: No natural teeth missing by socioeconomic disadvantage score, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002

Figure 88: No natural teeth missing by health area, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002

Table 27: No natural teeth missing by health area, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002

Figure 89: Time since last dental visit, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002

Overweight or obesity

Figure 90: Body Mass Index (BMI) categories, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002

Figure 91: Overweight or obesity by age, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002

Figure 92: Overweight or obesity by socioeconomic disadvantage score, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002

Figure 93: Overweight or obesity by health area, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002

Table 28: Overweight or obesity by health area, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002

9 HEALTH SERVICES

Difficulties getting health care

Figure 94: Health services attended in last 12 months, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002

Figure 95: Difficulties getting health care when needing it by age, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002

Figure 96: Difficulties getting health care when needing it by socioeconomic disadvantage score, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002

Figure 97: Difficulties getting health care when needing it by health area, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002

Table 29: Difficulties getting health care when needing it by health area, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002

Figure 98: Types of difficulties getting health care when needing it, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002

Emergency departments

Figure 99: Emergency department attendance in the previous 12 months by socioeconomic disadvantage score, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002

Figure 100: Emergency department attendance in the previous 12 months by health area, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002

Table 30: Emergency department attendance in the previous 12 months by health area, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002

Figure 101: Emergency department care rated as excellent, very good or good by age, persons who attended an emergency department in the previous 12 months, aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002

Figure 102: Reason for rating most recent emergency visit as fair or poor, persons who attended an emergency department in the previous 12 months, aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002

Hospital admissions

Figure 103: Hospital admission in the previous 12 months by age, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002

Figure 104: Hospital care rated as excellent, very good or good by health area, persons who attended hospital in the previous 12 months, aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002

Table 31: Hospital care rated as excellent, very good or good by health area, persons who attended hospital in the previous 12 months, aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002

Figure 105: Reason for rating most recent overnight hospital stay as fair or poor, persons who attended hospital in the previous 12 months, aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002

Figure 106: Hospital care ratings, persons who attended hospital in the previous 12 months, aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002
Community health centres

Figure 107: Community health centre attendance in the previous 12 months by age, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002
Figure 108: Community health centre care ratings, persons who attended a community health centre in the previous 12 months, aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002
Figure 109: Reason for rating most recent community health centre visit as fair or poor, persons who attended a community health centre in the previous 12 months, aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002

Public dental services

Figure 110: Public dental service attendance in the previous 12 months by age, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002
Figure 111: Public dental service care rating, persons who attended a public dental service in the previous 12 months, aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002
Figure 112: Public dental service care rated as excellent, very good or good by age, persons who attended a public dental service in the previous 12 months, aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002
Figure 113: Reason for rating most recent public dental service visit as fair or poor, persons who attended a public dental service in the previous 12 months, aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002

10 SOCIAL CAPITAL

Figure 114: Participation in the local community, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002
Figure 115: Attended a community event at least once in the last 6 months by age, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002
Figure 116: Attended a community event at least once in the last 6 months by socioeconomic disadvantage score, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002
Figure 117: Attended a community event at least once in the last 6 months by health area, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002
Table 32: Attended a community event at least once in the last 6 months by health area, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002
Figure 118: Trust and safety in local area, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002
Figure 119: Most people can be trusted by age, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002
Figure 120: Most people can be trusted by socioeconomic disadvantage score, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002
Figure 121: Most people can be trusted by health area, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002
Table 33: Most people can be trusted by health area, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002
Figure 122: Reciprocity–social engagement, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002
Figure 123: Visit neighbours by age, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002
Figure 124: Visit neighbours by socioeconomic disadvantage score, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002
Figure 125: Visit neighbours by health area, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002
Table 34: Visit neighbours by health area, persons aged 16 years and over, NSW, 2002

11 CONCLUSION

Table 35: Trends in indicators, NSW, 1997–2002

12 QUESTION MODULES
1. FOREWORD

I am pleased to present this report of the New South Wales Adult Health Survey 2002, which provides information on health behaviours, health status, access to health services, and social capital, for people aged 16 years and over.

In 2002, the Centre for Epidemiology and Research, in partnership with the 17 area health services, conducted the first year of the New South Wales Continuous Health Survey, using computer-assisted telephone interviewing (CATI). In the continuous survey, interviews are conducted year-round with all age-groups in every area health service in NSW. Data for the New South Wales Adult Health Survey 2002 were collected from March to December 2002.

After describing the survey methods, this report presents information on health behaviours relating to alcohol, cancer screening, environmental health, immunisation, injury prevention, nutrition, physical activity, and smoking. This is followed by a chapter on health status including self-rated health status, asthma, precursors for cardiovascular disease, chemical sensitivity, diabetes, injury, mental health, oral health, and overweight and obesity. Next there is a chapter on health services including difficulties getting health care, and access to and satisfaction with hospital services, emergency departments, community health services, and public dental services. The final chapter covers social capital including social reciprocity and neighbourhood connection, trust and safety, and participation in the local community.

Indicators are presented for males and females by age, socioeconomic disadvantage, health area, and are compared to previous years where possible. This is a descriptive report, and there is a wealth of other information in the survey dataset that may be of specific interest. For these reasons, we encourage as many people as possible to access the dataset through the Health Outcomes Information Statistical Toolkit (HOIST) or by request. More specific reports on topics of interest can also be produced on request.

Further information can be obtained from the NSW Department of Health’s Centre for Epidemiology and Research. Comments on the New South Wales Continuous Health Survey, and on this report of the New South Wales Adult Health Survey 2002, are welcome.

I thank all the individuals and organisations who contributed their time and expertise to assist in the development and conduct of the New South Wales Adult Health Survey 2002.

Greg Stewart
Deputy Director-General Public Health and Chief Health Officer
December 2003
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3. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

In 2002, the NSW Department of Health, in conjunction with the 17 area health services, completed the first year of the New South Wales Continuous Health Survey, an ongoing survey of the health of people in NSW using computer-assisted telephone interviewing (CATI). The main aims of the New South Wales Continuous Health Survey are to provide detailed information on the health of the people of NSW, and to support the planning, implementation, and evaluation of health services and programs in NSW. This report describes the New South Wales Adult Health Survey 2002, a major activity of the New South Wales Continuous Health Survey.

The content of the New South Wales Adult Health Survey 2002 was developed by the Health Survey Program Steering Committee (HSPSC), in consultation with the area health services, other government departments, and a range of experts. The content covered the eight priority areas outlined in Healthy People 2005: New Directions for Public Health in NSW. The questionnaire was translated into five languages: Arabic, Chinese, Greek, Italian, and Vietnamese.

Interviews were carried out continuously between March and December 2002. The target population for the New South Wales Adult Health Survey 2002 was all NSW residents aged 16 years and over living in households with private telephones. Households were sampled using list-assisted random digit dialling. When a household was contacted, one person was randomly-selected for interview. Information was collected on a total of 12,622 adults.

Health behaviours

Unhealthy behaviours contribute significantly to the burden of death and ill health in NSW. Health behaviours measured in the New South Wales Adult Health Survey 2002 included alcohol intake, fruit and vegetable consumption, physical activity, smoking, and smoking in the home.

More than one-third of the overall population reported undertaking risk-drinking behaviours. The proportion of males with higher levels of risk-drinking behaviours was greater than the proportion of females, and young adults of both sexes were more likely to undertake risk-drinking behaviour than the general population. There was geographic variation, with rural residents reporting higher levels of risk-drinking than urban residents. Encouragingly, there has been a decrease in the proportion of people reporting risk-drinking behaviour since 1997.

Just under a half of all respondents reported eating the recommended daily fruit intake, while only one in seven respondents reported consuming the recommended daily minimum quantity of vegetables. Under a half of the respondents reported using low fat milk. A greater proportion of females than males consumed the recommended amount of fruit, vegetables, and used low fat milk each day. Overall, just under six per cent of respondents reported that they had run out of food and could not afford to buy more, on at least one occasion in the previous 12 months.

Just under a half of all respondents aged 16 years and over reported undertaking adequate levels of physical activity. The proportion of males undertaking adequate physical activity was greater than females.

In 2002, just over one in five adults aged 16 and over reported that they are current smokers. The proportion of males reporting that they currently smoke was greater than females. Encouragingly, this represents a decrease in prevalence of smoking from 1997. More than 80 per cent of respondents reported that their home was smoke-free, while just under 10 per cent reported people ‘occasionally’ smoked inside the house, and just under 10 per cent reported that people ‘frequently’ smoked inside the house.

Health status

The New South Wales Adult Health Survey 2002 collected information on a range of health indicators including: self-rated health status, asthma, diabetes, oral health, overweight and obesity, and psychological distress.

Over 80 per cent of the population rated their own health as ‘excellent’, ‘very good’, or ‘good’. There was no difference between the proportion of males and females who rated their health status positively.

Overall, 10 per cent of respondents aged 16 years and over reported current doctor-diagnosed asthma. A greater proportion of females than males reported current asthma, and young females had higher rates of current asthma than the overall population. The rate of current asthma was higher among rural residents than urban residents but has not altered since 1997.

Approximately six per cent of people aged 16 years and over reported that a doctor had ever told them that they had diabetes. There was no difference between male and female rates. The prevalence of diabetes increased with age and has increased since 1997.

Over one-third of all respondents reported that they had all their natural teeth.

Just under half of all respondents reported being either overweight or obese. A greater proportion of males than females were classified as overweight or obese. The proportion of people classified as overweight or obese has risen since 1997.

Overall, one in eight respondents reported either ‘high’ or ‘very high’ levels of psychological distress. Females were more likely to report ‘high’ or ‘very high’ levels of psychological distress than males. Rates of ‘high’ and ‘very high’ psychological distress have risen from 1998.
**Health services**

The *New South Wales Adult Health Survey 2002* collected information on the use of, and satisfaction with, health services including emergency departments, hospital admission, community health centres; and information on difficulties obtaining health care when needed.

One in eight respondents reported experiencing difficulties getting health care when needed. Females were more likely to report difficulties getting health care than males, as were rural residents.

One in seven respondents reported attending an emergency department in the previous 12 months; of these, three-quarters rated the care received as ‘excellent’, ‘very good’, or ‘good’. Similarly, one in seven respondents had been admitted to hospital and over 90 per cent of these rated the care received as ‘excellent’, ‘very good’, or ‘good’. Just under one in 13 respondents reported attending a community health centre, with over 93 per cent rating the care they received as ‘excellent’, ‘very good’, or ‘good’.

**Social capital**

The term ‘social capital’ refers to the institutions, relationships, and conventions that shape social networks, foster trust, and facilitate coordination and cooperation for mutual benefit. The *New South Wales Adult Health Survey 2002* included questions on social reciprocity and neighbourhood connection, feelings of trust and safety, and participation in the local community.

Seventy per cent of the population reported that they could ask someone in their neighbourhood for help with caring for a child, if they needed to; and nearly three-quarters of the population said they would be sad if they had to leave their neighbourhood. Two-thirds of respondents reported feeling safe walking down their street after dark, and males were more likely to report feeling safe than females.

Overall, one-third of the population had helped out a local group or organisation, and more than half of the population had attended a local community event in the past six months.
4. SNAPSHOT OF ADULT HEALTH, NSW, 2002

(Smoking) N(Nutrition and Obesity) A(Alcohol) P(Physical Activity) S(Psychological Distress) H(Health Status and Health Services) O(Oral Health, Asthma and Diabetes) T(Trust and Social Capital)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Persons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Health behaviours</td>
<td>Alcohol</td>
<td>Alcohol risk drinking</td>
<td>39.2</td>
<td>29.7</td>
<td>34.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fruit</td>
<td>Recommended daily fruit intake</td>
<td>40.3</td>
<td>50.1</td>
<td>45.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vegetables</td>
<td>Recommended vegetable intake</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td>16.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Physical Activity</td>
<td>Adequate physical activity</td>
<td>50.4</td>
<td>42.9</td>
<td>46.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td>Current daily or occasional smoking</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>21.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Smoke free households</td>
<td>Smoke-free households</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>81.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health status</td>
<td>Health status</td>
<td>Excellent, very good, or good self-rated health status</td>
<td>81.8</td>
<td>79.7</td>
<td>80.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Asthma</td>
<td>Current asthma</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>10.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>Diabetes or high blood sugar</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Psychological distress</td>
<td>High and very high psychological distress</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>12.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oral Health</td>
<td>No natural teeth missing</td>
<td>37.9</td>
<td>36.6</td>
<td>37.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Overweight and obesity</td>
<td>Overweight and obesity</td>
<td>53.9</td>
<td>38.5</td>
<td>46.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health services</td>
<td>Difficulty getting health care</td>
<td>Difficulties getting health care when needing it</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>12.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Emergency department care rating</td>
<td>Emergency department care rated as excellent, very good or good</td>
<td>79.8</td>
<td>73.2</td>
<td>76.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hospital care rating</td>
<td>Hospital care rated as excellent, very good or good</td>
<td>93.5</td>
<td>89.3</td>
<td>91.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social capital</td>
<td>Participation</td>
<td>Attended a community event at least once in the last 6 months</td>
<td>52.9</td>
<td>60.5</td>
<td>56.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Trust</td>
<td>Most people can be trusted</td>
<td>69.0</td>
<td>62.9</td>
<td>65.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Safety</td>
<td>Feel safe walking down their street after dark</td>
<td>78.0</td>
<td>55.8</td>
<td>66.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reciprocity–Social engagement</td>
<td>Visit neighbours</td>
<td>68.7</td>
<td>63.2</td>
<td>65.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Introduction

In 2002, the NSW Department of Health, in conjunction with the 17 area health services, completed the first year of the New South Wales Continuous Health Survey, an ongoing survey of the health of people in NSW using computer-assisted telephone interviewing (CATI). The main aims of the New South Wales Continuous Health Survey are to provide detailed information on the health of the people of NSW, and to support the planning, implementation, and evaluation of health services and programs in NSW.

Prior to the introduction of the New South Wales Continuous Health Survey, the Centre for Epidemiology and Research conducted adult health surveys in 1997 and 1998, an older people’s health survey in 1999, and a child health survey in 2001.

This section describes the methods used to conduct the New South Wales Adult Health Survey 2002, which reports on the health of NSW residents aged 16 and over.

New South Wales Adult Health Survey 2002

Survey instrument

The survey instrument for the New South Wales Adult Health Survey 2002 was developed by the Health Survey Program in conjunction with the Health Survey Program Steering Committee (HSPSC) and topic experts. The HSPSC includes representatives from: Centre for Health Protection; Centre for Mental Health; Centre for Research and Development; Drug Programs Bureau; Centre for Epidemiology and Research; Centre for Health Promotion; Communication Directorate; Policy Division, Quality and Clinical Policy Directorate; the Council of Ethnic Communities; the directors of divisions of population health; general practice; rural primary care; the directors of rural public health units; the directors of metropolitan public health units; the directors of rural health promotion units; the directors of metropolitan health promotion units; and the directors of health service development.

The survey instrument included: questions previously used by the NSW Health Survey Program; new questions developed specifically for the New South Wales Adult Health Survey 2002; and questions developed specifically for the area health services. Following approval by the NSW Department of Health Ethics Committee, the initial questionnaire was piloted in February 2002. After piloting, any additional questions were field-tested prior to inclusion in the survey.

The final survey instrument covered the eight priority areas outlined in Healthy People 2005: New Directions for Public Health in New South Wales,1 and included questions on:

- social determinants of health including demographics and social capital;
- environmental determinants of health including environmental tobacco smoke, injury prevention, and environmental risk;
- individual or behavioural determinants of health including physical activity, body mass index, nutrition, smoking, alcohol consumption, immunisation, and health status;
- major health problems including asthma, diabetes, precursors of cardiovascular disease, cancer screening, oral health, and mental health;
- population groups with special needs including children, older people, and rural residents;
- settings including access to, use of, and satisfaction with health services; and health priorities within specific area health services;
- partnerships and infrastructure including evaluation of campaigns and policies.

The survey instrument was translated into five languages: Arabic, Chinese, Greek, Italian, and Vietnamese.

Survey Sample

The target population for the New South Wales Adult Health Survey 2002 was all NSW residents living in households with private telephones. The target sample comprised approximately 1,000 people in each of the 17 area health services (total sample of 17,000).

The sampling frame was developed as follows. Records from the Australia on Disk electronic White Pages were geo-coded using MapInfo mapping software.2,3 The geo-coded telephone numbers were assigned to statistical local areas and area health services. The proportion of numbers for each telephone prefix by area health service was calculated. All prefixes were expanded with suffixes ranging from 0000 to 9999. The resulting list was then matched back to the electronic phone book. All numbers that matched numbers in the electronic phone book were flagged and the number was assigned to the relevant geo-coded area health service. Unlisted numbers were assigned to the area health service containing the greatest proportion of numbers with that prefix. Numbers were then filtered to eliminate contiguous unused blocks of greater than 10 numbers. The remaining numbers were then checked against business numbers in the electronic phone book to eliminate business numbers. Finally, numbers were randomly sorted.

When households were contacted, one person was selected, using random numbers generated by the CATI system.

Interviews

Interviews were carried out continuously between March and December 2002. Households selected that had
addresses in the electronic phone book were sent a letter describing the aims and methods of the survey two weeks prior to initial attempts at telephone contact. A 1800 freecall contact number was provided for potential respondents to verify the authenticity of the survey and to ask any questions regarding the survey. Trained interviewers at the NSW Health Survey facility carried out interviews. Up to seven calls were made to establish initial contact with a household, and five calls were made in order to contact a selected respondent. When a child under the age of 16 years was selected, the main carer, known as the ‘proxy respondent’, was interviewed on behalf of the child.

**Call outcomes and response rates**

During the survey, 78,081 telephone numbers were called. The outcome for these telephone numbers is shown in Table 1. Only 33,720 (43 per cent) of the numbers called yielded an eligible household. The remaining numbers were not answered (despite seven call backs); or were disconnected; or were business, fax, or interstate numbers.

In total, 15,442 interviews were conducted, with at least 830 interviews in each area health service and 12,622 with people aged 16 years or over. The overall response rate was 67.6 per cent (completed interviews divided by completed interviews and refusals). Response rates varied by health area, from 57.6 per cent in South East Sydney Area Health Service to 74.5 per cent in Northern Rivers Area Health Service (Table 2). Most respondents (99 per cent) were interviewed in English. The remaining interviews were conducted in Arabic, Chinese, Greek, Italian, and Vietnamese (Table 3).

**Data Analysis**

For analysis, the survey sample was weighted to adjust for differences in the probabilities of selection among subjects. These differences were due to the varying number of people living in each household and the number of residential telephone connections for the household.

‘Post-stratification’ weights were used to reduce the effect of differing non-response rates among males and females and different age groups on the survey estimates. These weights were adjusted for differences between the age and sex structure of the survey sample and the Australian Bureau of Statistics 2001 mid-year population estimates (excluding people resident in institutions) for each area health service. Further information on the weighting process is provided elsewhere.

Call and interview data were manipulated and analysed using SAS version 8.02. The SURVEYMEANS procedure in SAS version 8.02 was used to analyse the data and calculate point estimates and 95 per cent confidence intervals for the estimates. The procedure calculates standard errors adjusted for the design effect factor or DEFF (the variance for a non-random sample divided by the

---

### Table 1: Outcomes of Telephone Calls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Telephone Numbers</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>33125</td>
<td>No answer (after 7 call backs) or not connected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10724</td>
<td>Business telephone or fax number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>512</td>
<td>Household not in NSW or holiday house</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8904</td>
<td>Selected respondent away during survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1232</td>
<td>Selected respondent confused or deaf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>754</td>
<td>Selected respondent spoke other language</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7388</td>
<td>Refusal to participate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15442</td>
<td>Completed interview</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78081</td>
<td>Total telephone numbers called</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2: Completed Interviews and Response Rates by Health Area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Health Area</th>
<th>Total Respondents</th>
<th>Response Rate (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Central Coast</td>
<td>851</td>
<td>66.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Sydney</td>
<td>933</td>
<td>59.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Far West</td>
<td>865</td>
<td>71.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Murray</td>
<td>892</td>
<td>74.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hunter</td>
<td>862</td>
<td>69.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illawarra</td>
<td>938</td>
<td>67.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macquarie</td>
<td>912</td>
<td>72.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid North Coast</td>
<td>869</td>
<td>74.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Western</td>
<td>965</td>
<td>73.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New England</td>
<td>898</td>
<td>74.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Rivers</td>
<td>962</td>
<td>74.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Sydney</td>
<td>832</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South East Sydney</td>
<td>931</td>
<td>57.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South West Sydney</td>
<td>1069</td>
<td>73.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern NSW</td>
<td>917</td>
<td>60.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wentworth</td>
<td>896</td>
<td>62.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Sydney</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>60.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>15442</td>
<td>67.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3: Completed Interviews by Language

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Language</th>
<th>Number of Respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>English</td>
<td>15259</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arabic</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinese</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italian</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greek</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vietnamese</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>15442</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
variance for a simple random sample). It uses the Taylor expansion method to estimate sampling errors of estimators based on the stratified random sample.\(^5\)

**The K10 measure of psychological distress**

The K10 scale was included in the *New South Wales Adult Health Survey 2002*, as a measure of ‘psychological distress’\(^6,7\). The K10 is a 10-item questionnaire intended to yield a global measure of psychological distress. It includes questions about the level of anxiety and depressive symptoms in the most recent four-week period. For each question, there is a five-level response scale based on the amount of time (from none of the time through to all the time) during a four-week period that the person experienced the particular problem.

Scoring of the raw questionnaire assigns between one and five points to each symptom, with a value of one indicating that the person experiences the problem ‘none of the time’ and five indicating ‘all of the time’. It follows that the total K10 score for each person ranges from 10 points (that is, all responses are ‘none of the time’) through to 50 (all responses are ‘all of the time’).\(^8,9\)

The K10 scores calculated for the *New South Wales Adult Health Survey 2002* are a combination of actual and imputed scores. Where a respondent answered all 10 questions, the K10 score was simply the sum of the individual scores for each question. Where the respondent answered nine questions, the score for the missing question was imputed as the mean score of the nine answered questions. To minimise the burden on people aged 65 years and over, only six of the 10 questions were asked. This resulted in a K6 score, which was converted into a K10 score using the relationship between K6 and K10 scores from the 1997 and 1998 New South Wales adult health surveys.

**Indices of geographic remoteness and socioeconomic disadvantage: ARIA and SEIFA**

The Accessibility–Remoteness Index for Australia (ARIA) is a measure of the remoteness of a locality based on its accessibility to service centres.\(^10\) It is derived using the road distances from 11,340 populated localities to 201 service centres across Australia. For each locality, the accessibility to services is expressed as a continuous measure from 0 (high accessibility) to 12 (high remoteness) and grouped into five categories: highly accessible, accessible, moderately accessible, remote, and very remote.

The Socio-Economic Indexes for Areas (SEIFA) describe the socioeconomic aspects of geographical areas in Australia, using a number of underlying variables such as family and household characteristics, personal educational qualifications, and occupation.\(^11\)

The SEIFA index that is used to provide breakdowns of the New South Wales Adult Health Survey 2002 data is the Index of Relative Socio-Economic Disadvantage. This index is calculated on attributes such as low income and educational attainment, high unemployment, and people working in unskilled occupations.

SEIFA index values are grouped into five quintiles, with quintile one being the least disadvantaged and quintile five being the most disadvantaged.

Both the ARIA and SEIFA indexes were assigned to the results of the *New South Wales Adult Health Survey 2002*, based on respondents’ postcode of residence. Rates for each ARIA category and SEIFA quintile were calculated for several health indicators included in this report, to enable geographic and socioeconomic comparisons.

**References**

6. REPRESENTATIVENESS OF SAMPLE

Males were under-represented in the survey, making up 43.9 per cent of the survey sample, compared with 49.7 per cent of the NSW population. Conversely, females were over-represented, making up 56.1 per cent of the survey sample, compared with 50.3 per cent of the NSW population. Among both sexes, people aged 49 or younger were under-represented in the sample, while people aged 50 or over were over-represented. Comparisons of the distribution of the survey sample and that of the population are shown in Table 4. After weighting, the age-and sex-distribution of the survey sample reflected that of the population.

Indigenous people comprised 2.6 per cent of the survey sample, which is slightly higher than their representation in the NSW population (1.9 per cent), and people born in Australia comprised 83 per cent of the survey sample, which is higher than their representation in the NSW population (70.5 per cent) according to the 2001 Census.1

Figures 1–2 and Table 4 provide information on the age distribution of unweighted survey sample versus the NSW population for males and females. Figures 3–9 show the distribution of the survey sample by SEIFA quintile, Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander status, country of birth, people who speak a language other than English at home, current employment status, highest level of schooling completed, and household income.
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TABLE 4
SURVEY SAMPLE SIZE AND NSW POPULATION: BY AGE AND SEX

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age group</th>
<th>Survey Sample (unweighted)</th>
<th>NSW Population June 2001</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Males</td>
<td>Females</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>no</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0–4</td>
<td>463</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5–9</td>
<td>415</td>
<td>2.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10–14</td>
<td>465</td>
<td>3.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15–19</td>
<td>388</td>
<td>2.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20–24</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25–29</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>1.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30–34</td>
<td>318</td>
<td>2.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35–39</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>2.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40–44</td>
<td>451</td>
<td>2.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45–49</td>
<td>444</td>
<td>2.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50–54</td>
<td>518</td>
<td>3.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55–59</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>3.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60–64</td>
<td>494</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65–69</td>
<td>477</td>
<td>3.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70–74</td>
<td>417</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75–79</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80–84</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>1.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85+</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>6777</td>
<td>43.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: 6 respondents had a missing value for age
FIGURE 4
ABORIGINAL OR TORRES STRAIT ISLANDER ORIGIN, PERSONS AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.
**FIGURE 6**

SPEAK A LANGUAGE OTHER THAN ENGLISH AT HOME, PERSONS AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Language</th>
<th>Males Estimated Number</th>
<th>Males Per cent</th>
<th>Females Estimated Number</th>
<th>Females Per cent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arabic, Lebanese</td>
<td>35,500</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>84.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinese, Cantonese, Mandarin</td>
<td>61,000</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>84.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vietnamese</td>
<td>22,200</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>84.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italian</td>
<td>22,500</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>84.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greek</td>
<td>25,200</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>84.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filipino, Tagalog</td>
<td>20,600</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>84.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spanish</td>
<td>19,200</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>84.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russian</td>
<td>5,500</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>84.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hindi</td>
<td>13,900</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>84.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>English</td>
<td>2,068,900</td>
<td>84.3</td>
<td>84.9</td>
<td>84.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other languages</td>
<td>159,500</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>84.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.
FIGURE 7
CURRENT EMPLOYMENT STATUS, PERSONS AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002

Estimated Number

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Males</th>
<th></th>
<th>Females</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1,604,100</td>
<td>64.9</td>
<td></td>
<td>48.6</td>
<td>1,241,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90,400</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>103,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16,800</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>33,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31,200</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>47,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>728,600</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>44.2</td>
<td>1,130,500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.

FIGURE 8
HIGHEST LEVEL OF SCHOOL COMPLETED, PERSONS AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002

Estimated Number

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Males</th>
<th></th>
<th>Females</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9,500</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>164,400</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>186,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127,900</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>133,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>740,600</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>32.6</td>
<td>827,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>131,500</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>122,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,272,800</td>
<td>51.9</td>
<td></td>
<td>49.5</td>
<td>1,256,900</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.
FIGURE 9

HOUSEHOLD INCOME, PERSONS AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Estimated Number</th>
<th>Males</th>
<th>Females</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Less than $10,000</td>
<td>119,100 5.7</td>
<td>185,600 9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10,000-$20,000</td>
<td>282,100 13.5</td>
<td>323,400 16.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$20,000-$40,000</td>
<td>407,300 19.5</td>
<td>379,400 19.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$40,000-$60,000</td>
<td>400,300 19.2</td>
<td>370,100 18.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$60,000-$80,000</td>
<td>322,700 15.5</td>
<td>279,800 14.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than $80,000</td>
<td>554,300 26.6</td>
<td>422,400 21.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.
7. HEALTH BEHAVIOURS

Alcohol

Introduction

Alcohol affects health in a number of ways, including: acute physical effects, such as intoxication and alcohol overdose; chronic physical effects, such as cirrhosis of the liver, heart disease, brain damage, and memory loss; and the effects of alcohol consumption on the health of others, such as road trauma caused by drink-driving and alcohol-related violence. Alcohol abuse is also associated with crime, social problems, and lost productivity.

Alcohol consumption is second only to tobacco consumption as a preventable cause of drug-related morbidity and mortality in Australia. The Australian Institute of Health and Welfare estimates that in 1998 there were 3,271 alcohol-related deaths and 43,032 hospital episodes arising from the misuse of alcohol.

Despite the major harms associated with excessive alcohol consumption, a number of health benefits are believed to accrue from low-to-moderate alcohol consumption. These include: reduced strain of chronic stress and negative life events; decreased risk of stone formation in the kidney and gall bladder; increased bone mineral density; and decreased mortality from cardiovascular disease in middle-aged and elderly populations.

To monitor levels of alcohol use in the community, the New South Wales Adult Health Survey 2002 included questions on the consumption of alcohol. Respondents were asked the following questions: ‘How often do you usually drink alcohol?’; ‘On a day when you drink alcohol, how many standard drinks do you usually have?’; ‘In the past four weeks how often have you had more than four [if male] or up to four [if female] drinks in a day?’; ‘In the past four weeks, how often have you had 11 or more [if male] or seven or more [if female] drinks in a day?’; ‘In the past four weeks how often have you had 7–10 [if male] or 5–6 [if female] drinks in a day?’

Results

Any alcohol risk-drinking behaviour

‘Any alcohol risk-drinking behaviour’ was defined, as per Guideline 1 of the NHMRC Australian Alcohol Guidelines, as one or more of the following: consuming alcohol every day; consuming on average more than four if male or two if female ‘standard drinks’ per day; or consuming more than six if male or four if female ‘standard drinks’ on any occasion in the past four weeks.

In 2002, more than one-third of the overall population (34.4 per cent) reported ‘any risk drinking behaviour’. The proportion of males (39.2 per cent) engaging in any risk drinking behaviours was significantly higher than the proportion of females (29.7 per cent).

Among males, a significantly higher proportion of those aged 16–24 years (47.9 per cent) and a significantly lower proportion of those aged 65–74 years (29.4 per cent) reported any risk-drinking behaviour, compared with the overall male population. Among females, a significantly greater proportion of those aged 16–24 years (47.2 per cent) and a significantly lower proportion of those aged 45 years and over (14.0 per cent to 23.8 per cent) reported any-risk drinking behaviour, compared with the overall female population.

There was significant geographic variation in ‘any risk drinking behaviour’, with a significantly higher proportion of rural residents (38.1 per cent) reporting any-risk drinking behaviour than urban residents (33.3 per cent). Residents in the South Western Sydney Area Health Service (22.3 per cent) had significantly lower levels of risk-drinking behaviours than the residents of other urban area health services. There was no significant difference within rural area health services.

A significantly greater proportion of females in the least socioeconomically disadvantaged quintile (38.2 per cent) and a significantly lower proportion in the most socioeconomically disadvantaged quintile (23.0 per cent) were likely to report risk-drinking behaviours than the overall female population. There was no significant difference in the proportion of males reporting risk-drinking behaviours by socioeconomic quintile.

Encouragingly, there has been a significant decrease in the proportion of people reporting ‘any risk drinking behaviour’ between 1997 (42.3 per cent) and 2002 (34.4 per cent). This decrease was greater in males (50.7 per cent to 39.2 per cent) than females (34.1 per cent to 29.7 per cent).

High short-term alcohol risk

Short term alcohol risk was categorised into ‘low risk’ (having consumed up to six standard drinks on any one day if male, or up to four standard drinks if female); ‘risk’ (having consumed 7–10 standard drinks on any one day if male, and 5–6 if female), and ‘high risk’ (having consumed 11 or more standard drinks in any one day if male, and seven or more if female), as per the WHO International Guide for Monitoring Alcohol Consumption and Related Harm.

Overall in 2002, 73.4 per cent of people who consumed alcohol were classified as at ‘low’ risk as a result of their drinking behaviour, 12.1 per cent as ‘risk’, and 14.4 per cent as at a ‘high’ risk of harm in the short-term, as a result of their drinking. Among people who consumed alcohol, the proportion of males reporting short-term high-risk drinking (16.7 per cent) was significantly higher than the proportion of females (11.7 per cent).
Among males who consumed alcohol, a significantly higher proportion of those aged 16–34 years (28.5 per cent to 29.2 per cent), and a significantly lower proportion of those aged 55 years and over (0.5 per cent to 8.0 per cent) were likely to report short-term high-risk drinking than the overall population of males who consumed alcohol. Among females who consumed alcohol, a significantly higher proportion aged 16–34 years (18.2 per cent to 28.1 per cent) and a significantly lower proportion aged 45 years and over (0.1 per cent to 6.7 per cent) were likely to report short-term high-risk drinking than the overall female population who consumed alcohol.

Among people who consume alcohol, there was no significant difference in the levels of short-term high-risk drinking between urban residents (14.1 per cent) and rural residents (15.7 per cent); however, in the Far West Area Health Service the proportion of males who consumed alcohol, and had short-term high-risk levels of drinking (31.1 per cent), was significantly higher than the overall male population who drink alcohol.

There was no difference in short-term high-risk drinking according to socioeconomic disadvantage.

There were no comparative data for short-term high-risk drinking in 1997 and 1998.

Figures 10–12 and Table 5 show the proportion of people reporting any alcohol risk-drinking by age, socioeconomic disadvantage, and health area. Figures 13–14 provide information on short-term alcohol risk in the past four weeks and the proportion of people reporting high risk-drinking in the last four weeks by age.
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### FIGURE 10

**ANY ALCOHOL RISK DRINKING BY AGE, PERSONS AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Males</th>
<th>Females</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16-24</td>
<td>46.3</td>
<td>34.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-34</td>
<td>39.9</td>
<td>29.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-44</td>
<td>29.4</td>
<td>19.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-54</td>
<td>36.7</td>
<td>23.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-64</td>
<td>33.5</td>
<td>23.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65-74</td>
<td>37.7</td>
<td>32.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75+</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>19.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.
FIGURE 11
ANY ALCOHOL RISK DRINKING BEHAVIOUR BY SOCIOECONOMIC DISADVANTAGE SCORE, PERSONS AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.

FIGURE 12
ANY ALCOHOL RISK DRINKING BEHAVIOUR BY HEALTH AREA, PERSONS AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.
### TABLE 5
#### ANY ALCOHOL RISK DRINKING BEHAVIOUR BY HEALTH AREA, PERSONS AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Males</th>
<th>Females</th>
<th>Persons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% LL UL (est. no.)</td>
<td>% LL UL (est. no.)</td>
<td>% LL UL (est. no.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>95%CI 95%CI</td>
<td>95%CI 95%CI</td>
<td>95%CI 95%CI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Sydney</td>
<td>40.8 34.5 47.2</td>
<td>34.5 27.9 30.3</td>
<td>34.3 30.3 38.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Sydney</td>
<td>36.7 30.3 43.1</td>
<td>30.3 25.8 30.6</td>
<td>36.9 34.5 41.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Sydney</td>
<td>31.8 25.1 38.4</td>
<td>25.1 31.7 26.2</td>
<td>32.2 29.3 35.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wentworth</td>
<td>37.7 30.9 44.5</td>
<td>30.9 31.7 26.2</td>
<td>37.6 33.2 41.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South West Sydney</td>
<td>26.4 20.4 32.4</td>
<td>20.4 18.1 27.3</td>
<td>28.0 24.5 32.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Coast</td>
<td>43 35.5 50.5</td>
<td>35.5 33.2 27.3</td>
<td>35.6 33.7 41.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hunter</td>
<td>44.9 37.8 52.5</td>
<td>37.8 31.6 26.3</td>
<td>37.6 33.3 41.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illawarra</td>
<td>44.7 37.8 51.5</td>
<td>37.8 30.8 25.7</td>
<td>37.6 33.3 41.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South East Sydney</td>
<td>40.6 34 47.1</td>
<td>34 30 25</td>
<td>35.2 31.1 39.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Rivers</td>
<td>43.4 36.5 50.4</td>
<td>36.5 30.3 25.1</td>
<td>34.5 30.2 38.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid North Coast</td>
<td>47.3 40.5 54.2</td>
<td>40.5 31.5 23.9</td>
<td>37.9 33.3 42.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New England</td>
<td>41 34.2 48.1</td>
<td>34.2 29.8 24.8</td>
<td>35.9 31.3 40.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macquarie</td>
<td>42.2 35.2 49.1</td>
<td>35.2 30.9 24.8</td>
<td>37.8 33.3 42.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Western</td>
<td>47.2 40.7 53.7</td>
<td>40.7 34.8 24.8</td>
<td>40.4 36.2 44.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Far West</td>
<td>55.5 48.6 62.3</td>
<td>48.6 38.5 28.5</td>
<td>43.8 38.2 47.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Murray</td>
<td>50.7 43.4 57.9</td>
<td>43.4 32.7 27.6</td>
<td>41.7 37.1 46.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern</td>
<td>44.6 38 51.1</td>
<td>38 30.2 25.9</td>
<td>37.7 33.5 41.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>37.4 35 39.7</td>
<td>35 29.5 27.6</td>
<td>33.3 31.8 34.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>46 43.4 48.7</td>
<td>43.4 30.6 28.4</td>
<td>38.1 36.4 39.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSW</td>
<td>39.2 37.3 41.1</td>
<td>37.3 29.7 28.1</td>
<td>34.4 33.1 35.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Estimates are based on 12,475 respondents in NSW.

147 (1.16 per cent) were 'not stated' (Don't know or Refused) for this indicator in NSW.

Any alcohol risk drinking behaviour was defined as per Guideline 1 of the NHMRC Australian Alcohol Guidelines, as one or more of the following: consuming alcohol every day, consuming on average more than [4 if male; 2 if female] standard drinks, consuming more than [6 if male; 4 if female] on any one occasion or day. Questions used to define the indicator were ‘How often do you usually drink alcohol?’, ‘On a day when you drink alcohol, how many standard drinks do you usually have?’, ‘In the past 4 weeks have you had more than [7–10 if male; 5–6 if female] drinks in a day’, and ‘In the past 4 weeks how often have you had [11 or more if male; 7 or more if female] drinks in a day?’.

### FIGURE 13
#### SHORT-TERM ALCOHOL RISK IN THE PAST 4 WEEKS, PERSONS WHO CONSUME ALCOHOL, AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Estimated Number</th>
<th>Males</th>
<th>Low Risk</th>
<th>Risky</th>
<th>High Risk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1,378,700</td>
<td>68.1</td>
<td>68.1</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>16.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>306,300</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>338,300</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.
Cancer screening

Introduction

Australia currently supports two population cancer screening programs: BreastScreen Australia, a population-based breast cancer screening program for females aged over 40 years, targeting females in the 50–69 years age group; and the National Cervical Screening Program for cervical cancer, a population screening program, targeting all females aged 18–70 years who have ever been sexually active.

The aim of screening for cancer is to reduce mortality and disability from the disease. Mortality, and not five-year survival, is the outcome indicator for screening, because survival may be extended purely as a consequence of the cancers being diagnosed earlier, before symptoms are apparent.

In 2001, breast cancer was the most common cancer in women, comprising 29 per cent of all female cancers. Between 1990 and 2001, the age-standardised incidence rate of breast cancer increased by 19 per cent in females; however, the mortality rate fell by 24 per cent in this period.1 Part of the increasing incidence of breast cancer is explained by the earlier detection of cancers through mammographic screening. This explanation is supported by evidence that the average size of breast cancer tumours has decreased.2

The BreastScreen NSW program (part of BreastScreen Australia) began in 1991, and offers females aged 50–69 years a screening mammogram every two years. BreastScreen NSW has set a target rate for two-yearly screening of 70 per cent of females aged 50–69 years. A screening mammogram differs from a diagnostic mammogram in that screening is conducted on females who have no history of breast cancer, and no breast problems or symptoms at the time the mammogram is taken.

The incidence of cervical cancer has been decreasing steadily in the last three decades. Between 1972 and 2001, cervical cancer declined from the fourth to the fourteenth most common cancer in females.1

The Pap test is effective at detecting precancerous lesions in the cervix, and regular two-yearly testing with appropriate follow up treatment can prevent cervical cancer from developing in most cases.3 This is why cervical screening can reduce both cancer incidence and mortality. The target population for the Pap test is all females aged between 18 and 70 years who have ever been sexually active.

The New South Wales Adult Health Survey 2002 asked females aged 50–69 years the following questions: ‘Have you ever had a mammogram?’, ‘When did you last have a mammogram?’, ‘Can you tell me all the reasons why you had your last mammogram?’, ‘Do you have mammograms regularly?’, ‘What is the usual time period between your mammograms?’. Females aged 20–69 years were also asked the following questions: ‘Have you ever had a Pap

---
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**FIGURE 14**

HIGH RISK DRINKING IN THE PAST 4 WEEKS, PERSONS WHO CONSUME ALCOHOL, AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.
test?’, ‘When did you last have a Pap test?’, ‘Do you have a Pap test regularly?’, ‘What is the usual time period between your Pap tests?’.

Results

Breast Cancer Screening

To establish the proportion of females who have screening mammograms, females who had a breast problem or had had breast cancer in the past were excluded from the data.

In 2002, 75.2 per cent of females aged 50–69 years reported having a screening mammogram within the past two years. A significantly higher proportion of females aged 60–64 years (82.1 per cent) reported having a screening mammogram within the last two years compared with the overall female population aged 50–69 years.

There was no significant difference between the proportions of females who reported having a screening mammogram in the last two years in urban areas (75.0 per cent) and rural areas (75.8 per cent). There was no significant difference among area health services.

A significantly greater proportion of females aged 50–69 years in the least socioeconomically disadvantaged quintile (84.4 per cent) reported having a screening mammogram within the past two years compared with the overall female population aged 50–69 years.

There was no significant difference in the proportion of females who reported having a screening mammogram in the last two years between 1997 (73.3 per cent) and 2002 (75.2 per cent).

The survey prevalence estimates for breast screening may differ to those published by BreastScreen NSW, due to the inclusion of private-sector screening in the survey estimates, and possible over-reporting in the survey.

Cervical Screening

To establish the proportion of females who have Pap tests, females who have had a hysterectomy were excluded from the data.

In 2002, 74.6 per cent of females aged 20–69 years reported having a Pap test in the past two years. A significantly lower proportion of females aged 20–29 years (65.4 per cent) and 60–69 years (67.6 per cent) had a Pap test within the last two years compared with the overall female population aged 20–69 years.

There was no significant difference between proportions of females who reported having a Pap test in the last two years in urban areas (73.8 per cent) and rural areas (77.8 per cent). There was no significant difference among area health services.

A significantly higher proportion of females aged 20–69 years in the least disadvantaged quintile (82.9 per cent) reported having a Pap test within the last two years compared with the overall female population aged 20–69 years.

There was no significant difference in the proportion of females who reported having a Pap test in the last two years between 1998 (77.3 per cent) and 2002 (74.6 per cent).

The survey prevalence estimates for cervical screening may differ from those published by the New South Wales Pap Test Register, because of differences in the populations included in the data collections, and possible over-reporting in the survey.

Figures 15–17 and Table 6 present information on the proportion of females aged 50–69 years who have had a mammogram in the last two years by age, socioeconomic disadvantage and health area. Figures 18–20 and Table 7 show the proportion of females aged 20–69 years who have had a Pap test within the last two years by age, socioeconomic disadvantage and health area. Figure 21 shows the proportion of females aged 20–69 years who report they have had a hysterectomy.
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**FIGURE 15**

SCREENING MAMMOGRAM WITHIN THE LAST 2 YEARS BY AGE, FEMALES AGED 50–69 YEARS, NSW, 2002

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Per cent</th>
<th>Estimated Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>65-69</td>
<td>73.5</td>
<td>75,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60-64</td>
<td>82.1</td>
<td>97,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-59</td>
<td>77.6</td>
<td>105,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-54</td>
<td>69.6</td>
<td>118,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSW</td>
<td>75.2</td>
<td>396,800</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.

**FIGURE 16**

SCREENING MAMMOGRAM WITHIN THE LAST 2 YEARS BY SOCIOECONOMIC DISADVANTAGE SCORE, FEMALES AGED 50–69 YEARS, NSW, 2002

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quintile</th>
<th>Per cent</th>
<th>Estimated Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5th Quintile</td>
<td>69.8</td>
<td>82,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th Quintile</td>
<td>73.8</td>
<td>93,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd Quintile</td>
<td>72.6</td>
<td>80,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd Quintile</td>
<td>79.4</td>
<td>73,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st Quintile</td>
<td>84.4</td>
<td>67,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSW</td>
<td>75.2</td>
<td>396,800</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.
FIGURE 17
SCREENING MAMMOGRAM WITHIN THE LAST 2 YEARS BY HEALTH AREA, FEMALES AGED 50–69 YEARS, NSW, 2002

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.

TABLE 6
SCREENING MAMMOGRAM WITHIN THE LAST 2 YEARS BY HEALTH AREA, FEMALES AGED 50–69 YEARS, NSW, 2002

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>LL95%CI</th>
<th>UL95%CI</th>
<th>(est no.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Central Sydney</td>
<td>75.4</td>
<td>66.4</td>
<td>84.3</td>
<td>28200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Sydney</td>
<td>91.2</td>
<td>86.1</td>
<td>96.3</td>
<td>60500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Sydney</td>
<td>74.2</td>
<td>64.5</td>
<td>83.9</td>
<td>38300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wentworth</td>
<td>65.8</td>
<td>55.6</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>14200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South West Sydney</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>74.9</td>
<td>35600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Coast</td>
<td>72.6</td>
<td>63.6</td>
<td>81.5</td>
<td>19000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hunter</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>70.5</td>
<td>85.6</td>
<td>37800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illawarra</td>
<td>73.6</td>
<td>65.7</td>
<td>81.6</td>
<td>21800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South East Sydney</td>
<td>71.6</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>81.2</td>
<td>42400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Rivers</td>
<td>71.2</td>
<td>63.5</td>
<td>78.8</td>
<td>18100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid North Coast</td>
<td>82.1</td>
<td>75.6</td>
<td>88.7</td>
<td>21300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New England</td>
<td>85.6</td>
<td>79.2</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>13600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macquarie</td>
<td>76.5</td>
<td>68.5</td>
<td>84.5</td>
<td>6400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Western</td>
<td>71.8</td>
<td>63.3</td>
<td>80.2</td>
<td>10000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Far West</td>
<td>68.2</td>
<td>59.7</td>
<td>76.6</td>
<td>2500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Murray</td>
<td>68.1</td>
<td>58.7</td>
<td>77.5</td>
<td>14600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern</td>
<td>78.5</td>
<td>71.8</td>
<td>85.2</td>
<td>12400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>71.8</td>
<td>78.3</td>
<td>297800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>75.8</td>
<td>72.8</td>
<td>78.8</td>
<td>99000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSW</td>
<td>75.2</td>
<td>72.6</td>
<td>77.8</td>
<td>396800</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Estimates are based on 2651 respondents in NSW.
5 (0.19 per cent) were 'not stated' (Don’t know or Refused) for this indicator in NSW.
The indicator includes those who have had a screening mammogram in the last 2 years. The questions used to define the indicator were ‘Have you ever had a mammogram?’ and ‘When did you last have a mammogram?’ and ‘Can you tell me all the reasons why you had your last mammogram?’.

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST). Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.
FIGURE 18

PAP TEST WITHIN THE LAST 2 YEARS BY AGE, FEMALES AGED 20–69 YEARS, NSW, 2002

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Per cent</th>
<th>Estimated Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>60-69</td>
<td>67.6</td>
<td>105,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-59</td>
<td>77.8</td>
<td>218,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-49</td>
<td>81.1</td>
<td>344,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-39</td>
<td>78.0</td>
<td>375,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-29</td>
<td>65.4</td>
<td>298,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSW</td>
<td>74.6</td>
<td>1,342,900</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.

FIGURE 19

PAP TEST WITHIN THE LAST 2 YEARS BY SOCIOECONOMIC DISADVANTAGE SCORE, FEMALES AGED 20–69 YEARS, NSW, 2002

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quintile</th>
<th>Per cent</th>
<th>Estimated Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5th Quintile</td>
<td>72.2</td>
<td>256,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th Quintile</td>
<td>74.3</td>
<td>300,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd Quintile</td>
<td>69.7</td>
<td>268,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd Quintile</td>
<td>75.7</td>
<td>270,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st Quintile</td>
<td>82.9</td>
<td>246,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSW</td>
<td>74.6</td>
<td>1,342,900</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.
FIGURE 20
PAP TEST WITHIN THE LAST 2 YEARS BY HEALTH AREA, FEMALES AGED 20–69 YEARS, NSW, 2002

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.

TABLE 7
PAP TEST WITHIN THE LAST 2 YEARS BY HEALTH AREA, FEMALES AGED 20–69 YEARS, NSW, 2002

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>LL95%CI</th>
<th>UL95%CI</th>
<th>(est no.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Central Sydney</td>
<td>68.5</td>
<td>62.8</td>
<td>74.2</td>
<td>105000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Sydney</td>
<td>79.7</td>
<td>73.7</td>
<td>85.7</td>
<td>187900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Sydney</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>64.7</td>
<td>77.2</td>
<td>143800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wentworth</td>
<td>73.8</td>
<td>67.8</td>
<td>79.8</td>
<td>64400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South West Sydney</td>
<td>66.4</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>72.9</td>
<td>140100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Coast</td>
<td>80.6</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>86.3</td>
<td>62800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hunter</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>75.7</td>
<td>86.3</td>
<td>111700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illawarra</td>
<td>75.5</td>
<td>69.7</td>
<td>81.4</td>
<td>67100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South East Sydney</td>
<td>73.1</td>
<td>67.1</td>
<td>79.1</td>
<td>171100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Rivers</td>
<td>76.8</td>
<td>70.7</td>
<td>82.9</td>
<td>52900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid North Coast</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>71.2</td>
<td>84.9</td>
<td>50900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New England</td>
<td>80.9</td>
<td>75.5</td>
<td>86.2</td>
<td>36100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macquarie</td>
<td>75.2</td>
<td>69.4</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>20000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Western</td>
<td>75.7</td>
<td>69.9</td>
<td>81.5</td>
<td>31000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Far West</td>
<td>70.8</td>
<td>63.3</td>
<td>78.3</td>
<td>8300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Murray</td>
<td>81.6</td>
<td>76.4</td>
<td>86.9</td>
<td>53700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern</td>
<td>75.5</td>
<td>69.4</td>
<td>81.7</td>
<td>36200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>73.8</td>
<td>71.6</td>
<td>75.9</td>
<td>1053900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>77.8</td>
<td>75.5</td>
<td>80.1</td>
<td>289000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSW</td>
<td>74.6</td>
<td>72.8</td>
<td>76.4</td>
<td>1342900</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Estimates are based on 4509 respondents in NSW. 23 (0.51 per cent) were ‘not stated’ (Don’t know or Refused) for this indicator in NSW.
The indicator includes those who have had a Pap test in the last 2 years and have not had a hysterectomy. The questions used to define the indicator were ‘Have you ever had a Pap test?’, ‘When did you last have a Pap test?’ and ‘Have you ever had a hysterectomy?’.

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.
FIGURE 21
HYSTERECTOMY RATE BY AGE, FEMALES AGED 20–69 YEARS, NSW, 2002

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Per cent</th>
<th>Estimated Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>65-69</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>44,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60-64</td>
<td>37.8</td>
<td>52,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-59</td>
<td>29.2</td>
<td>48,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-54</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>46,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-49</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>26,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-44</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>23,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-39</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>8,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-34</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-29</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-24</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>249,600</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.

Environmental health

Introduction

Human health and the environment are linked. In rural areas, issues as diverse as land use, agricultural practice, water quality, and biodiversity, influence human health. Similarly, in the urban and built environment, air and water quality, transport choice, urban form, and environmental health infrastructure, influence health status. Increasingly, the effect on human health of global phenomena, such as population growth and climate change, are recognised at a local level.

The New South Wales Adult Health Survey 2002 asked respondents about drinking water, recreational water use, and attitudes towards the reuse of treated effluent. In order to assess the prevalence of home exposure to some exhaust gases, respondents were asked about their kitchen ventilation, fuel used for cooking and heating, and whether they had a garage attached to their house. They were also asked what measures were taken to avoid mosquito bites.

Respondents were asked the following questions on environmental risk: ‘What is your normal source of drinking water?’, ‘Do you treat your water before drinking?’, ‘In the past 12 months has blue-green algae ever stopped you from using your usual recreational lake or river for purposes such as fishing, swimming or water skiing?’, ‘Effluent’ is wastewater or sewage, ‘Treated effluent water’ is the water that comes from wastewater (or sewage) after treatment. Which of the following do you support: re-use of treated effluent water directly into rivers and waterways to maintain water levels, re-use of treated effluent water in public parks and gardens, re-use of treated effluent water by combining it with drinking water supply in reservoirs, re-use of treated effluent water for crop irrigation?’, ‘How are steam and fumes removed when you cook?’ and, for respondents who use an exhaust fan or open doors and windows, ‘How often do you use the fan when cooking?’ and/or ‘How often do you open windows or an external door when cooking?’. Respondents were also asked about fuels used in the home: ‘What is the usual way you heat the living areas of your home?’, ‘What type of cooktop do you have?’ and ‘What type of oven do you have?’. ‘Do you have a garage?’ and ‘Which of the following best describes the access to your garage: The garage can be accessed internally from the house, the garage is attached but there is no internal access from the house, or the garage is separate?’.

Respondents were also asked: ‘When mosquitoes are around, how often do you take measures to avoid being bitten?’, ‘What measures do you take to avoid being bitten by mosquitoes?’ and, for respondents who said they never avoid being bitten by mosquitoes, ‘Can you tell me the main reason that you don’t try to stop mosquitoes from biting you when they are around?’.

Results

Drinking water

Overall, in 2002, 81.1 per cent of respondents used a public water supply as their usual source of drinking water. The next most prevalent sources of drinking water were bottled water (9.0 per cent) and rain water (7.3 per cent).

Of the respondents whose usual source of drinking water is a public water supply, 65.3 per cent did not treat their drinking water, while 31.9 per cent reported that they either filter (19.7 per cent) or boil (12.2 per cent) their water before drinking.
A significantly greater proportion of people in rural areas (60.8 per cent) using public water as their usual water supply was significantly lower than the proportion in urban areas (86.7 per cent). A significantly greater proportion of people (71.5 per cent) used public water supply in the Northern Rivers Area Health Service compared to the overall rural population.

A significantly greater proportion of people in the first (89.9 per cent) and second (86.3 per cent) least disadvantaged quintile, and a significantly lower proportion (75 per cent) of people in the second most disadvantaged quintile, used public water as their usual water supply compared to the overall population.

Recreational water use

In 2002, 55.8 per cent of respondents used their local lake or river for recreational purposes, 37.3 per cent didn’t use rivers or lakes for recreational purposes (26.6 per cent in rural areas and 40.2 per cent in urban areas), and 6.9 per cent had stopped using lakes or rivers in the last 12 months because of blue-green algae.

A significantly greater proportion of people in rural areas (14.6 per cent) had stopped using their rivers and lakes in the last 12 months because of blue-green algae than people in urban areas (4.8 per cent). A significantly greater proportion of people in the Far West Area Health Service (24.4 per cent), and a significantly lower proportion of people in the Mid North Coast Area Health Service (2.5 per cent), had stopped using their waterways because of blue-green algae, compared to the overall rural population.

A significantly lower proportion of people in the least disadvantaged quintile (2.6 per cent) stopped using their rivers and lakes for recreation in the last 12 months because of blue-green algae.

Reuse of treated effluent

Overall, in 2002, only 5.3 per cent of respondents did not support reuse of treated water effluent. The majority of respondents supported use in public parks and gardens (87.1 per cent) and for crop irrigation (81.4 per cent). Less than half of the respondents (41.8 per cent) supported returning treated effluent water directly into rivers and waterways to maintain water levels, and only 14.4 per cent supported combining it with drinking water in reservoirs.

Home exposure to some gases

Burning fuels (like natural gas or wood) in the home releases products of combustion, which includes water vapour, carbon monoxide, and other gases. In homes where this occurs, it is important to ensure adequate ventilation and proper maintenance of appliances.

Overall, in NSW, 13.3 per cent of people used gas for cooking but had no means of removing fumes when cooking in the home. There was no significant variation by age in the proportion of people who had no means of removing cooking fumes.

There was significant variation between rural areas (47.8 per cent) and urban areas (57.6 per cent) in the proportion of people using gas cooking without ventilation. A significantly greater proportion of people in the Central Sydney Area Health Service (74.0 per cent), and a significantly lower proportion of people in the Hunter Area Health Service (42.8 per cent) used gas for cooking without ventilation, compared to the overall urban population. The proportion of people cooking without ventilation was significantly greater in the Southern Area Health Service (61.5 per cent), compared with the overall rural population.

A significantly lower proportion of people in the second most disadvantaged quintile (47.0 per cent) used gas cooking without ventilation, compared to the overall population.

When asked about how they heat their homes, 49.2 per cent of respondents reported using some form of electrical heater, 19.4 per cent of people reported they use a gas heater without a flue, seven per cent used a gas heater with a flue, and 3.2 per cent used an open fireplace.

In 2002, the proportion of people using unflued or partially-unflued heating (an open fireplace or an unflued gas heater) did not vary significantly by age.

A significantly greater proportion of people in the least disadvantaged quintile (32.5 per cent) used unflued heating to heat their home, compared to the overall population.

There was no significant difference between rural and urban areas, in the proportion of people using unflued heating in their home. A significantly greater proportion of people in the Illawarra (39.1 per cent) and Far West (35.6 per cent) Area Health Services used unflued heating in their home, compared to the overall population.

Benzene is a volatile gas found in petrol. In 2002, the National Industrial Chemicals Notification and Assessment Scheme reviewed the use of benzene as a priority chemical in Australia. This review identified that attached garages may be an exposure pathway for benzene to enter homes.3

While further research to estimate the level of risk is required, the NSW Continuous Health Survey asked questions that provided information on housing structure. This information can inform further investigation into benzene exposure through internally-accessed garages.

In the New South Wales Adult Health Survey 2002, 72.7 per cent of respondents had a garage, of these almost one-third (30.6 per cent) could be accessed internally from the house. The proportion of people with internal access to a garage did not vary significantly with age.

There was no significant difference in the proportion of people in rural areas (23.6 per cent) and urban areas (21.8 per cent) with internally attached garages. A significantly greater proportion of people in the Northern Rivers (34.7 per cent) and Mid North Coast (34.2 per cent) Area Health Services, and a significantly lower proportion of people in the Far West (9.9 per cent) and Central Sydney (8.6 per cent) Area Health Services, had internally attached garages, compared with the overall population.

A significantly greater proportion of people in the least disadvantaged quintile (33.8 per cent) had internally attached garages, compared to the overall population.
Mosquito bites

In NSW, some mosquito species can carry human diseases including Ross River virus or Barmah Forest virus. Regular use of personal protective measures, such as mosquito repellent and screening doors and windows, is effective against mosquito bites.

Overall, in 2002, 36.1 per cent of respondents reported that they ‘always’ took measures to avoid or stop being bitten when mosquitoes are around, 19.1 per cent ‘often’ took measures, 23.7 per cent ‘sometimes’ took measures, 10.1 per cent ‘rarely’ took measures, and 10.9 per cent ‘never’ took measures to avoid being bitten. A significantly greater proportion of females were likely to ‘always’ take measures and a significantly lower proportion of females were likely to ‘rarely’ or ‘never’ take protective measures.

Of the measures taken to avoid mosquito bites, 76.2 per cent of respondents used personal insect repellents, 32.4 per cent used screens or netting on windows and doors, 22.5 per cent used mosquito zappers, insect lights and candles, 17.8 per cent covered up exposed parts of their body, 17.6 per cent stayed indoors at dawn or dusk, 7.5 per cent used insecticides, and 1.9 per cent reduced breeding sites on their property or home.

The main reasons given by respondents who did not take measures to prevent mosquito bites are that the bites don’t bother them (36.2 per cent), they don’t get bitten (31.0 per cent), and they can’t be bothered to take measures (12.3 per cent).

Figures 22–24 and Table 8 show the proportion of people who treat their public water supply before drinking, and the proportion who use public water as their usual source of water, by socioeconomic disadvantage and health area. Figures 25–26 and Table 9 show the proportion of people who have had their recreational water use limited by blue green algae in the last 12 months, by socioeconomic disadvantage and health area. Figure 27 shows the proportion of people who support the reuse of treated effluent water. Figures 28–29 and Table 10 show the proportion of people who use gas cooking without ventilation, by socioeconomic disadvantage and health area. Figures 30–31 and Table 11 show the proportion of people exposed to unflued heating, by socioeconomic disadvantage and health area. Figures 32–33 and Table 12 show the proportion of people with internally-accessed garages, by socioeconomic disadvantage and health area.
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FIGURE 23
USE PUBLIC WATER AS USUAL SOURCE OF WATER BY SOCIOECONOMIC DISADVANTAGE SCORE, PERSONS AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.

FIGURE 24
USE PUBLIC WATER AS USUAL SOURCE OF WATER BY HEALTH AREA, PERSONS AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.
FIGURE 25

RECREATIONAL WATER USE LIMITED BY BLUE GREEN ALGAE IN LAST 12 MONTHS BY SOCIOECONOMIC DISADVANTAGE SCORE, PERSONS AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quintile</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>LL95%CI</th>
<th>UL95%CI</th>
<th>Persons</th>
<th>UL95%CI</th>
<th>(est no.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5th Quintile</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>84.9</td>
<td>93.7</td>
<td>321300</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th Quintile</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>78.5</td>
<td>90.2</td>
<td>552400</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd Quintile</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>68.5</td>
<td>87.8</td>
<td>207600</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd Quintile</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>58.2</td>
<td>79.7</td>
<td>453700</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st Quintile</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>48.2</td>
<td>69.7</td>
<td>204700</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSW</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>344.600</td>
<td>344.600</td>
<td>242700</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST). Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.
RECREATIONAL WATER USE LIMITED BY BLUE GREEN ALGAE IN LAST 12 MONTHS BY HEALTH AREA, PERSONS AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002

**TABLE 9**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>LL95%CI</th>
<th>UL95%CI</th>
<th>(est no.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Central Sydney</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>13300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Sydney</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>22300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Sydney</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>17400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wentworth</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>19400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South West Sydney</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>29800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Coast</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>17200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hunter</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>23100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illawarra</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>10300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South East Sydney</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>33300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Rivers</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>30.9</td>
<td>46800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid North Coast</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New England</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>25700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macquarie</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>11100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Western</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>20500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Far West</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>31.3</td>
<td>9200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Murray</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>23400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>17000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>186100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>158600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSW</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>344600</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Estimates are based on 3729 respondents in NSW. 32 (0.85 per cent) were ‘not stated’ (Don’t know or Refused) for this indicator in NSW. The estimates based on this sub-sample are subject to high standard errors and should be used with caution. The indicator includes those who had their recreational water use limited by blue green algae. The question used was ‘In the past 12 months has blue-green algae ever stopped you from using your usual recreational lake or river for purposes such as fishing, swimming or water skiing?’ If responders responded ‘No’ prompted for difference between ‘not stopped from using’ and ‘don’t use waterways’. Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST). Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.
FIGURE 27
SUPPORT RE-USE OF TREATED EFFLUENT WATER, PERSONS AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.

FIGURE 28
GAS COOKING WITHOUT VENTILATION BY SOCIOECONOMIC DISADVANTAGE SCORE, PERSONS AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.
FIGURE 29

GAS COOKING WITHOUT VENTILATION BY HEALTH AREA, PERSONS AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.

TABLE 10

GAS COOKING WITHOUT VENTILATION BY HEALTH AREA, PERSONS AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>LL95%CI</th>
<th>UL95%CI</th>
<th>(est no.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Central Sydney</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>13300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Sydney</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>66.2</td>
<td>81.8</td>
<td>214000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Sydney</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>54.3</td>
<td>73.6</td>
<td>312000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Sydney</td>
<td>56.5</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>257700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wentworth</td>
<td>57.6</td>
<td>48.4</td>
<td>66.7</td>
<td>105400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South West Sydney</td>
<td>52.6</td>
<td>43.9</td>
<td>61.3</td>
<td>271100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Coast</td>
<td>54.2</td>
<td>44.8</td>
<td>63.6</td>
<td>102800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hunter</td>
<td>42.8</td>
<td>32.3</td>
<td>53.3</td>
<td>141300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illawarra</td>
<td>59.1</td>
<td>49.9</td>
<td>68.2</td>
<td>106800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South East Sydney</td>
<td>58.7</td>
<td>48.9</td>
<td>68.5</td>
<td>243200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Rivers</td>
<td>39.3</td>
<td>29.1</td>
<td>49.6</td>
<td>43600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid North Coast</td>
<td>35.8</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>45.2</td>
<td>48200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New England</td>
<td>38.7</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>49.4</td>
<td>31000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macquarie</td>
<td>39.7</td>
<td>28.9</td>
<td>50.6</td>
<td>21400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Western</td>
<td>58.6</td>
<td>49.9</td>
<td>67.2</td>
<td>59300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Far West</td>
<td>48.6</td>
<td>37.3</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>10800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Murray</td>
<td>55.1</td>
<td>45.8</td>
<td>64.3</td>
<td>97500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern</td>
<td>61.5</td>
<td>51.9</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>61200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>57.6</td>
<td>54.4</td>
<td>60.8</td>
<td>1754300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>47.8</td>
<td>44.1</td>
<td>51.6</td>
<td>373000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSW</td>
<td>55.6</td>
<td>52.9</td>
<td>58.3</td>
<td>2127300</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Estimates are based on 2546 respondents in NSW.

23 (0.9 per cent) were 'not stated' (Don’t know or Refused) for this indicator in NSW.

The estimates based on this sub-sample are subject to high standard errors and should be used with caution. The indicator includes those who cook with gas without ventilation. The questions used were ‘What type of cooktop do you have?’, ‘What type of oven do you have?’, ‘How are steam and fumes removed when you cook?’, ‘How often do you use the fan when cooking?’ and ‘How often do you open windows or an external door when cooking?’.

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.
FIGURE 30
EXPOSURE TO UNFLUED HEATING BY SOCIOECONOMIC DISADVANTAGE SCORE, PERSONS AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.

FIGURE 31
EXPOSURE TO UNFLUED HEATING BY HEALTH AREA, PERSONS AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.
### TABLE 11

**EXPOSURE TO UNFLUED HEATING BY HEALTH AREA, PERSONS AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>LL95%CI</th>
<th>UL95%CI</th>
<th>Persons</th>
<th>UL95%CI</th>
<th>(est no.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Central Sydney</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>75800</td>
<td>75800</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Sydney</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>33.7</td>
<td>174000</td>
<td>174000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Sydney</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>106800</td>
<td>106800</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wentworth</td>
<td>27.1</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>63200</td>
<td>63200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South West Sydney</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>25.9</td>
<td>129700</td>
<td>129700</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Coast</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>49800</td>
<td>49800</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hunter</td>
<td>25.9</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>34.9</td>
<td>108700</td>
<td>108700</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illawarra</td>
<td>39.1</td>
<td>30.7</td>
<td>47.5</td>
<td>92800</td>
<td>92800</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South East Sydney</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>119900</td>
<td>119900</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Rivers</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>27400</td>
<td>27400</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid North Coast</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>32000</td>
<td>32000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New England</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>25800</td>
<td>25800</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macquarie</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>35.6</td>
<td>205000</td>
<td>205000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Western</td>
<td>25.9</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>31700</td>
<td>31700</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Far West</td>
<td>35.6</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>44.8</td>
<td>10900</td>
<td>10900</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Murray</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>29.2</td>
<td>47000</td>
<td>47000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>27.2</td>
<td>27400</td>
<td>27400</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>23.1</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>920600</td>
<td>920600</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>222800</td>
<td>222800</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSW</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>1143400</td>
<td>1143400</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Estimates are based on 3398 respondents in NSW.

9! (0.07 per cent) were ‘not stated’ (Don’t know or Refused) for this indicator in NSW.

The estimates based on this sub-sample are subject to high standard errors and should be used with caution. The indicator includes those who are exposed to unflued heating The question used was ‘What is the usual way you heat the living areas of your home?’

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.

### FIGURE 32

**INTERNALLY ACCESSED GARAGES BY SOCIOECONOMIC DISADVANTAGE SCORE, PERSONS AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002**

- **5th Quintile (most disadvantaged)**: 19.0%
  - Estimated Number: 143,400
  - Per cent: 19.0%
  - Unemployment (est no.): 194,100
  - Estimated Number: 143,400

- **4th Quintile**: 21.4%
  - Estimated Number: 154,700
  - Per cent: 21.4%
  - Unemployment (est no.): 194,100
  - Estimated Number: 154,700

- **3rd Quintile**: 20.8%
  - Estimated Number: 150,100
  - Per cent: 20.8%
  - Unemployment (est no.): 193,700
  - Estimated Number: 150,100

- **2nd Quintile**: 18.9%
  - Estimated Number: 143,400
  - Per cent: 18.9%
  - Unemployment (est no.): 193,700
  - Estimated Number: 143,400

- **1st Quintile (least disadvantaged)**: 33.8%
  - Estimated Number: 835,900
  - Per cent: 33.8%
  - Unemployment (est no.): 193,700
  - Estimated Number: 835,900

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.
FIGURE 33

INTERNALLY ACCESSED GARAGES BY HEALTH AREA, PERSONS AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.

TABLE 12

INTERNALLY ACCESSED GARAGES BY HEALTH AREA, PERSONS AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>LL95%CI</th>
<th>UL95%CI</th>
<th>(est no.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Central Sydney</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>27300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Sydney</td>
<td>28.2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>36.5</td>
<td>134200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Sydney</td>
<td>28.4</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>37.6</td>
<td>89700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wentworth</td>
<td>25.9</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>45000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South West Sydney</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>82300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Coast</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>33.8</td>
<td>37300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hunter</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>62400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illawarra</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>31.6</td>
<td>51500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South East Sydney</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>118800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Rivers</td>
<td>34.7</td>
<td>25.9</td>
<td>43.6</td>
<td>56200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid North Coast</td>
<td>34.2</td>
<td>25.8</td>
<td>42.5</td>
<td>50400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New England</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>28.9</td>
<td>17900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macquarie</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>7100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Western</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>12800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Far West</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>2900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Murray</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>22500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>17600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>648400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>187400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSW</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>835900</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Estimates are based on 2861 respondents in NSW. 15 (0.12 per cent) were ‘not stated’ (Don’t know or Refused) for this indicator in NSW. The estimates based on this sub-sample are subject to high standard errors and should be used with caution. The indicator includes those who are exposed to benzene through internally accessed garages. The questions used were ‘Do you have a garage’ and ‘Which of the following best describes the access to your garage?’.

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.
Immunisation
Introduction
In New South Wales, despite substantial progress in reducing the incidence of vaccine preventable diseases, increases in immunisation levels are needed to further reduce and eliminate these causes of illness and death.1
Influenza (or flu) is caused by the influenza virus and is characterised by abrupt onset of fever, myalgia, headache, sore throat, and acute cough, and can cause extreme malaise lasting several days. Although usually not life threatening, influenza can be complicated by secondary bacterial pneumonia in individuals whose medical condition makes them vulnerable to pneumonia. Under the National Influenza and Pneumococcal Vaccination (NIPV) program,1 influenza vaccine is provided free to all people aged 65 years and over. For Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people, the vaccine is provided free to those aged 50 years and over, and to those aged 15–49 years who may be at increased risk because of chronic illness.

Streptococcus pneumoniae (pneumococcus), a bacterial inhabitant of the upper respiratory tract, is a major cause of pneumonia, meningitis, and middle-ear infection, particularly in young children, the elderly, and Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people. The NHMRC recommends immunisation against pneumococcal disease every five years for: all people aged 65 years and over; Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people aged 50 years and over; and people with compromised immune systems, chronic illness, or who have had their spleen removed.1

In the New South Wales Adult Health Survey 2002 the following questions were asked to respondents aged 50 years and over: ’Has a health professional ever advised you to be vaccinated against the flu?’ , ’Were you vaccinated or immunised against flu in the past 12 months?’ , ’Has a health professional ever advised you to be vaccinated against pneumonia?’ , ’When were you last vaccinated or immunised against pneumonia?’.

Results
Influenza Vaccination
Overall, in 2002, 47.7 per cent of the population aged 50 years and over reported having had an influenza vaccination in the past 12 months. A significantly greater proportion of females (50.9 per cent) reported having had an influenza vaccination than males (44.3 per cent). The proportion of people vaccinated against influenza did not vary geographically or by level of socioeconomic status. Influenza vaccination coverage has increased significantly overall, between 1997 (34.6 per cent) and 2002 (47.7 per cent).

In people covered by the NIPV program (those aged 65 years and over) the proportion vaccinated against influenza was 75.5 per cent. The proportion of people aged 65–69 years who reported they were vaccinated against influenza (67.9 per cent) was significantly lower than in the overall population covered by the NIPV program.

There was no significant difference between the proportion of residents aged 65 years and over reporting influenza vaccination in rural areas (73.1 per cent) and urban areas (76.4 per cent); however, the proportion of residents in the Central Coast Area Health Service (83.0 per cent) reporting vaccination against influenza in the last 12 months was significantly greater than in the overall population covered by the NIPV program.

The proportion of people aged 65 years and over vaccinated against influenza did not vary by level of socioeconomic disadvantage.

Rates of vaccination against flu in people covered by the NIPV program have increased significantly, from 57.1 per cent in 1997 to 75.5 per cent in 2002.

Pneumococcal Vaccinations
Just over one in five (20.2 per cent) people aged 50 years and over reported having had a pneumococcal vaccination in the past five years. Of these, 9.7 per cent reported being vaccinated in the past 12 months, 10.5 per cent were vaccinated 13 months to five years ago, and 1.3 per cent were vaccinated more than five years ago. A significantly greater proportion of females had been vaccinated against pneumococcal disease in the last five years (22.2 per cent) than males (18.1 per cent). The proportion of people vaccinated against pneumococcal disease increased with age and also with increasing socioeconomic disadvantage. There was no significant difference in the proportion of people vaccinated against pneumococcal pneumonia in rural areas (19.7 per cent) and urban areas (20.4 per cent).

Among people covered by the NIPV program (people aged 65 years and over), the proportion vaccinated for pneumococcal pneumonia in the past five years was 39.4 per cent (19.8 per cent in the past 12 months). There was no significant difference between the proportion of males vaccinated (36.7 per cent) and females vaccinated (41.5 per cent). When compared to the overall population aged 65 years or over, a significantly lower proportion of people aged 65–69 years (25.6 per cent), and a significantly greater proportion of people aged 75 years and over (47.7 per cent), were likely to report vaccination against pneumococcal disease in the last five years.

The proportion of people vaccinated against pneumococcal pneumonia did not vary significantly between urban residents (40.4 per cent) and rural residents (36.5 per cent); however, the proportion of residents vaccinated against pneumococcal disease in the Central Coast Area Health Service (53.3 per cent) was significantly greater than in the overall population covered by the NIPV program.
The proportion of people aged 65 years or over reporting pneumococcal vaccination did not vary by level of socioeconomic disadvantage.

Trend data are unavailable, as pneumococcal vaccination was not included in the 1997 and 1998 New South Wales health surveys.

Figures 34–36 and Table 13 show the proportion of people aged 65 years and over who have been vaccinated against influenza in the last 12 months by age, socioeconomic disadvantage, and health area. Figures 37–39 and Table 14 show the proportion of people aged 65 years and over who have been vaccinated against pneumococcal disease in the last 12 months by age, socioeconomic disadvantage, and health area.

Reference
FIGURE 35

VACCINATED AGAINST INFLUENZA IN THE LAST 12 MONTHS BY SOCIOECONOMIC DISADVANTAGE SCORE, PERSONS AGED 65 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quintile</th>
<th>Estimated Number</th>
<th>Males</th>
<th>Females</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5th Quintile</td>
<td>63,000</td>
<td>73.8</td>
<td>72.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th Quintile</td>
<td>67,200</td>
<td>74.8</td>
<td>78.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd Quintile</td>
<td>52,800</td>
<td>77.3</td>
<td>75.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd Quintile</td>
<td>47,000</td>
<td>78.0</td>
<td>75.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st Quintile</td>
<td>35,300</td>
<td>72.7</td>
<td>77.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSW</td>
<td>265,300</td>
<td>75.3</td>
<td>75.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.

FIGURE 36

VACCINATED AGAINST INFLUENZA IN THE LAST 12 MONTHS BY HEALTH AREA, PERSONS AGED 65 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.
### TABLE 13

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Males %</th>
<th>Males LL 95%CI</th>
<th>Males UL 95%CI</th>
<th>Females %</th>
<th>Females LL 95%CI</th>
<th>Females UL 95%CI</th>
<th>Persons %</th>
<th>Persons LL 95%CI</th>
<th>Persons UL 95%CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Central Sydney</td>
<td>72.2</td>
<td>60.1</td>
<td>84.2</td>
<td>17900</td>
<td>70.1</td>
<td>60.9</td>
<td>79.4</td>
<td>21000</td>
<td>71.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Sydney</td>
<td>72.5</td>
<td>62.1</td>
<td>82.9</td>
<td>31000</td>
<td>80.1</td>
<td>69.9</td>
<td>90.1</td>
<td>46600</td>
<td>76.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Sydney</td>
<td>78.8</td>
<td>66.9</td>
<td>90.7</td>
<td>25100</td>
<td>77.8</td>
<td>67.9</td>
<td>87.7</td>
<td>26900</td>
<td>78.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wentworth</td>
<td>81.9</td>
<td>71.5</td>
<td>92.3</td>
<td>9200</td>
<td>80.9</td>
<td>71.1</td>
<td>90.7</td>
<td>11700</td>
<td>81.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South West Sydney</td>
<td>76.1</td>
<td>63.9</td>
<td>88.4</td>
<td>23700</td>
<td>74.7</td>
<td>62.6</td>
<td>86.7</td>
<td>30100</td>
<td>75.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Coast</td>
<td>83.4</td>
<td>75.7</td>
<td>91.1</td>
<td>18300</td>
<td>82.6</td>
<td>76.1</td>
<td>89.2</td>
<td>23000</td>
<td>83.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hunter</td>
<td>78.8</td>
<td>68.8</td>
<td>89.9</td>
<td>25000</td>
<td>75.9</td>
<td>65.9</td>
<td>85.9</td>
<td>30700</td>
<td>77.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illawarra</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>64.7</td>
<td>83.3</td>
<td>16900</td>
<td>72.8</td>
<td>63.9</td>
<td>81.8</td>
<td>19800</td>
<td>73.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South East Sydney</td>
<td>73.7</td>
<td>62.8</td>
<td>84.7</td>
<td>31200</td>
<td>75.6</td>
<td>67.6</td>
<td>83.6</td>
<td>41700</td>
<td>74.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Rivers</td>
<td>68.5</td>
<td>58.9</td>
<td>78.1</td>
<td>13100</td>
<td>72.1</td>
<td>64.1</td>
<td>80.2</td>
<td>16300</td>
<td>70.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid North Coast</td>
<td>70.8</td>
<td>61.5</td>
<td>80.1</td>
<td>15600</td>
<td>69.4</td>
<td>61.2</td>
<td>77.5</td>
<td>17600</td>
<td>70.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New England</td>
<td>76.8</td>
<td>65.8</td>
<td>87.8</td>
<td>7600</td>
<td>77.4</td>
<td>69.3</td>
<td>85.5</td>
<td>9500</td>
<td>77.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macquarie</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>81.1</td>
<td>4200</td>
<td>76.7</td>
<td>67.8</td>
<td>84.2</td>
<td>5300</td>
<td>73.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Western</td>
<td>80.6</td>
<td>71.6</td>
<td>89.5</td>
<td>7500</td>
<td>71.6</td>
<td>63.1</td>
<td>80.1</td>
<td>8300</td>
<td>75.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Far West</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>64.1</td>
<td>83.9</td>
<td>2100</td>
<td>75.4</td>
<td>67.2</td>
<td>83.7</td>
<td>2400</td>
<td>74.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Murray</td>
<td>80.9</td>
<td>71.3</td>
<td>90.5</td>
<td>12100</td>
<td>74.2</td>
<td>66.2</td>
<td>82.4</td>
<td>13500</td>
<td>77.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern</td>
<td>68.9</td>
<td>59.7</td>
<td>78.1</td>
<td>8300</td>
<td>73.7</td>
<td>65.9</td>
<td>81.5</td>
<td>10200</td>
<td>71.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>72.3</td>
<td>77.8</td>
<td>194800</td>
<td>76.6</td>
<td>73.2</td>
<td>78.0</td>
<td>251300</td>
<td>76.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>73.3</td>
<td>69.5</td>
<td>77.1</td>
<td>70500</td>
<td>72.9</td>
<td>69.7</td>
<td>76.1</td>
<td>83200</td>
<td>73.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSW</td>
<td>75.3</td>
<td>72.4</td>
<td>78.3</td>
<td>263500</td>
<td>75.7</td>
<td>73.7</td>
<td>78.3</td>
<td>334500</td>
<td>75.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Estimates are based on 3417 respondents in NSW. 3 (0.09 per cent) were ‘not stated’ (Don’t know or Refused) for this indicator in NSW.

The indicator includes those who have been vaccinated for flu in the past 12 months. The question used was “Were you vaccinated or immunised against flu in the past 12 months?”

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.

### FIGURE 37

**VACCINATED AGAINST PNEUMOCOCCAL DISEASE IN LAST 5 YEARS BY AGE, PERSONS AGED 65 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002**

![Pneumococcal Vaccination by Age](image)

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.
FIGURE 38
VACCINATED AGAINST PNEUMOCOCCAL DISEASE IN LAST 5 YEARS BY SOCIOECONOMIC DISADVANTAGE SCORE, PERSONS AGED 65 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Estimated Number</th>
<th>Estimated Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Males</td>
<td>Females</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29,800</td>
<td>35.6</td>
<td>45.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32,200</td>
<td>37.2</td>
<td>41.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24,100</td>
<td>36.1</td>
<td>38.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24,800</td>
<td>43.9</td>
<td>41.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14,000</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>38.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>124,900</td>
<td>36.7</td>
<td>41.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.

FIGURE 39
VACCINATED AGAINST PNEUMOCOCCAL DISEASE IN LAST 5 YEARS BY HEALTH AREA, PERSONS AGED 65 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.
# TABLE 14

**VACCINATED AGAINST PNEUMOCOCCAL DISEASE IN LAST 5 YEARS BY HEALTH AREA, PERSONS AGED 65 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>% Males</th>
<th>LL 95%CI</th>
<th>UL 95%CI</th>
<th>(est. no.)</th>
<th>% Females</th>
<th>LL 95%CI</th>
<th>UL 95%CI</th>
<th>(est. no.)</th>
<th>% Persons</th>
<th>LL 95%CI</th>
<th>UL 95%CI</th>
<th>(est. no.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Central Sydney</td>
<td>72.2</td>
<td>60.1</td>
<td>84.2</td>
<td>17900</td>
<td>70.1</td>
<td>60.9</td>
<td>79.4</td>
<td>21000</td>
<td>71.1</td>
<td>63.6</td>
<td>78.5</td>
<td>38900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Sydney</td>
<td>32.4</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>45.1</td>
<td>8000</td>
<td>43.2</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>53.3</td>
<td>13000</td>
<td>38.3</td>
<td>30.3</td>
<td>46.3</td>
<td>21000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Sydney</td>
<td>32.9</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>43.8</td>
<td>13300</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>30.9</td>
<td>53.1</td>
<td>24000</td>
<td>38.2</td>
<td>30.3</td>
<td>46.2</td>
<td>37300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Sydney</td>
<td>33.1</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>47.4</td>
<td>8800</td>
<td>44.7</td>
<td>32.4</td>
<td>57.1</td>
<td>15400</td>
<td>39.6</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>49.1</td>
<td>24200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wentworth</td>
<td>34.4</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>48.1</td>
<td>3800</td>
<td>45.5</td>
<td>33.1</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>6600</td>
<td>40.7</td>
<td>31.5</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>10300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South West Sydney</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>43.8</td>
<td>8800</td>
<td>37.8</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td>50.1</td>
<td>14000</td>
<td>34.1</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>43.4</td>
<td>22800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Coast</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>42.5</td>
<td>63.5</td>
<td>11200</td>
<td>53.5</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>14600</td>
<td>53.3</td>
<td>46.6</td>
<td>59.9</td>
<td>25800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hunter</td>
<td>35.4</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>47.6</td>
<td>11100</td>
<td>47.9</td>
<td>37.3</td>
<td>58.5</td>
<td>19200</td>
<td>42.4</td>
<td>34.3</td>
<td>50.5</td>
<td>30300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illawarra</td>
<td>50.4</td>
<td>39.1</td>
<td>61.7</td>
<td>10700</td>
<td>34.4</td>
<td>24.6</td>
<td>44.1</td>
<td>8800</td>
<td>41.6</td>
<td>34.1</td>
<td>49.1</td>
<td>19500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South East Sydney</td>
<td>41.7</td>
<td>28.8</td>
<td>54.7</td>
<td>17100</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>29.8</td>
<td>48.2</td>
<td>20700</td>
<td>40.2</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>47.9</td>
<td>37800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Rivers</td>
<td>33.4</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>43.1</td>
<td>6300</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>33.1</td>
<td>50.9</td>
<td>9400</td>
<td>38.1</td>
<td>31.4</td>
<td>44.7</td>
<td>15700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid North Coast</td>
<td>33.1</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>43.1</td>
<td>7000</td>
<td>42.5</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>51.6</td>
<td>10500</td>
<td>38.1</td>
<td>31.4</td>
<td>44.9</td>
<td>17500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New England</td>
<td>38.7</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>3600</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>29.6</td>
<td>48.5</td>
<td>4700</td>
<td>38.9</td>
<td>29.6</td>
<td>48.1</td>
<td>8200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macquarie</td>
<td>32.6</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>43.8</td>
<td>1800</td>
<td>39.5</td>
<td>29.7</td>
<td>49.2</td>
<td>2700</td>
<td>36.4</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>43.8</td>
<td>4500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Western</td>
<td>43.7</td>
<td>31.7</td>
<td>55.8</td>
<td>3800</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>3200</td>
<td>34.8</td>
<td>27.1</td>
<td>42.5</td>
<td>7000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Far West</td>
<td>32.9</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>43.9</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>39.9</td>
<td>29.8</td>
<td>49.9</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>36.7</td>
<td>29.2</td>
<td>44.2</td>
<td>2100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Murray</td>
<td>31.8</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>43.4</td>
<td>4700</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>42.2</td>
<td>6000</td>
<td>32.7</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>39.8</td>
<td>10700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern</td>
<td>34.1</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>43.8</td>
<td>4000</td>
<td>36.4</td>
<td>27.9</td>
<td>44.9</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>35.3</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>41.7</td>
<td>9000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>33.1</td>
<td>41.9</td>
<td>92900</td>
<td>42.7</td>
<td>38.9</td>
<td>46.5</td>
<td>136200</td>
<td>40.4</td>
<td>37.6</td>
<td>43.3</td>
<td>229100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>34.6</td>
<td>30.3</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>32000</td>
<td>38.1</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>41.6</td>
<td>42700</td>
<td>36.5</td>
<td>33.7</td>
<td>39.3</td>
<td>74700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSW</td>
<td>36.7</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>40.1</td>
<td>124900</td>
<td>41.5</td>
<td>38.5</td>
<td>44.4</td>
<td>178900</td>
<td>39.4</td>
<td>37.2</td>
<td>41.6</td>
<td>303800</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Estimates are based on 3325 respondents in NSW.
95 (2.78 per cent) were ‘not stated’ (Don’t know or Refused) for this indicator in NSW.
The indicator includes those who have been vaccinated against pneumococcal disease in the last 5 years. The question used was ‘When were you last vaccinated or immunised against pneumonia?’.

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.
Injury prevention: Smoke alarms

Introduction

In New South Wales, around 300 people are injured and around 30 people die each year as a result of house fires. Most deaths happen at night when people are sleeping, and are due to smoke inhalation rather than to burns. Smoke alarms detect low-levels of smoke, and sound an alarm before the smoke becomes too dense for people to escape. Studies have shown that the installation of smoke alarms dramatically reduces fatalities, reduces damage to property and costs to the health system, and benefits the individual.

Since 1994, all new homes built in NSW have installed electrically-wired smoke alarms. In 1996, the NSW Department of Housing commenced a program to install alarms in all its housing. Consequently, installation of smoke alarms in NSW has increased substantially from 24 per cent in 1994 to 64.0 per cent in 1998.

Although the reported ownership of smoke alarms has increased, the functional status of those alarms has not been examined. In the United States, a comparison of telephone survey responses and household surveys demonstrated that although 71 per cent of households reported having a smoke alarm, on inspection only 49 per cent of these alarms were functional.

The NSW Fire Brigade operates the SABRE (Smoke Alarm Battery Replacement for the Elderly) program. The program involves the NSW Fire Brigade forming partnerships with other community organisations, to assist senior citizens in the maintenance of fire safety devices in their home.

In the New South Wales Adult Health Survey 2002, respondents were asked ‘How many smoke alarms or detectors are installed in your home?’. Respondents who reported having at least one smoke alarm were then asked the following questions: ‘Has there ever been a fire in your home that has activated a smoke alarm or detector?’, ‘When was the last time this occurred?’, ‘Thinking about the last time this happened, was the fire extinguished without calling the fire brigade?’.

Results

Overall, in 2002, 72.9 per cent of NSW residents reported that they had a smoke alarm or detector installed in their home. A significantly greater proportion of people aged 35–44 years (77.1 per cent) reported having a smoke alarm installed compared with the overall NSW population. There was no significant difference between the proportions of people who reported having a smoke alarm installed in urban areas (72.3 per cent) and rural areas (74.9 per cent). The proportion of residents who had a smoke alarm installed in their home in the Central Sydney (60.0 per cent), Northern Sydney (65.8 per cent), and South Eastern Sydney (65.5 per cent) Area Health Services was significantly lower compared with the overall NSW population. The proportion of people who had a smoke alarm installed in their home in the Central Coast (81.7 per cent), Hunter (88.9 per cent), Mid Western (77.8 per cent), and Greater Murray (79.3 per cent) Area Health Services was significantly greater compared with the overall population.

The proportion of people with smoke alarms installed in their home was significantly lower in the least socioeconomically disadvantaged quintile (68.0 per cent) and significantly higher in the second most disadvantaged quintile (76.5 per cent), compared with the overall population.

The proportion of respondents reporting having smoke alarms installed increased significantly from 1997 (58.2 per cent) to 2002 (72.9 per cent). This increase occurred both in males (57.6 per cent to 72.4 per cent) and females (58.7 per cent to 73.3 per cent).

Of those people who had a smoke alarm installed in their home, 3.4 per cent had had a fire in their home that activated a smoke alarm (48.1 per cent within the past 12 months) and 75.8 per cent of the fires were extinguished without calling the fire brigade.

Figure 40–41 and Table 15 show the proportion of homes that have a smoke alarm or detector by socioeconomic disadvantage and health area.

References

FIGURE 40
HOMES WITH A SMOKE ALARM OR DETECTOR BY SOCIOECONOMIC DISADVANTAGE SCORE, PERSONS AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.

FIGURE 41
HOMES WITH A SMOKE ALARM OR DETECTOR BY HEALTH AREA, PERSONS AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.
### TABLE 15

**HOMES WITH A SMOKE ALARM OR DETECTOR BY HEALTH AREA, PERSONS AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>LL95%CI</th>
<th>UL95%CI</th>
<th>Persons</th>
<th>UL95%CI</th>
<th>(est no.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Central Sydney</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>55.9</td>
<td>64.1</td>
<td>240900</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Sydney</td>
<td>65.8</td>
<td>61.6</td>
<td>70.1</td>
<td>408800</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Sydney</td>
<td>74.1</td>
<td>70.2</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>382400</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wentworth</td>
<td>75.7</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>79.4</td>
<td>176700</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South West Sydney</td>
<td>74.7</td>
<td>70.8</td>
<td>78.5</td>
<td>445500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Coast</td>
<td>81.7</td>
<td>78.3</td>
<td>85.1</td>
<td>184200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hunter</td>
<td>88.9</td>
<td>86.3</td>
<td>91.4</td>
<td>370500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illawarra</td>
<td>76.6</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>80.1</td>
<td>220700</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South East Sydney</td>
<td>65.5</td>
<td>61.5</td>
<td>69.4</td>
<td>407300</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Rivers</td>
<td>70.1</td>
<td>65.9</td>
<td>74.2</td>
<td>140500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid North Coast</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>68.9</td>
<td>77.1</td>
<td>146100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New England</td>
<td>75.3</td>
<td>71.5</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>96500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macquarie</td>
<td>74.7</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>78.3</td>
<td>55800</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Western</td>
<td>77.8</td>
<td>74.5</td>
<td>81.2</td>
<td>95100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Far West</td>
<td>77.6</td>
<td>73.6</td>
<td>81.5</td>
<td>28300</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Murray</td>
<td>79.3</td>
<td>75.7</td>
<td>82.9</td>
<td>152000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern</td>
<td>75.4</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>78.8</td>
<td>105400</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>72.3</td>
<td>70.9</td>
<td>73.7</td>
<td>281900</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>74.9</td>
<td>73.5</td>
<td>76.4</td>
<td>819900</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSW</td>
<td>72.9</td>
<td>71.8</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>3639000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** Estimates are based on 12622 respondents in NSW. 0 (0 per cent) were ‘not stated’ (Don’t know or Refused) for this indicator in NSW. The indicator includes those who have a smoke alarm or detector in their home. The question used to define the indicator was ‘How many smoke alarms or detectors are installed in your home?’.

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.

### Nutrition

#### Introduction

Nutrition is an important determinant of health at all stages of life. Many dietary factors are linked to health and disease, either as protective influences or as risk factors. Some common chronic diseases, to which diet contributes substantially to health risk or health protection, include: coronary heart disease, stroke, cancer, non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus, osteoporosis, dental caries, gall bladder disease, and diverticular disease.1

Excessive consumption of energy and fat contribute to the burden of illness from obesity, and a high intake of saturated fat is linked to a high serum cholesterol. Inadequate consumption of fruits, vegetables, and several micronutrients contained in these and other foods, contribute to the overall burden of illness from many diseases.2

The New South Wales Adult Health Survey 2002 included the short dietary questionnaire on usual consumption of fruit, vegetables, breads and cereals, milk, selected foods high in saturated fats (chips and processed meats), and food security.3 Respondents were asked the following questions: ‘How many serves of vegetables do you usually eat each day?’, ‘How many serves of fruit do you usually eat each day?’, ‘How often do you usually eat bread?’, ‘How often do you eat breakfast cereal?’, ‘How often do you eat pasta, rice, noodles, or other cooked cereals (not including cooked breakfast cereals)?’, ‘What type of milk do you usually have?’, ‘How often do you eat processed meat products such as sausages, frankfurts, devon, salami, meat pies, bacon, or ham?’, ‘How often do you eat chips, french fries, wedges, fried potatoes, or crisps?’, ‘In the last 12 months, were there any times that you ran out of food and couldn’t afford to buy more?’.

The Dietary Guidelines for Australian Adults was used as the source of recommended numbers of serves of fruits and vegetables for this report.1

#### Results

##### Consumption of fruit

According to the Dietary Guidelines for Australian Adults,1 the recommended daily consumption of fruit is three serves for people aged 16–18 years, and two serves for people aged 19 years and over. One serve is equivalent to one medium piece or two small pieces of fruit.

Overall, in 2002, 6.7 per cent of the population reported that they ate no fruit, 15.6 per cent had less than one serve
per day, 31.3 per cent had one serve per day, 26.0 per cent had two serves per day, 13.5 per cent had three serves a day, and 7.0 per cent had more than three serves a day. Therefore, 46.5 per cent of the population ate the recommended daily intake of fruit. A significantly greater proportion of females (51.5 per cent) than males (41.3 per cent) consume the recommended amount of fruit each day.

Consumption of the recommended daily intake of fruit increased with age. Among males, a significantly lower proportion (31.3 per cent) of those aged 25–34 years and a significantly greater proportion (49.2 per cent to 50.2 per cent) of those aged 65 years and over were likely to eat the recommended daily intake of fruit, compared with the overall male population. Among females, a significantly lower proportion (35.3 per cent to 43.5 per cent) of those aged 16–34 years and a significantly greater proportion (60.4 per cent to 63.7 per cent) of those aged 55 years and over were likely to eat the recommended daily intake of fruit, compared with the overall female population.

There was no significant geographical variation in consumption of the recommended daily intake of fruit between rural residents (43.7 per cent) and urban residents (45.7 per cent). However, the proportion of residents consuming the recommended daily intake of fruit was significantly lower in Macquarie (38.8 per cent), Mid Western (38.9 per cent), and Far West (36.9 per cent) Area Health Services, compared with the overall population. The proportion of people consuming the recommended daily intake of fruit did not vary significantly by level of socioeconomic disadvantage.

Daily consumption of fruit did not differ significantly from 1997 (44.5 per cent) to 2002 (45.3 per cent).

Consumption of Vegetables

The recommended daily intake of vegetables is defined in the Dietary Guidelines for Australian Adults as four serves for females of any age and for males aged 16–18 years or over 60 years, and five serves for males aged 19–60 years. One serve is equivalent to one-half cup of cooked vegetables or one cup of salad vegetables.

Overall, in 2002, 0.9 per cent of the population reported that they ate no vegetables, 6.3 per cent ate less than one serve per day, 24.5 per cent ate one serve per day, 30.4 per cent ate two serves a day, 17.9 per cent ate three serves a day, 12.5 per cent ate four serves a day, 3.8 per cent ate five serves a day, and 3.8 per cent ate more than five serves a day. Therefore, 16.2 per cent of the population ate the recommended daily intake of vegetables. A significantly greater proportion of females (22.9 per cent) than males (9.2 per cent) consumed the recommended amount of vegetables each day.

Consumption of the recommended daily intake of vegetables increased with age. Among males, a significantly lower proportion (4.5 per cent to 5.1 per cent) of those aged 25–44 years and a significantly greater proportion (13.8 per cent to 25.0 per cent) of those aged 55 years and over were likely to consume the recommended daily intake of vegetables, compared with the overall male population. Among females a significantly lower proportion (12.4 per cent to 19.9 per cent) of those aged 16–44 years and a significantly greater proportion (29.1 per cent to 34.3 per cent) of those aged 45–74 years were likely to consume the recommended daily intake of vegetables, compared with the overall female population.

There was significant geographical variation, with a significantly greater proportion of rural residents (20.6 per cent) consuming the recommended daily intake of vegetables compared to urban residents (14.9 per cent). A significantly lower proportion of residents in the Western Sydney Area Health Service (10.4 per cent) consumed the recommended daily intake of vegetables, compared with the overall urban population. There was no significant difference in consumption of the recommended daily intake of vegetables among rural area health services.

The proportion of people consuming the recommended daily intake of vegetables did not vary significantly by level of socioeconomic disadvantage.

Daily consumption of vegetables did not differ significantly from 1997 (16.3 per cent) to 2002 (16.2 per cent).

Modified Fat Milk (low and reduced fat)

The Dietary Guidelines for Australian Adults recommend a diet low in fat, to reduce the overall energy intake. An indicator of people who are maintaining a low fat diet is the use of modified fat milk. Overall, in 2002, 48.5 per cent of the population had regular milk (whole or full cream), 28.7 per cent had low or reduced fat milk, 14.7 per cent had low fat milk, 0.2 per cent had evaporated or sweetened milk, 3.8 per cent had other milk, and 4.2 per cent did not drink milk. Therefore, 43.4 per cent of the population used modified fat milk. A significantly greater proportion of females (50.7 per cent) than males (35.8 per cent) used modified fat milk.

Use of modified fat milk increased with age. Among males, a significantly lower proportion (20.9 per cent) of those aged 16–24 years and a significantly greater proportion (45.5 per cent to 48.9 per cent) of those aged 55 years and over were likely to use modified fat milk, compared with the overall male population. Among females, a significantly lower proportion (39.8 per cent to 43.9 per cent) of those aged 16–34 years and a significantly greater proportion (63.7 per cent to 64.0 per cent) of those aged 55–74 years were likely to use modified fat milk compared with the overall female population.

There was significant geographical variation, with significantly greater proportions of urban residents (44.6 per cent) than rural residents (39.1 per cent) using modified fat milk. The proportion of residents using modified fat milk was significantly lower in the South West Sydney Area Health Service (36.6 per cent) and...
significantly greater in the Northern Sydney Area Health Service (52.3 per cent), compared with the overall urban population. There was no significant difference in the proportion of people using modified fat milk among rural area health services.

The proportion of people using modified fat milk was significantly lower in the most disadvantaged (37.6 per cent) and second most disadvantaged (39.1 per cent) quintiles, and significantly greater in the least disadvantaged (55.0 per cent) and second last disadvantaged (48.1 per cent) quintiles, compared with the overall population.

Use of modified fat milk decreased significantly from 1997 (45.7 per cent) to 2002 (43.4 per cent).

Breads and Cereals
In the New South Wales Adult Health Survey 2002, questions were asked on the frequency of eating breakfast cereals, bread, pasta, rice, and noodles. The data from these questions has been combined to provide an overall daily frequency of eating breakfast cereals, bread, pasta, rice, and noodles.

Overall, in 2002, 1.2 per cent of the population did not eat breads and cereals (0.9 per cent of males and 1.4 per cent of females), 0.5 per cent had breads and cereals less than once a day, 18.6 per cent had breads and cereals once a day, 36.8 per cent twice a day, 25.8 per cent three times a day, 8.9 per cent four times a day, 3.6 per cent five times a day, and 4.6 per cent had breads and cereals more than five times a day. The proportions did not differ significantly between males and females.

Chips
In the New South Wales Adult Health Survey 2002, questions were asked on the frequency of eating chips, french fries, wedges, fried potatoes, or crisps.

Overall, in 2002, 49.0 per cent of the population did not eat chips (45.2 per cent of males and 52.7 per cent of females), 15.7 per cent had chips less than once a week, 16.3 per cent had chips once a week, 9.0 per cent had chips twice a week, 4.2 per cent had chips three times a week, 1.4 per cent had chips four times a week, 0.8 per cent had chips five times a week, and 3.6 per cent had chips more than five times a week. The proportion of males eating chips at least weekly was higher than females.

Processed Meat Products
In the New South Wales Adult Health Survey 2002, questions were asked on the frequency of eating processed meat products such as sausages, frankfurts, devon, salami, meat pies, bacon, or ham.

Overall, in 2002, 29.1 per cent of the population did not eat processed meat products (21.8 per cent of males and 36.1 per cent of females), 10.1 per cent had processed meat products less than once a week, 23.8 per cent had them once a week, 15.7 per cent had them twice a week, 8.9 per cent had them three times a week, 4.0 per cent had them four times a week, 2.0 per cent had them five times a week, and 6.6 per cent had processed meat products more than five times a week. The proportion of males eating processed meat at least twice a week was significantly higher than females.

Food Security
Overall, in 2002, 5.7 per cent of the population had experienced some food insecurity in the past 12 months, in that they had run out of food and couldn’t afford to buy more. There was no significant difference in the proportion of males (5.2 per cent) and females (6.1 per cent) who had experienced food insecurity.

The proportion of people who had experienced food insecurity was significantly greater among people aged 35–44 years (8.1 per cent), and significantly lower among people aged 55 years and over (1.5 per cent to 3.2 per cent) and males aged 45–54 years (3.7 per cent), compared with the overall population.

There was no significant geographical variation in the proportion of people who had experienced food insecurity between rural areas (6.6 per cent) and urban areas (5.4 per cent). Only residents of the Northern Sydney Area Health Service (3.0 per cent) were significantly less likely to have experienced food insecurity, compared with the overall population.

People in the most socioeconomically disadvantaged quintile (8.4 per cent) were significantly more likely and people in the least disadvantaged quintile (3.0 per cent) were significantly less likely to have experienced food insecurity, compared with the overall population.

Trend data are unavailable, as food security was not included in the 1997 and 1998 New South Wales health surveys.

Figures 42–43 and Table 16 show the proportion of people who consume the recommended daily fruit intake by age and health area. Figures 44–45 and Table 17 show the proportion of people who consume the recommended daily vegetable intake by age and health area. Figures 46–47 and Table 18 show the proportion of people who usually eat low fat, reduced fat, or skim milk by socioeconomic disadvantage and health area. Figures 48–50 show the frequency of eating fried potato products per week; bread, pasta and other cereals by day; and processed meat products per week. Figures 51–52 show the proportion of people who have experienced food insecurity in the last 12 months by age and socioeconomic disadvantage.
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FIGURE 42
RECOMMENDED DAILY FRUIT INTAKE BY AGE, PERSONS AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Estimated Number</th>
<th>females</th>
<th>males</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>67,000</td>
<td>75+</td>
<td>49.2</td>
<td>60.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107,200</td>
<td>65-74</td>
<td>50.2</td>
<td>63.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>141,100</td>
<td>55-64</td>
<td>45.6</td>
<td>62.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>195,100</td>
<td>45-54</td>
<td>44.1</td>
<td>52.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>191,100</td>
<td>35-44</td>
<td>38.8</td>
<td>48.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>147,000</td>
<td>25-34</td>
<td>31.3</td>
<td>43.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>139,200</td>
<td>16-24</td>
<td>35.7</td>
<td>35.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>987,700</td>
<td>NSW</td>
<td>40.3</td>
<td>50.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Estimated Number

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.

FIGURE 43
RECOMMENDED DAILY FRUIT INTAKE BY HEALTH AREA, PERSONS AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.
### TABLE 16

**RECOMMENDED DAILY FRUIT INTAKE BY HEALTH AREA, PERSONS AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Males % (LL 95%CI)</th>
<th>Males UL (est. no.)</th>
<th>Females % (LL 95%CI)</th>
<th>Females UL (est. no.)</th>
<th>Persons % (LL 95%CI)</th>
<th>Persons UL (est. no.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Central Sydney</td>
<td>45.5</td>
<td>39.1</td>
<td>51.8</td>
<td>89700</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>44.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Sydney</td>
<td>40.1</td>
<td>33.8</td>
<td>46.5</td>
<td>122300</td>
<td>54.1</td>
<td>47.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Sydney</td>
<td>41.2</td>
<td>34.3</td>
<td>48.1</td>
<td>104500</td>
<td>49.5</td>
<td>43.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wentworth</td>
<td>34.7</td>
<td>28.3</td>
<td>41.1</td>
<td>40100</td>
<td>45.9</td>
<td>40.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South West Sydney</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>35.3</td>
<td>48.6</td>
<td>123600</td>
<td>46.2</td>
<td>40.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Coast</td>
<td>45.9</td>
<td>38.4</td>
<td>53.4</td>
<td>49800</td>
<td>49.5</td>
<td>43.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hunter</td>
<td>38.6</td>
<td>31.4</td>
<td>45.8</td>
<td>79000</td>
<td>44.6</td>
<td>39.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illawarra</td>
<td>43.6</td>
<td>36.8</td>
<td>50.4</td>
<td>56700</td>
<td>52.6</td>
<td>47.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South East Sydney</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>34.4</td>
<td>47.5</td>
<td>127200</td>
<td>53.7</td>
<td>48.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Rivers</td>
<td>41.3</td>
<td>34.3</td>
<td>48.4</td>
<td>40500</td>
<td>55.9</td>
<td>50.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid North Coast</td>
<td>46.4</td>
<td>39.5</td>
<td>53.2</td>
<td>44400</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>40.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New England</td>
<td>34.3</td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td>40.7</td>
<td>21400</td>
<td>50.9</td>
<td>44.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macquarie</td>
<td>29.2</td>
<td>23.1</td>
<td>35.3</td>
<td>10800</td>
<td>48.3</td>
<td>42.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Western</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>23.1</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>17200</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>43.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Far West</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td>35.1</td>
<td>5200</td>
<td>44.9</td>
<td>38.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Murray</td>
<td>34.3</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>31500</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>42.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern</td>
<td>34.1</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>40.1</td>
<td>23700</td>
<td>55.6</td>
<td>50.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>41.3</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>43.7</td>
<td>793000</td>
<td>49.9</td>
<td>47.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>36.5</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>39.1</td>
<td>194700</td>
<td>50.6</td>
<td>48.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSW</td>
<td>40.3</td>
<td>38.4</td>
<td>42.2</td>
<td>987700</td>
<td>50.1</td>
<td>48.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Estimates are based on 12534 respondents in NSW. 88 (0.7 per cent) were ‘not stated’ (Don’t know or Refused) for this indicator in NSW. The indicator includes those who meet the recommended daily consumption of fruit. The recommended daily consumption of fruit according to the NHMRC Dietary Guidelines for Australian Adults is 3 serves for people aged 16 to 18, and 2 serves for people aged 19 and over. One serve is equivalent to one medium piece or 2 small pieces of fruit. The question used to define the indicator was ‘How many serves of fruit do you usually eat each day?’.

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.

### FIGURE 44

**RECOMMENDED DAILY VEGETABLE INTAKE BY AGE, PERSONS AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002**

![Vegetable Intake by Age](image)

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.
FIGURE 45

RECOMMENDED DAILY VEGETABLE INTAKE BY HEALTH AREA, PERSONS AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.

TABLE 17

RECOMMENDED DAILY VEGETABLE INTAKE BY HEALTH AREA, PERSONS AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Males</th>
<th>Females</th>
<th>Persons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% (est. no.)</td>
<td>% (est. no.)</td>
<td>% (est. no.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LL 95%CI</td>
<td>UL 95%CI</td>
<td>LL 95%CI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Sydney</td>
<td>8.7 12.4 17100</td>
<td>22.9 18.7 27.2</td>
<td>16 13.1 18.8 63600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Sydney</td>
<td>6.6 9.3 20100</td>
<td>19.8 15.1 24.5</td>
<td>13.4 10.6 16.2 84500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Sydney</td>
<td>5.5 8.3 13900</td>
<td>15.1 11.4 18.8</td>
<td>10.4 8 12.8 53600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wentworth</td>
<td>8.2 11.8 9500</td>
<td>22.5 17.9 27.2</td>
<td>15.5 12.5 18.5 36300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South West Sydney</td>
<td>6.4 9.8 14700</td>
<td>20.1 15.7 24.5</td>
<td>13.3 10.5 16.1 79200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Coast</td>
<td>13.7 18.9 14700</td>
<td>27.1 21.8 32.3</td>
<td>20.7 16.9 24.4 46100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hunter</td>
<td>9.5 12.9 19400</td>
<td>23.6 19.1 28.2</td>
<td>16.7 13.7 19.6 68800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illawarra</td>
<td>11.1 16.1 14400</td>
<td>22.5 18.3 26.7</td>
<td>16.9 13.7 20.1 44600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South East Sydney</td>
<td>10.4 14.2 32200</td>
<td>23.3 19 27.7 73800</td>
<td>17 14 19.9 106000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Rivers</td>
<td>13.7 17.3 13300</td>
<td>33.2 27.8 38.5</td>
<td>33700 23.6 20.2 27.1 46900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid North Coast</td>
<td>14.5 18.9 14000</td>
<td>32.5 26.9 38.2</td>
<td>33500 23.8 20.1 27.5 47500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New England</td>
<td>13.5 18.4 8500</td>
<td>26.6 21.8 31.3</td>
<td>17300 20.1 16.7 23.5 25800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macquarie</td>
<td>10.8 14.3 3900</td>
<td>24.9 20 29.7</td>
<td>9400 17.9 14.8 21.1 13300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Western</td>
<td>9.2 12.3 5600</td>
<td>28.9 24.1 33.8</td>
<td>18100 19.3 16.2 22.3 23600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Far West</td>
<td>8 11.9 1500</td>
<td>26.8 20.7 32.8</td>
<td>4700 17.2 13.6 20.8 6200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Murray</td>
<td>9.5 13.6 8800</td>
<td>25.9 21.3 30.5</td>
<td>25100 17.8 14.7 21 33900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern</td>
<td>15.4 19.7 10700</td>
<td>23.6 19.5 27.8</td>
<td>16500 19.5 16.5 22.5 27200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>8.4 9.6 160000</td>
<td>21.3 19.7 22.8</td>
<td>422700 14.9 13.9 16 582600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>12.4 14 66300</td>
<td>28.5 26.6 30.5</td>
<td>158100 20.6 19.3 21.9 224400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSW</td>
<td>9.2 10.3 226200</td>
<td>22.9 21.6 24.2</td>
<td>580800 16.2 15.3 17 807000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Estimates are based on 12486 respondents in NSW. 136 (1.08 per cent) were ‘not stated’ (Don’t know or Refused) for this indicator in NSW.

The indicator includes those who meet the recommended daily consumption of vegetables. The recommended daily vegetable intake is defined as 4 serves per day for females of any age and for males aged 16 to 18 years or over 60 years, and 5 serves per day for males aged 19 to 60 years. One serve is equivalent to 1/2 cup of cooked vegetables or 1 cup of salad vegetables. The question used to define the indicator was: ‘How many serves of vegetables do you usually eat each day?’

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.
FIGURE 46

USUAL USE OF LOW FAT, REDUCED FAT OR SKIM MILK BY SOCIOECONOMIC DISADVANTAGE SCORE, PERSONS AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.

FIGURE 47

USUAL USE OF LOW FAT, REDUCED FAT OR SKIM MILK BY HEALTH AREA, PERSONS AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.
TABLE 18
USUAL USE OF LOW FAT, REDUCED FAT OR SKIM MILK BY HEALTH AREA, PERSONS AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Males % LL 95%CI</th>
<th>LL 95%CI (est. no.)</th>
<th>Females % LL 95%CI</th>
<th>UL 95%CI (est. no.)</th>
<th>Persons % LL 95%CI</th>
<th>UL 95%CI (est. no.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Central Sydney</td>
<td>31.4</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>62600</td>
<td>49.6</td>
<td>44.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Sydney</td>
<td>44.4</td>
<td>37.9</td>
<td>50.9</td>
<td>135400</td>
<td>59.6</td>
<td>53.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Sydney</td>
<td>36.7</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>43.3</td>
<td>93700</td>
<td>48.9</td>
<td>43.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wentworth</td>
<td>35.3</td>
<td>28.9</td>
<td>41.7</td>
<td>40900</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>41.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South West Sydney</td>
<td>29.6</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>35.6</td>
<td>86800</td>
<td>43.6</td>
<td>37.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Coast</td>
<td>43.5</td>
<td>36.1</td>
<td>50.9</td>
<td>47300</td>
<td>52.8</td>
<td>46.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hunter</td>
<td>34.3</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>40.6</td>
<td>70600</td>
<td>50.5</td>
<td>44.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illawarra</td>
<td>41.9</td>
<td>35.3</td>
<td>48.6</td>
<td>54600</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>49.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South East Sydney</td>
<td>39.9</td>
<td>33.5</td>
<td>46.3</td>
<td>125000</td>
<td>55.8</td>
<td>50.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Rivers</td>
<td>29.8</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>36.4</td>
<td>29300</td>
<td>46.4</td>
<td>40.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid North Coast</td>
<td>36.2</td>
<td>29.7</td>
<td>42.7</td>
<td>35200</td>
<td>45.3</td>
<td>39.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New England</td>
<td>31.9</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>38.1</td>
<td>20200</td>
<td>43.1</td>
<td>37.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macquarie</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>28.3</td>
<td>8400</td>
<td>52.8</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Western</td>
<td>32.8</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>38.7</td>
<td>19900</td>
<td>46.2</td>
<td>40.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Far West</td>
<td>31.8</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>38.2</td>
<td>5900</td>
<td>46.8</td>
<td>40.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Murray</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>36.5</td>
<td>28200</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>41.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>32.8</td>
<td>19000</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>46.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>37.2</td>
<td>34.9</td>
<td>39.4</td>
<td>718900</td>
<td>51.7</td>
<td>49.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>30.9</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>166100</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>44.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSW</td>
<td>35.8</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>37.6</td>
<td>885000</td>
<td>50.7</td>
<td>49.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Estimates are based on 12599 respondents in NSW. 23 (0.19 per cent) were ‘not stated’ (Don’t know or Refused) for this indicator in NSW. The indicator includes those who usually use low fat, reduced fat or skim milk. The question used to define the indicator was ‘What type of milk do you usually have?’. Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.

FIGURE 48
FREQUENCY OF EATING CHIPS, FRENCH FRIES, WEDGES, FRIED POTATOES OR CRISPS PER WEEK, PERSONS AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.
FIGURE 49
FREQUENCY OF EATING BREAKFAST CEREAL, BREADS, PASTA, RICE AND NOODLES PER DAY, PERSONS AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.

FIGURE 50
FREQUENCY OF EATING PROCESSED MEAT PRODUCTS PER WEEK, PERSONS AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.
FIGURE 51
FOOD INSECURITY IN LAST 12 MONTHS BY AGE, PERSONS AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002
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Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.

FIGURE 52
FOOD INSECURITY IN LAST 12 MONTHS BY SOCIOECONOMIC DISADVANTAGE SCORE, PERSONS AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002
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Physical activity

Introduction

Physical activity is an important factor in maintaining good health. People who participate in moderate to vigorous levels of physical activity have lower mortality rates and lower incidence of a number of diseases and conditions than those who are physically inactive. Physical activity is of benefit in six out of the seven National Health Priorities, and is a preventative factor for cardiovascular disease, cancer, mental illness, diabetes mellitus, obesity, and injury. In Australia, physical inactivity ranks second only to tobacco smoking in terms of burden of disease from health risk factors, and accounts for 6.7 per cent of the burden of disease and injury.

To maintain health, it is currently recommended that exercise of moderate intensity is carried out on all or most days of the week for at least 30 minutes per day. Encouragingly, this can be undertaken in shorter bursts of exercise, such as three lots of 10 minutes. Exercise of moderate intensity includes brisk walking, dancing, swimming, or cycling.

In addition, journeys to and from work provide regular opportunities to engage in incidental physical activity through walking or cycling to work, or walking to public transport. As such, monitoring transport habits of the population over time provides further information about physical activity through ‘active transport’.

The New South Wales Adult Health Survey 2002 included the following Active Australia Survey questions: ‘In the last week, how many times have you walked continuously for at least 10 minutes for recreation or exercise or to get to or from places?’, ‘What do you estimate was the total time you spent walking in this way in the last week?’, ‘In the last week, how many times did you do any vigorous physical activity that made you breathe harder or puff and pant?’, ‘What do you estimate was the total time you spent doing this vigorous physical activity in the last week?’, and ‘In the last week, how many times did you do any other more moderate physical activity that you haven’t already mentioned?’, ‘What do you estimate was the total time that you spent doing these activities in the last week?’. The New South Wales Adult Health Survey 2002 also included a question about active transport: ‘How do you usually get to work?’

Results

Adequate physical activity

‘Adequate’ physical activity was calculated from the Active Australia Survey questions above, and is defined as undertaking physical activity for a total of 150 minutes per week over five separate occasions. The total minutes were calculated by adding minutes in the last week spent walking (continuously for at least 10 minutes), minutes doing moderate physical activity, plus x 2 minutes doing vigorous physical activity.

Overall, in 2002, 46.6 per cent of respondents aged 16 years and over reported adequate levels of physical activity. A significantly greater proportion of males (50.4 per cent) were likely to undertake adequate physical activity than females (42.9 per cent).

Among males, a significantly greater proportion aged 16–24 years (64.5 per cent) and a significantly lower proportion aged 75 years and over (37.2 per cent) were likely to undertake adequate physical activity, compared with the overall male population. Among females, a significantly greater proportion aged 16–24 years (54.1 per cent) and a significantly lower proportion aged 65 years and over (27.3 per cent to 36.3 per cent) were likely to undertake adequate physical activity, compared with the overall female population.

There was no significant difference between urban areas (46.5 per cent) and rural areas (46.8 per cent) in the proportion of people undertaking adequate levels of physical activity. Compared with the overall population, the proportion of residents undertaking adequate levels of physical activity was significantly higher in the Central Sydney (53.3 per cent) and South Eastern Sydney (55.7 per cent) Area Health Services, and significantly lower in the Western Sydney (37.7 per cent), South West Sydney (39.7 per cent), and Central Coast (39.4 per cent) Area Health Services.

A significantly greater proportion of people in the least socioeconomically disadvantaged quintile undertook adequate physical activity (52.0 per cent), compared with the overall population.

There has been no change in levels of adequate physical activity reported, between 1998 (47.6 per cent) and 2002 (46.6 per cent).

Active transport

Overall, in 2002, the majority of respondents do not use active transport to travel to work, as 76.3 per cent commute by car, motorbike, or truck. Of those respondents using a form of active transport, 17.9 per cent use public transport (train, bus or ferry), 6.5 per cent walk to work, and 1.4 per cent bicycle to work.

Figures 53–55 and Table 19 show the proportion of people who undertake adequate physical activity in the last week by age, socioeconomic disadvantage, and health area. Figure 56 shows usual method of transportation to work.
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FIGURE 53
ADEQUATE PHYSICAL ACTIVITY BY AGE, PERSONS AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Males</th>
<th>Females</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75+</td>
<td>37.2</td>
<td>27.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65-74</td>
<td>47.4</td>
<td>40.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-64</td>
<td>47.0</td>
<td>41.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-54</td>
<td>48.3</td>
<td>44.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-44</td>
<td>48.9</td>
<td>45.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-34</td>
<td>49.6</td>
<td>54.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16-24</td>
<td>64.5</td>
<td>42.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSW</td>
<td>50.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Estimated Number</th>
<th>Males</th>
<th>Females</th>
<th>Estimated Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>51,800</td>
<td>37.2</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>57,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101,600</td>
<td>47.4</td>
<td>40.4</td>
<td>84,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>146,000</td>
<td>47.0</td>
<td>41.1</td>
<td>123,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>214,900</td>
<td>48.3</td>
<td>44.1</td>
<td>180,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>242,900</td>
<td>48.9</td>
<td>45.6</td>
<td>219,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>238,000</td>
<td>49.6</td>
<td>54.1</td>
<td>221,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>251,700</td>
<td>64.5</td>
<td>42.9</td>
<td>210,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,246,900</td>
<td>50.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,096,600</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.

FIGURE 54
ADEQUATE PHYSICAL ACTIVITY BY SOCIOECONOMIC DISADVANTAGE SCORE, PERSONS AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Socioeconomic Disadvantage Score</th>
<th>Males</th>
<th>Females</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5th Quintile most disadvantaged</td>
<td>47.6</td>
<td>39.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th Quintile</td>
<td>50.3</td>
<td>38.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd Quintile</td>
<td>48.6</td>
<td>41.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd Quintile</td>
<td>54.2</td>
<td>47.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st Quintile least disadvantaged</td>
<td>52.2</td>
<td>51.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSW</td>
<td>50.4</td>
<td>42.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Estimated Number</th>
<th>Males</th>
<th>Females</th>
<th>Estimated Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>234,400</td>
<td>47.6</td>
<td>39.2</td>
<td>212,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>322,300</td>
<td>50.3</td>
<td>38.0</td>
<td>228,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>263,200</td>
<td>48.6</td>
<td>41.2</td>
<td>223,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>239,500</td>
<td>54.2</td>
<td>47.7</td>
<td>225,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>187,500</td>
<td>52.2</td>
<td>51.9</td>
<td>206,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,246,900</td>
<td>50.4</td>
<td>42.9</td>
<td>1,096,600</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.
FIGURE 55
ADEQUATE PHYSICAL ACTIVITY BY HEALTH AREA, PERSONS AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002

[Graph showing physical activity by health area for males and females.]

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.

TABLE 19
ADEQUATE PHYSICAL ACTIVITY BY HEALTH AREA, PERSONS AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>% Males LL 95%CI</th>
<th>% Males UL 95%CI</th>
<th>(est. no.)</th>
<th>% Females LL 95%CI</th>
<th>% Females UL 95%CI</th>
<th>(est. no.)</th>
<th>% Persons LL 95%CI</th>
<th>% Persons UL 95%CI</th>
<th>(est. no.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Central Sydney</td>
<td>60.7</td>
<td>54.5</td>
<td>66.8</td>
<td>121500</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>40.9</td>
<td>51.2</td>
<td>94800</td>
<td>53.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Sydney</td>
<td>50.8</td>
<td>44.2</td>
<td>57.3</td>
<td>155200</td>
<td>49.6</td>
<td>43.4</td>
<td>55.9</td>
<td>161600</td>
<td>50.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Sydney</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>30.4</td>
<td>43.5</td>
<td>94400</td>
<td>38.3</td>
<td>32.7</td>
<td>43.9</td>
<td>101300</td>
<td>37.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wentworth</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>39.3</td>
<td>52.7</td>
<td>53400</td>
<td>42.6</td>
<td>36.7</td>
<td>48.4</td>
<td>51000</td>
<td>44.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South West Sydney</td>
<td>47.4</td>
<td>40.7</td>
<td>54.1</td>
<td>141900</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>37.4</td>
<td>96600</td>
<td>39.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Coast</td>
<td>43.5</td>
<td>36.1</td>
<td>50.9</td>
<td>47300</td>
<td>35.6</td>
<td>29.9</td>
<td>41.3</td>
<td>41600</td>
<td>39.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hunter</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>59.1</td>
<td>107300</td>
<td>40.5</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>46.1</td>
<td>86100</td>
<td>40.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illawarra</td>
<td>56.4</td>
<td>49.7</td>
<td>63.2</td>
<td>73500</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>32.4</td>
<td>42.6</td>
<td>51000</td>
<td>39.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South East Sydney</td>
<td>57.8</td>
<td>51.3</td>
<td>64.3</td>
<td>181300</td>
<td>53.6</td>
<td>48.2</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>170200</td>
<td>55.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Rivers</td>
<td>55.9</td>
<td>49.1</td>
<td>62.7</td>
<td>55000</td>
<td>45.6</td>
<td>39.8</td>
<td>51.3</td>
<td>46800</td>
<td>50.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid North Coast</td>
<td>45.9</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>52.7</td>
<td>44700</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>39.9</td>
<td>52.2</td>
<td>47600</td>
<td>46.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New England</td>
<td>52.7</td>
<td>45.8</td>
<td>59.7</td>
<td>33400</td>
<td>38.7</td>
<td>32.7</td>
<td>44.7</td>
<td>25400</td>
<td>45.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macquarie</td>
<td>49.5</td>
<td>42.3</td>
<td>56.6</td>
<td>18400</td>
<td>41.3</td>
<td>35.6</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>15600</td>
<td>45.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Western</td>
<td>45.7</td>
<td>39.4</td>
<td>52.1</td>
<td>27700</td>
<td>37.4</td>
<td>32.2</td>
<td>42.6</td>
<td>23400</td>
<td>41.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Far West</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>39.1</td>
<td>52.9</td>
<td>86000</td>
<td>40.5</td>
<td>34.1</td>
<td>46.9</td>
<td>7200</td>
<td>43.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Murray</td>
<td>52.5</td>
<td>45.4</td>
<td>59.6</td>
<td>49500</td>
<td>44.4</td>
<td>38.9</td>
<td>49.9</td>
<td>43400</td>
<td>48.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern</td>
<td>48.7</td>
<td>42.1</td>
<td>55.2</td>
<td>33900</td>
<td>47.1</td>
<td>41.8</td>
<td>52.4</td>
<td>33100</td>
<td>47.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>50.4</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>52.8</td>
<td>975800</td>
<td>42.7</td>
<td>40.7</td>
<td>44.7</td>
<td>854200</td>
<td>46.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>50.3</td>
<td>47.6</td>
<td>52.9</td>
<td>271100</td>
<td>43.5</td>
<td>41.3</td>
<td>45.7</td>
<td>242500</td>
<td>46.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSW</td>
<td>50.4</td>
<td>48.4</td>
<td>52.3</td>
<td>1246900</td>
<td>42.9</td>
<td>41.2</td>
<td>44.5</td>
<td>1096600</td>
<td>46.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Estimates are based on 12622 respondents in NSW.
0 (0%) were 'not stated' (Don't know or Refused) for this indicator in NSW.
Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.
FIGURE 56
USUAL TRANSPORT TO WORK, PERSONS AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.
Smoking

Introduction

Smoking is the main cause, or is a significant cause, of many diseases including cancer and cardiovascular disease. Of all behavioural risk factors, tobacco use (including passive smoking) is responsible for the greatest burden of premature death and disability.¹

The adverse effects of passive smoking are well documented. In adults, exposure to environmental tobacco smoke has been linked to asthma, lung cancer, cardiovascular diseases, eye irritations, and headaches.² Children are particularly vulnerable to the effects of passive smoking. Environmental tobacco smoke has been shown to be associated with several childhood respiratory illnesses, including asthma, bronchitis, and pneumonia, as well as the development of chronic ear infections, retardation of height and weight, and Sudden Infant Death Syndrome (SIDS).³

The New South Wales Adult Health Survey 2002 included questions on smoking prevalence, intention to quit smoking, and smoking in the home. Respondents were asked the following questions: ‘Which of the following best describes your smoking status?’; ‘Which of the following best describes how you feel about your smoking?’; ‘Which of the following best describes your home situation?’, ‘Can you tell me what percentage of the population you think are smokers?’.

Results

Current smoking status

Overall, in 2002, 16.3 per cent of the population reported that they smoked daily, 5.1 per cent smoked occasionally, 25.1 per cent don’t smoke now but used to, 10.8 per cent have tried smoking a few times but have never smoked regularly, and 42.8 per cent have never smoked.

Current smoking prevalence included respondents who reported that they smoke daily or occasionally. In 2002, 21.4 per cent of the population reported that they are current smokers. Significantly, more males (23.9 per cent) than females (18.9 per cent) reported that they currently smoke.

For both males and females, rates of current smoking were highest in young adults. Among males, a significantly greater proportion of those aged 25–44 years (30.4 per cent to 34.7 per cent) and a significantly lower proportion of those aged 55 years and over (4.2 per cent to 15.4 per cent) were likely to be current smokers, compared to the overall male population. Among females a significantly greater proportion of those aged 16–24 years (27.4 per cent) and a significantly lower proportion of those aged 45 years and over (3.9 per cent to 17.5 per cent) were likely to be current smokers, compared to the overall female population.

There was significant geographic variation in current smoking, with a significantly greater proportion of rural residents (23.5 per cent) likely to currently smoke than urban residents (20.8 per cent). A significantly lower proportion of residents in the Northern Sydney Area Health Service (14.2 per cent), and a significantly greater proportion of residents in the Wentworth Area Health Service (26.1 per cent) reported current smoking, compared to the overall urban population.

Compared to the overall population, the proportion of people currently smoking was significantly lower in respondents in the least disadvantaged quintile (14.0 per cent) and significantly higher in respondents in the second most disadvantaged quintile (24.7 per cent).

There has been a significant decrease in the prevalence of current smoking, from 24.0 per cent in 1997 to 21.4 per cent in 2002. This decrease has occurred in both males (27.2 per cent to 23.9 per cent) and females (21.0 per cent to 18.9 per cent).

Of the respondents who reported current smoking, 49.6 per cent were not planning to quit in the next six months, 32.9 per cent were planning to quit in the next six months, and 12.7 per cent were planning to quit in the next month. A further 3.7 per cent had just quit smoking (had not smoked in the last 24 hours), and 1.1 per cent had not smoked in the last six months.

Smoking in the home

In the New South Wales Adult Health Survey 2002, respondents were asked ‘Which of the following best describes your home situation: “my home is smoke free”, “people occasionally smoke in the home”, or “people frequently smoke in the home”?’.

In 2002, among NSW residents aged 16 years and over, 81.0 per cent reported that their home was smoke-free, 9.8 per cent reported people ‘occasionally’ smoked inside the home, and 9.2 per cent reported that people ‘frequently’ smoked inside the home.

The proportion of people living in a smoke-free home (81.0 per cent) was significantly lower among people aged 16–24 years and significantly greater among people aged 75 years and over (89.4 per cent).

There was significant geographic variation in the proportion of smoke-free homes, with urban residents (81.9 per cent) reporting a significantly greater proportion of smoke-free homes than rural residents (77.9 per cent). Residents in Northern Sydney Area Health Service (88.7 per cent) had a significantly higher proportion of smoke free homes, compared to the overall urban population.

The proportion of people reporting that their home was smoke-free decreased with increasing levels of socioeconomic disadvantage. Compared to the overall population, the least disadvantaged quintile (88.5 per cent) had a significantly greater proportion of smoke-free homes, and the most disadvantaged quintile (74.4 per cent) had a significantly lower proportion of smoke-free homes.
There has been a large and significant increase in the proportion of homes reported to be smoke-free, from 69.8 per cent in 1997 to 81.0 per cent in 2002.

Figure 57 shows smoking status. Figure 58 shows the proportion of people who currently smoke daily or occasionally by age. Figure 59 shows the intention to quit smoking. Figure 60 shows household tobacco exposure. Figures 61–62 and Table 20 shows the proportion of smoke-free households by socioeconomic disadvantage and health area.
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**FIGURE 58**

CURRENT DAILY OR OCCASIONAL SMOKING BY AGE, PERSONS AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Males</th>
<th>Females</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75+</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65-74</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-64</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>17.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-54</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>27.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-44</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td>18.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-34</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>27.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16-24</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>18.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSW</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.

**FIGURE 59**

INTENTION TO QUIT SMOKING, PERSONS WHO SMOKE AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Estimated Number</th>
<th>Per cent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>275,900</td>
<td>48.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>191,900</td>
<td>33.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79,700</td>
<td>14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17,300</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6,100</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Not planning on quitting in next 6 months</th>
<th>Females</th>
<th>Estimated Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>51.1</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>238,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>149,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>4.900</td>
<td>52,100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.
FIGURE 60
ENVIRONMENTAL TOBACCO HOUSEHOLD EXPOSURE, PERSONS AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.

FIGURE 61
SMOKE-FREE HOUSEHOLDS BY SOCIOECONOMIC DISADVANTAGE SCORE, PERSONS AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.
TABLE 20
SMOKE-FREE HOUSEHOLDS BY HEALTH AREA, PERSONS AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>LL95%CI</th>
<th>UL95%CI</th>
<th>(est no.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Central Sydney</td>
<td>78.9</td>
<td>75.5</td>
<td>82.3</td>
<td>319600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Sydney</td>
<td>88.7</td>
<td>85.9</td>
<td>91.5</td>
<td>559900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Sydney</td>
<td>81.5</td>
<td>78.1</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>423700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wentworth</td>
<td>80.8</td>
<td>77.4</td>
<td>84.2</td>
<td>190300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South West Sydney</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>73.2</td>
<td>80.7</td>
<td>460900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Coast</td>
<td>82.4</td>
<td>78.9</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>185500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hunter</td>
<td>83.2</td>
<td>80.1</td>
<td>86.4</td>
<td>347400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illawarra</td>
<td>81.4</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>84.8</td>
<td>216600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South East Sydney</td>
<td>81.4</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>84.8</td>
<td>513600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Rivers</td>
<td>75.3</td>
<td>71.3</td>
<td>79.3</td>
<td>151300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid North Coast</td>
<td>78.3</td>
<td>74.3</td>
<td>82.3</td>
<td>156900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New England</td>
<td>79.6</td>
<td>75.9</td>
<td>83.2</td>
<td>102500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macquarie</td>
<td>78.1</td>
<td>74.2</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>58500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Western</td>
<td>77.5</td>
<td>73.9</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>95200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Far West</td>
<td>73.4</td>
<td>69.4</td>
<td>77.4</td>
<td>26800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Murray</td>
<td>81.4</td>
<td>78.1</td>
<td>84.8</td>
<td>156500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern</td>
<td>76.2</td>
<td>72.7</td>
<td>79.8</td>
<td>106700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>81.9</td>
<td>80.7</td>
<td>83.1</td>
<td>3217600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>77.9</td>
<td>76.5</td>
<td>79.3</td>
<td>854500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSW</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>4072100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Estimates are based on 12610 respondents in NSW.
12 (0.1 per cent) were 'not stated' (Don’t know or Refused) for this indicator in NSW.
The indicator includes those households with who indicated that their home was smoke free. The question used to define the indicator was ‘Which of the following best describes your home situation? My home is smoke free, People occasionally smoke in the house, and People frequently smoke in the house’.

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.
8. HEALTH STATUS

Monitoring the health status of a population helps to detect emerging patterns of illness and disease and provides information to inform policy and planning of health services. This section reports on self-rated health status, asthma, precursors of cardiovascular disease, chemical sensitivity, diabetes, injury, mental health, oral health, and overweight and obesity.

Self-rated health status

Introduction

Self-rated health is a fundamental measure of health status and health outcomes, and is believed to principally reflect physical health problems (acute and chronic conditions and physical functioning) and, to a lesser extent, health behaviours and mental health problems. Longitudinal studies have shown that self-rated health is a strong and independent predictor of subsequent illness and premature death.

A single self-rated health question was asked of respondents aged 16 years and over in the 1997 and 1998 NSW health surveys and the New South Wales Adult Health Survey 2002. The question ‘In general, would you say your health is excellent, very good, good, fair or poor’ used in 1997 and 1998 was modified in 2002 to ‘Overall, how would you rate your health during the past four weeks? Was it excellent, very good, good, fair, poor or very poor’.

Results

Overall, in 2002, 23.1 per cent reported their health as ‘excellent’, 29.3 per cent as ‘very good’, 28.3 per cent as ‘good’, 13.3 per cent as ‘fair’, 4.5 per cent as ‘poor’ and 1.5 per cent as ‘very poor’. Responses of ‘excellent’, ‘very good’ and ‘good’ were combined into a ‘positive’ rating of health (80.7 per cent of the population). There was no significant difference between the proportion of males (81.8 per cent) and females (79.7 per cent) who gave a positive rating of their health.

A significantly greater proportion of people aged 25–34 years (85.3 per cent), and a significantly lower proportion of people aged 55 years and over (68.2 per cent to 76.2 per cent), were likely to rate their health status positively, compared with the overall population.

The proportion of people positively rating their health status did not differ significantly between urban residents (80.6 per cent) and rural residents (81.0 per cent). A significantly greater proportion of females in the Northern Sydney Area Health Service (86.2 per cent) and a significantly lower proportion of females in the Central Coast Area Health Service (72.7 per cent), were likely to rate their health status positively, compared with the overall female population.

A significantly lower proportion of people in the most socioeconomically disadvantaged quintile (76.1 per cent) were likely to rate their health status positively, compared with the overall population.

The proportion of people who rated their health status positively decreased significantly from 1997 (84.9 per cent) to 2002 (80.7 per cent). This significant decrease has occurred in both males (84.9 per cent to 81.8 per cent) and females (85.0 per cent to 79.7 per cent).

Figure 63 shows self-rated health status. Figures 64–65 and Table 21 show the proportion of people who rated their health as excellent, very good, or good, by age and health area.
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FIGURE 63
SELF-RATED HEALTH STATUS, PERSONS AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.

FIGURE 64
EXCELLENT, VERY GOOD, OR GOOD SELF-RATED HEALTH STATUS BY AGE, PERSONS AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.
# TABLE 21
EXCELLENT, VERY GOOD, OR GOOD SELF-RATED HEALTH STATUS BY HEALTH AREA, PERSONS AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Males (%)</th>
<th>LL 95%CI</th>
<th>UL 95%CI</th>
<th>(est. no.)</th>
<th>Females (%)</th>
<th>LL 95%CI</th>
<th>UL 95%CI</th>
<th>(est. no.)</th>
<th>Persons (%)</th>
<th>LL 95%CI</th>
<th>UL 95%CI</th>
<th>(est. no.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Central Sydney</td>
<td>81.6</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>86.3</td>
<td>163500</td>
<td>75.4</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>79.7</td>
<td>154900</td>
<td>78.5</td>
<td>75.3</td>
<td>81.6</td>
<td>318400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Sydney</td>
<td>82.6</td>
<td>77.7</td>
<td>87.5</td>
<td>252400</td>
<td>86.2</td>
<td>82.2</td>
<td>90.2</td>
<td>208000</td>
<td>84.4</td>
<td>81.3</td>
<td>87.6</td>
<td>533000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Sydney</td>
<td>83.7</td>
<td>78.7</td>
<td>88.7</td>
<td>212400</td>
<td>79.4</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>83.8</td>
<td>209800</td>
<td>81.5</td>
<td>78.1</td>
<td>84.8</td>
<td>422200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wentworth</td>
<td>84.6</td>
<td>80.3</td>
<td>88.9</td>
<td>98200</td>
<td>75.2</td>
<td>70.2</td>
<td>80.2</td>
<td>90000</td>
<td>79.8</td>
<td>76.5</td>
<td>83.2</td>
<td>188200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South West Sydney</td>
<td>79.1</td>
<td>73.7</td>
<td>84.5</td>
<td>236700</td>
<td>78.4</td>
<td>73.8</td>
<td>82.9</td>
<td>236300</td>
<td>78.7</td>
<td>75.2</td>
<td>82.3</td>
<td>473000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Coast</td>
<td>81.5</td>
<td>76.2</td>
<td>86.8</td>
<td>88700</td>
<td>72.7</td>
<td>67.5</td>
<td>77.9</td>
<td>85000</td>
<td>76.9</td>
<td>73.2</td>
<td>80.7</td>
<td>173700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hunter</td>
<td>80.7</td>
<td>74.5</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>166400</td>
<td>80.9</td>
<td>76.5</td>
<td>85.2</td>
<td>171700</td>
<td>80.8</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>84.6</td>
<td>338100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illawarra</td>
<td>83.7</td>
<td>79.2</td>
<td>88.3</td>
<td>108700</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>74.8</td>
<td>83.1</td>
<td>106900</td>
<td>81.3</td>
<td>78.2</td>
<td>84.4</td>
<td>215600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South East Sydney</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>74.6</td>
<td>85.5</td>
<td>251000</td>
<td>81.2</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>85.3</td>
<td>257700</td>
<td>80.6</td>
<td>77.2</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>508700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Rivers</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>78.4</td>
<td>87.5</td>
<td>81500</td>
<td>78.3</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>82.6</td>
<td>80400</td>
<td>80.6</td>
<td>77.5</td>
<td>83.7</td>
<td>161900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid North Coast</td>
<td>76.4</td>
<td>71.1</td>
<td>81.6</td>
<td>74200</td>
<td>78.4</td>
<td>73.7</td>
<td>83.2</td>
<td>81100</td>
<td>77.4</td>
<td>73.9</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>155200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New England</td>
<td>87.1</td>
<td>83.1</td>
<td>91.2</td>
<td>55200</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>72.4</td>
<td>81.7</td>
<td>50500</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>78.9</td>
<td>85.1</td>
<td>105700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macquarie</td>
<td>81.7</td>
<td>76.8</td>
<td>86.5</td>
<td>30300</td>
<td>82.8</td>
<td>78.5</td>
<td>87.1</td>
<td>31200</td>
<td>82.2</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>85.4</td>
<td>61500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Western</td>
<td>84.6</td>
<td>80.3</td>
<td>88.8</td>
<td>51100</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>75.8</td>
<td>84.3</td>
<td>50100</td>
<td>82.3</td>
<td>79.2</td>
<td>85.3</td>
<td>101200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Far West</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>77.5</td>
<td>86.5</td>
<td>15300</td>
<td>75.6</td>
<td>70.5</td>
<td>80.6</td>
<td>13500</td>
<td>78.9</td>
<td>75.5</td>
<td>82.3</td>
<td>28800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Murray</td>
<td>85.3</td>
<td>80.8</td>
<td>89.7</td>
<td>80400</td>
<td>82.1</td>
<td>78.2</td>
<td>86.1</td>
<td>80400</td>
<td>83.7</td>
<td>80.7</td>
<td>86.6</td>
<td>160800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern</td>
<td>79.8</td>
<td>74.7</td>
<td>84.9</td>
<td>55700</td>
<td>82.3</td>
<td>78.8</td>
<td>85.9</td>
<td>57900</td>
<td>81.1</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>84.2</td>
<td>113600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>81.6</td>
<td>79.8</td>
<td>83.5</td>
<td>1578100</td>
<td>79.7</td>
<td>78.2</td>
<td>81.2</td>
<td>1592900</td>
<td>80.6</td>
<td>79.4</td>
<td>81.8</td>
<td>3171000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>82.3</td>
<td>80.5</td>
<td>84.1</td>
<td>443700</td>
<td>79.8</td>
<td>78.1</td>
<td>81.4</td>
<td>445000</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>79.8</td>
<td>82.2</td>
<td>888700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSW</td>
<td>81.8</td>
<td>80.3</td>
<td>83.3</td>
<td>2021800</td>
<td>79.7</td>
<td>78.5</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>2037900</td>
<td>80.7</td>
<td>79.7</td>
<td>81.7</td>
<td>4059600</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Estimates are based on 12611 respondents in NSW.

11 (0.09 per cent) were ‘not stated’ (Don’t know or Refused) for this indicator in NSW.

The indicator includes those responding excellent, very good or good to a global self-rated health status question. The question used to define the indicator was ‘Overall, how would you rate your health during the past four weeks? Was it excellent, very good, good, fair, poor or very poor’.

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.
**Asthma**

**Introduction**

Asthma is a chronic inflammatory disorder of the airways, which results in obstruction of airflow, in response to specific triggers. Along with New Zealand and the United Kingdom, Australia has the highest prevalence of asthma in the world, with around one in nine adults, and one in seven children and teenagers, affected. Over the last one to two decades, the self-reported prevalence of asthma in Australia has increased in both children and adults, and in 2001 it was estimated that 11.6 per cent of the Australian population (representing 2.0 million people) had asthma. The reasons for this increasing prevalence are unclear.

The consequences of asthma can include loss of lung function, reduced participation in work and other activities, and premature death. In Australia, in 1996, asthma accounted for 2.6 per cent of total Disability Adjusted Life Years (DALY’s) (2.1 per cent for males and 3.1 per cent for females).

The New South Wales Adult Health Survey 2002 included questions on prevalence and severity of asthma. Respondents were asked the following questions: ‘Have you ever been told by a doctor or at a hospital that you have asthma?’, ‘Have you had symptoms of asthma or taken treatment for asthma in the last 12 months?’, ‘Have you had symptoms of asthma or taken treatment for asthma in the last 4 weeks?’, ‘Have you visited a general practitioner or local doctor for an attack of asthma in the last 4 weeks?’, ‘Have you visited a hospital emergency department for an attack of asthma in the last 4 weeks?’.

**Results**

**A lifetime prevalence of asthma**

Approximately one in five people (19.6 per cent) aged 16 years and over reported that they had ever been told by a doctor or at a hospital that they had asthma. There was no significant difference between males (18.3 per cent) and females (20.9 per cent).

The proportion of people to have ever been diagnosed with asthma was significantly greater in people aged 16–24 years (26.4 per cent), and significantly lower in males aged 45–74 years (13.5 per cent to 15.5 per cent) and people aged 75 years and over (15.5 per cent), than in the overall population.

The proportion of people reporting ever-diagnosed asthma was significantly higher in rural residents (22.0 per cent) than urban residents (19.0 per cent); however, in the Far West Area Health Service the proportion of people reporting ever-diagnosed asthma (26.8 per cent) was significantly higher than in the overall population.

The proportion of people reporting ever-diagnosed asthma did not vary significantly by level of socioeconomic disadvantage.

Self-reported ever-diagnosed asthma has increased significantly from 1997 (16.6 per cent) to 2002 (19.6 per cent). This increase has occurred in both males (14.9 per cent to 18.3 per cent) and females (18.1 per cent to 20.9 per cent).

**Doctor-diagnosed current asthma**

Overall, 10.6 per cent of people aged 16 years and over reported that they had had current doctor-diagnosed asthma. The proportion of females with doctor-diagnosed current asthma (12.0 per cent) was significantly higher than males (9.1 per cent). Of the people who reported having current asthma, 1.3 per cent had visited an emergency department and 14.5 per cent had visited a general practitioner or local doctor for an attack of asthma in the previous four weeks. There was no significant difference in the proportion of males and females who visited the emergency department or their local doctor for an attack of asthma.

The proportion of people reporting current asthma was significantly higher among females aged 16–24 years (16.3 per cent), and significantly lower among males aged 35–54 years (7.0 per cent to 7.6 per cent) and 75 years and over (6.7 per cent) than in the overall population.

Rural areas had a significantly higher proportion of people reporting doctor-diagnosed current asthma (13.1 per cent) than urban areas (9.8 per cent).

The proportion of people with current doctor-diagnosed asthma did not vary significantly by level of socioeconomic disadvantage.

Rates of current doctor-diagnosed asthma did not differ significantly from 1997 (10.3 per cent) to 2002 (10.6 per cent).

Figure 66 shows the proportion of people who had ever been diagnosed with asthma by age. Figures 67–68 and Table 22 show the proportion of people with current asthma by age and health area. Figure 69 shows the proportion of people who have visited their general practitioner or a hospital emergency department for an asthma attack in the last four weeks.
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FIGURE 66
EVER DIAGNOSED WITH ASTHMA BY AGE, PERSONS AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Estimated Number</th>
<th>Males</th>
<th>Estimated Number</th>
<th>Females</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75+</td>
<td>40,200</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>534,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65-74</td>
<td>50,700</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>110,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-64</td>
<td>58,900</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>92,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-54</td>
<td>88,700</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>92,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-44</td>
<td>92,900</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>92,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-34</td>
<td>119,600</td>
<td>24.9</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>119,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16-24</td>
<td>23,500</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>28.4</td>
<td>23,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSW</td>
<td>453,100</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>453,100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.

FIGURE 67
CURRENT ASTHMA BY AGE, PERSONS AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Estimated Number</th>
<th>Males</th>
<th>Estimated Number</th>
<th>Females</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75+</td>
<td>23,000</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>306,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65-74</td>
<td>31,900</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>63,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-64</td>
<td>40,500</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>48,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-54</td>
<td>54,300</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>43,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-44</td>
<td>48,900</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>48,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-34</td>
<td>43,800</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>43,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16-24</td>
<td>63,500</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>63,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSW</td>
<td>306,000</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>306,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.
### FIGURE 68

**CURRENT ASTHMA BY HEALTH AREA, PERSONS AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002**

![Figure showing current asthma by health area for males and females.](image)

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.

### TABLE 22

**CURRENT ASTHMA BY HEALTH AREA, PERSONS AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Lower Limit (LL)</th>
<th>Upper Limit (UL)</th>
<th>Persons (est. no.)</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Lower Limit (LL)</th>
<th>Upper Limit (UL)</th>
<th>Persons (est. no.)</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Lower Limit (LL)</th>
<th>Upper Limit (UL)</th>
<th>Persons (est. no.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Central Sydney</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>17300</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>20000</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>37300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Sydney</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>20400</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>41600</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>62000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Sydney</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>26300</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>30400</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>56700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wentworth</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>12800</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>14800</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>27600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South West Sydney</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>23300</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>27400</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>50700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Coast</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>8100</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>14800</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>22900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hunter</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>17700</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>29500</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>47100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illawarra</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>8100</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>16600</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>24800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South East Sydney</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>28300</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>29000</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>57300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Rivers</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>16200</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>13300</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>29500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid North Coast</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>6500</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>16400</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>22900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New England</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>7400</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>11600</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>19000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macquarie</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>3500</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4400</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>7900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Western</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>6300</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>10300</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>16600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Far West</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>3200</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>5100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Murray</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>14100</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>12300</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>26400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>6200</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>10500</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>16800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>162400</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>224000</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>386400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>62100</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>82000</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>144100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSW</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>224500</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>306000</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>530500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Estimates are based on 12605 respondents in NSW. 17 (0.13 per cent) were ‘not stated’ (Don’t know or Refused) for this indicator in NSW. The indicator includes those respondents who had symptoms of asthma or had taken treatment for asthma in the last 12 months. The questions used to define the indicator were ‘Have you ever been told by a doctor or at a hospital that you have asthma?’ and ‘Have you had symptoms of asthma or taken treatment for asthma in the last 12 months?’. Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.
Cardiovascular disease precursors

Introduction

Cardiovascular disease comprises all diseases of the heart and blood vessels, including ischaemic (coronary) heart disease, stroke, heart failure, and peripheral vascular disease. Of these, ischaemic heart disease and stroke are the major forms of cardiovascular disease causing death and illness in NSW.

High blood pressure (hypertension) is a precursor for ischaemic heart disease, stroke, congestive heart failure, and renal insufficiency. The risk of disease increases as the level of blood pressure increases. High blood pressure has been estimated to cause more than five per cent of the total burden of disease among Australians.1

Similarly, high blood cholesterol is also a precursor for coronary heart disease and for some types of stroke. If levels in the blood are too high (5.5 mmol/L or above), this can lead to the artery clogging process known as atherosclerosis and cause heart attacks, angina, or stroke. High blood cholesterol has been estimated to cause nearly three per cent of the total burden of disease of Australians.1

The New South Wales Adult Health Survey 2002 included questions on both high blood pressure and high cholesterol. Respondents were asked the following questions: ‘When did you last have your blood pressure measured?’, ‘Have you ever been told by a doctor or at a hospital that you have high blood pressure sometimes called hypertension?’, ‘What are you doing now to manage your high blood pressure or hypertension?’, ‘When did you last have your cholesterol measured?’, ‘Have you ever been told by a doctor or at a hospital that you have high cholesterol?’, ‘What are you doing now to manage your high cholesterol?’.

Results

Most recent blood pressure measurement

Overall, 97 per cent of people aged 16 years and over had had their blood pressure measured at some time (10.3 per cent more than two years ago and 86.7 per cent within the last two years). Females (90.8 per cent) were significantly more likely to report having had their blood pressure measured in the last two years than males (82.4 per cent).

Among males, a significantly lower proportion of those aged 16–34 years (60.1 per cent to 75.1 per cent) and a significantly greater proportion of those aged 45 years and over (88.2 per cent to 98.9 per cent) were likely to have had their blood pressure measured in the last two years, compared to the overall male population. Among females, a significantly lower proportion of those aged 16–24 years (80.5 per cent) and 35–44 years (85.1 per cent) and a significantly greater proportion of those aged 45 years and over (94.9 per cent to 99.0 per cent) were likely to have had their blood pressure checked in the last two years, compared to the overall female population.

The proportion of rural residents (86.3 per cent) and urban residents (86.9 per cent) who reported having their blood pressure measured in the last two years did not vary
A significantly lower proportion of women in the South West Sydney (84.6 per cent) and Macquarie (85.2 per cent) Area Health Services and a significantly greater proportion of women in the Northern Sydney Area Health Service (95.5 per cent) were likely to have had their blood pressure measured in the last two years, compared to the overall female population.

A significantly greater proportion of females in the least socioeconomically disadvantaged quintile (94.9 per cent) reported having their blood pressure measured in the last two years, compared to the overall female population. The proportion of males who reported having their blood pressure measured in the last two years did not vary significantly by level of socioeconomic disadvantage.

There was no difference in the proportion of people who have had their blood pressure checked in the last two years, from 1997 (87.3 per cent) to 2002 (86.7 per cent).

**Doctor-diagnosed high blood pressure**

To measure levels of doctor-diagnosed high blood pressure, respondents who reported that they had had their blood pressure measured at some time were asked if they have ever been told by a doctor or hospital that they have high blood pressure or hypertension. Approximately one in five (19.9 per cent) people who had their blood pressure measured reported that they had doctor-diagnosed high blood pressure. There was no significant difference between male (20.9 per cent) and female (19.0 per cent) rates of doctor-diagnosed high blood pressure. These figures excluded women reporting high blood pressure in pregnancy (4.9 per cent), and males (4.1 per cent) and females (3.3 per cent) reporting that their high blood pressure was only temporarily elevated.

A significantly lower proportion of people aged 16–44 years (2.5 per cent to 11.6 per cent) and a significantly greater proportion of people aged 55 years and over (37.0 per cent to 47.8 per cent) were likely to report doctor-diagnosed high blood pressure compared to the overall population.

A significantly higher proportion of rural residents (22.2 per cent) reported doctor-diagnosed high blood pressure than urban residents (19.3 per cent). A significantly higher proportion of residents in the Central Coast Area Health Service (24.8 per cent) reported doctor-diagnosed high blood pressure, compared to the overall urban population. There was no significant difference within rural health areas.

Doctor-diagnosed high blood pressure was reported by a significantly higher proportion of people from the most socioeconomically disadvantaged quintile (24.2 per cent), and a significantly lower proportion of people from the least socioeconomically disadvantaged quintile (16.1 per cent), compared to the overall population.

There was a significant increase in the proportion of respondents reporting having been told by a doctor that they had high blood pressure between 1997 (16.3 per cent) and 2002 (19.9 per cent). This increase occurred in both males (16.7 per cent to 20.9 per cent) and females (16.1 per cent to 19.0 per cent).

Of those who reported doctor-diagnosed high blood pressure, 8.7 per cent were not doing anything to manage their high blood pressure. The remainder were taking medication (72.8 per cent), following a diet (23.2 per cent), exercising most days (20.7 per cent), and/or trying to lose weight (5.2 per cent).

**Most recent cholesterol measurement**

Overall, 67.6 per cent of people aged 16 years and over had had their cholesterol measured at some time (14.1 per cent more than two years ago and 53.5 per cent within the last two years). There was no significant difference in the proportion of females (52.4 per cent) and males (54.6 per cent) who had had their cholesterol measured within the last two years. A significantly lower proportion of people aged 16–34 years (17.2 per cent to 32.0 per cent), and a significantly greater proportion of people aged 45 years and over (67.8 per cent to 77.2 per cent) were likely to have had their cholesterol checked in the last two years, compared to the overall population.

A significantly lower proportion of rural residents (49.8 per cent) were likely to have had their cholesterol checked in the last two years than urban residents (54.5 per cent). There was no significant difference within urban or rural health areas.

The proportion of people having cholesterol checked in the last two years did not vary by level of socioeconomic disadvantage.

There was a significant increase in the proportion of people having their cholesterol checked in the last two years between 1997 (47.2 per cent) and 2002 (53.5 per cent).

**Doctor-diagnosed high cholesterol**

To measure levels of doctor-diagnosed high cholesterol, respondents who reported that they had had their cholesterol measured at some time were then asked if they had ever been told by a doctor or hospital that they had high cholesterol. Almost a quarter (24.9 per cent) of the figures excluded women reporting high cholesterol in pregnancy (4.9 per cent), and males (4.1 per cent) and females (24.4 per cent) reporting high cholesterol. There was no significant difference in the proportion of males (25.3 per cent) and females (24.4 per cent) reporting high cholesterol. A significantly lower proportion of people aged 16–44 years (3.4 per cent to 18.3 per cent) and a significantly greater proportion of people aged 55 years and over (32.9 per cent to 38.7 per cent) were likely to have doctor-diagnosed high cholesterol, compared to the overall population.

The proportion of people reporting doctor-diagnosed high cholesterol did not differ significantly between urban residents (25.1 per cent) and rural residents (23.9 per cent). A significantly lower proportion of females in the Northern Sydney Area Health Service (24.8 per cent) reported that they had doctor-
diagnosed high cholesterol compared with the overall female population.

The proportion of people reporting doctor-diagnosed high cholesterol did not differ significantly by socioeconomic disadvantage.

There was no significant increase in the proportion of people reporting doctor-diagnosed high cholesterol between 1997 (24.3 per cent) and 2002 (24.9 per cent).

Of those who reported doctor-diagnosed high cholesterol, 11.0 per cent were not doing anything to manage their high cholesterol, 58.6 per cent were managing their cholesterol levels by following a special diet, 37.6 per cent were taking medication, 18.9 per cent were exercising most days, and 4.1 per cent were trying to lose weight.

Figure 70 shows the proportion of people who had had their blood pressure measured within the last two years. Figures 71–72 and Table 23 show the proportion of people who had been told by a doctor that they have high blood pressure, by socioeconomic disadvantage and health area. Figure 73 shows the proportion of people who had had their cholesterol measured in the last two years, by age. Figure 74 shows the proportion of people who had been told by doctor that they have high cholesterol, by age.
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FIGURE 71
HIGH BLOOD PRESSURE BY SOCIOECONOMIC DISADVANTAGE SCORE, PERSONS WHO HAVE HAD THEIR BLOOD PRESSURE MEASURED IN THE LAST 2 YEARS, AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Socioeconomic Disadvantage Score</th>
<th>Males</th>
<th>Females</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st Quintile (least disadvantaged)</td>
<td>16.8%</td>
<td>15.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd Quintile</td>
<td>20.2%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd Quintile</td>
<td>22.7%</td>
<td>19.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th Quintile</td>
<td>18.7%</td>
<td>18.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5th Quintile (most disadvantaged)</td>
<td>25.5%</td>
<td>23.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Estimated Number:
- NSW: 495,000
- 1st Quintile: 25,200
- 2nd Quintile: 49,600
- 3rd Quintile: 118,100
- 4th Quintile: 117,800
- 5th Quintile: 118,300

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.

FIGURE 72
HIGH BLOOD PRESSURE BY HEALTH AREA, PERSONS WHO HAVE HAD THEIR BLOOD PRESSURE MEASURED IN THE LAST 2 YEARS, AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.
**TABLE 23**

**HIGH BLOOD PRESSURE BY HEALTH AREA, PERSONS AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER WHO HAVE HAD THEIR BLOOD PRESSURE MEASURED IN THE LAST 2 YEARS, NSW, 2002**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Males (%)</th>
<th>Males 95% CI</th>
<th>Males (est. no.)</th>
<th>Females (%)</th>
<th>Females 95% CI</th>
<th>Females (est. no.)</th>
<th>Persons (%)</th>
<th>Persons 95% CI</th>
<th>Persons (est. no.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Central Sydney</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>14.8-24.8</td>
<td>38000</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>13.9-21.4</td>
<td>35900</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>15.6-21.8</td>
<td>73900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Sydney</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>13.8-22.8</td>
<td>54300</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>12.1-19.6</td>
<td>50900</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>14.1-20.0</td>
<td>105200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Sydney</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>14.5-24.3</td>
<td>47700</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>14.8-23.3</td>
<td>49100</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>16-22.5</td>
<td>96800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South West Sydney</td>
<td>22.8</td>
<td>17.1-28.4</td>
<td>63300</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>13.8-22.2</td>
<td>51500</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>16.8-23.9</td>
<td>114700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Coast</td>
<td>25.8</td>
<td>19.6-31.9</td>
<td>27400</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>19.5-28.4</td>
<td>27800</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>21.1-28.6</td>
<td>55300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hunter</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>16.8-27.3</td>
<td>44300</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>17.2-25.4</td>
<td>44600</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>18.3-25.5</td>
<td>88900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illawarra</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>17.8-29.5</td>
<td>29400</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>16.8-24.3</td>
<td>27200</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>18.6-25.5</td>
<td>56500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South East Sydney</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>13-22.4</td>
<td>51800</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>11.9-18.1</td>
<td>46300</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>13.5-19.1</td>
<td>98100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Rivers</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>18.7-29.5</td>
<td>22700</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>14.3-21.9</td>
<td>18400</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>17.8-24.2</td>
<td>41100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid North Coast</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>16.7-26.8</td>
<td>20600</td>
<td>25.1</td>
<td>20.4-29.7</td>
<td>25600</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>20.1-26.9</td>
<td>46200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New England</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>14.4-24.1</td>
<td>11900</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>14.2-21.5</td>
<td>11500</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>15.5-21.6</td>
<td>23400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macquarie</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>12.3-21.1</td>
<td>6000</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>16.6-24.5</td>
<td>7600</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>15.7-21.6</td>
<td>13600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Western</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>21.3-32.1</td>
<td>15900</td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td>18.4-26.1</td>
<td>13600</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>21.2-27.8</td>
<td>29500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Far West</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>20.2-31.1</td>
<td>4700</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>20.2-29.8</td>
<td>4400</td>
<td>25.3</td>
<td>21.7-28.9</td>
<td>9100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Murray</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>16.1-27.8</td>
<td>19700</td>
<td>27.1</td>
<td>22.5-31.8</td>
<td>25800</td>
<td>24.6</td>
<td>20.9-28.3</td>
<td>45500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>15.9-25</td>
<td>13500</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>18-25.5</td>
<td>15100</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>18.2-24</td>
<td>28600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>18.8-22.3</td>
<td>380100</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>16.7-19.5</td>
<td>354100</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>18.2-20.4</td>
<td>734200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>20.1-24.1</td>
<td>114900</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>20.6-23.9</td>
<td>122000</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>20.9-23.5</td>
<td>236900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSW</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>19.4-22.4</td>
<td>495000</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>17.9-20.2</td>
<td>476000</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>19-20.9</td>
<td>971100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Estimates are based on 12593 respondents in NSW. 29 (0.23 per cent) were ‘not stated’ (Don’t know or Refused) for this indicator in NSW. The indicator includes those that have been told by a doctor or at a hospital that they have high blood pressure or hypertension, except during pregnancy and only temporarily. The question used to define the indicator was ‘Have you ever been told by a doctor or at a hospital that you have high blood pressure sometimes called hypertension?’.

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.

**FIGURE 73**

**CHOLESTEROL MEASURED WITHIN LAST 2 YEARS BY AGE, PERSONS AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER WHO HAVE HAD THEIR CHOLESTEROL MEASURED IN THE LAST 2 YEARS, NSW, 2002**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Estimated Number</th>
<th>Males</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Females</th>
<th>Estimated Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>102,500</td>
<td>82.1</td>
<td>75+</td>
<td>73.9</td>
<td>139,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>183,000</td>
<td>86.8</td>
<td>65-74</td>
<td>82.0</td>
<td>185,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>243,400</td>
<td>80.2</td>
<td>55-64</td>
<td>79.3</td>
<td>235,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>291,200</td>
<td>66.4</td>
<td>45-54</td>
<td>69.3</td>
<td>296,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>254,800</td>
<td>52.8</td>
<td>35-44</td>
<td>45.5</td>
<td>217,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>155,700</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>25-34</td>
<td>29.2</td>
<td>134,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55,200</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>16-24</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>65,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,285,800</td>
<td>54.7</td>
<td>NSW</td>
<td>52.4</td>
<td>1,274,200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.
Chemical sensitivity

Introduction

Using a set of six consensus diagnostic criteria, multiple chemical sensitivity (MCS) is most usually defined as a chronic condition, with symptoms that recur in response to low levels of exposure to multiple unrelated chemicals and improve or resolve when those unrelated chemicals are removed. Symptoms occur in multiple organ systems throughout the body.¹

The prevalence of MCS in Australia is unknown, but studies from overseas estimate that 26 per cent of adults have been diagnosed with MCS.²,³,⁴,⁵ On the basis of these data, it is reasonable to suggest that the burden of MCS-related disease in Australia could be substantial.

The New South Wales Adult Health Survey 2002 included questions on diagnosed chemical sensitivity. Respondents were asked: ‘Do certain chemical odours or smells regularly make you unwell?’, and ‘Have you ever been diagnosed with a chemical sensitivity?’.

Results

Sensitivity to chemical odours

In NSW, in 2002, just under a quarter of respondents (24.6 per cent) reported sensitivity to chemical odours. Females (28.9 per cent) were significantly more likely to report sensitivity to chemical odours than males (20.1 per cent). A significantly lower proportion of females aged 75 years and over (16.0 per cent) were likely to report sensitivity to chemical odours, compared to the overall female population. Among males, a significantly lower proportion of those aged 65 years and over (11.5 per cent to 14.4 per cent) were likely to report sensitivity to chemical odours, compared to the overall male population.

There was no significant difference in the proportion reporting sensitivity to chemical odours, based on level of socioeconomic disadvantage.

Diagnosed chemical sensitivity

Only 2.9 per cent of respondents in NSW reported having been diagnosed with chemical sensitivity. There was no significant difference between females (3.4 per cent) and males (2.4 per cent).

The proportion of people reporting that they had been diagnosed with chemical sensitivity was significantly lower among people aged 16–24 years (1.5 per cent), compared to the overall population.
There was no significant difference in the proportion of respondents reporting diagnosed chemical sensitivity between rural areas (2.2 per cent) and urban areas (3.1 per cent). A significantly lower proportion of residents in the Mid Western Area Health Service (1.6 per cent) and males in the Southern Area Health Service (1.0 per cent) were likely to have been diagnosed with chemical sensitivity, compared to the overall population.

There was no significant variation in the proportion of people reporting diagnosed chemical sensitivity, based on level of socioeconomic disadvantage.
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Diabetes

Introduction

Diabetes mellitus is a very common disease, characterised by disordered glucose and lipid metabolism. Diabetes affects a person’s health in two ways: by direct metabolic complications, which can be immediately life threatening if not treated promptly; and by long-term complications involving the eyes, kidneys, nerves, and major blood vessels including those in the heart.

There are three main forms of diabetes: Type 1, or insulin dependent diabetes mellitus (IDDM), is characterised by a complete deficiency of insulin and is present in 10–15 per cent of people with diabetes; Type 2, or non-insulin dependent diabetes mellitus (NIDDM), is the most common form of diabetes (approximately 85 per cent of people with diabetes), affecting mainly people aged 45 years and over but found increasingly in younger people; and gestational diabetes, which occurs during pregnancy in less than nine per cent of pregnancies among women not previously known to have diabetes.¹

The management of diabetes depends on careful control of glucose levels, blood lipid levels (especially cholesterol levels), blood pressure, and regular screening for complications.²

Australia-wide, it is estimated that there are over 600,000 people with diabetes and this prevalence is increasing. It is estimated that there is an undiagnosed case of Type 2 diabetes for every diagnosis, making the total estimated cases 1.2 million.³ Diabetes is the main cause of around two per cent of all deaths and is a contributing cause in around eight per cent of all deaths.³

The New South Wales Adult Health Survey 2002 included questions on prevalence, type, and management of diabetes. Respondents were asked the following questions: ‘Have you every been told by a doctor or at a hospital that you have diabetes?’, ‘Have you ever been told by a doctor or at a hospital that you have high sugar levels in your blood or urine?’ ‘What type of diabetes were you told you had?’, ‘How old were you when you were first told you had diabetes or high blood sugar?’ ‘What are you doing now to manage your diabetes or high blood sugar?’. If female, respondents were also asked ‘Were you pregnant when you were first told you had diabetes or high blood sugar?’ and ‘Have you ever had diabetes or high blood sugar apart from when you were pregnant?’.

Results

Prevalence of diabetes

In 2002, 6.1 per cent of people aged 16 years and over reported that a doctor had ever told them that they had diabetes. There was no significant difference between the proportion of males (6.6 per cent) and females (5.7 per cent) reporting doctor-diagnosed diabetes.

The prevalence of diabetes increased with age. A significantly lower proportion of people aged 16–44 years (1.6 per cent to 2.8 per cent) and a significantly greater proportion of people aged 55 years and over (11.3 per cent to 14.4 per cent) reported doctor-diagnosed diabetes, compared with the overall population.

There was little geographic variation in the proportion of people with doctor-diagnosed diabetes, with no significant difference between rural areas (7.2 per cent) and urban areas (5.8 per cent). A significantly greater proportion of residents from the Far West Area Health Service (9.0 per cent), and a significantly lower proportion of residents from the Central Sydney Area Health Service (3.8 per cent) reported doctor-diagnosed diabetes, compared with the overall population. The proportion of males with doctor diagnosed diabetes was significantly higher in the Greater Murray Area Health Service (12.7 per cent) than in the overall male population.

A significantly greater proportion of people in the most socioeconomically disadvantaged quintile (8.1 per cent) reported doctor-diagnosed diabetes, compared with the overall population.

The reported prevalence of doctor-diagnosed diabetes has increased significantly from 1997 (4.7 per cent) to 2002 (6.1 per cent). This increase occurred in both males (5.2
per cent to 6.6 per cent) and females (4.3 per cent to 5.7 per cent).

Of those who reported doctor-diagnosed diabetes, 61.9 per cent reported following a special diet, 37.7 per cent reported taking medication, 17.9 per cent reported exercising most days, 10.5 per cent reported having insulin injections, 3.3 per cent reported losing weight, and 6.3 per cent reported not doing anything.

Figures 75–76 show the proportion of people who have been diagnosed with diabetes or high blood sugar, by age and socioeconomic disadvantage.

**FIGURE 75**

_Diabetes or High Blood Sugar by Age, Persons Aged 16 Years and Over, NSW, 2002_

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Estimated Number</th>
<th>Males</th>
<th></th>
<th>Females</th>
<th>Estimated Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>29,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34,400</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>28,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40,700</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>28,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37,400</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>26,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15,200</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>12,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7,300</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>8,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7,200</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>11,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>162,200</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>145,600</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.
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Work-related injury

Introduction

Work-related injuries are common in NSW. In 1999–2000 there were 10,608 hospitalisations from work-related injuries, with 85 per cent occurring in men.¹ The most common principal diagnoses among hospitalisations for work-related injuries were open wounds, fractures, muscular or tendon injuries of the hand or wrist, and back pain.²

In the New South Wales Adult Health Survey 2002, respondents aged 16–65 years were asked ‘Have you been employed in the last 12 months?’ and ‘In the last 12 months, have you suffered any injury or illness related to work?’. Respondents who answered ‘Yes’ to both questions were then asked the following questions: ‘What type of injury or illness was this?’, ‘Did you receive medical treatment or professional health care for this injury or illness?’, ‘What medical treatment did you receive for this injury or illness?’, ‘How many days off work did you take for this injury or illness?’, ‘Did you receive any workers’ compensation for this injury or illness?’.

Results

A significantly greater proportion of males (17.9 per cent) reported sustaining an injury or illness related to work in the last 12 months than females (12.8 per cent). The proportion of respondent’s reporting work-related injury did not vary significantly among age groups; however, a significantly lower proportion of females aged 65 years and over (2.3 per cent) reported a work-related injury, compared with the overall female population.

There was no significant difference in the proportion of people reporting work-related injury between rural health areas (17.2 per cent) and urban health areas (15.2 per cent). A significantly lower proportion of people in the Northern Sydney Area Health Service (10.9 per cent) and a significantly greater proportion of people in the Wentworth Area Health Service (22.5 per cent) reported a work-related injury, compared with the overall population.

There was no significant variation in the proportion of people reporting work-related injury or illness, based on socioeconomic disadvantage.

Of those people who had suffered a work-related injury or illness, 68.1 per cent received medical treatment. There was no significant difference in the proportion of males (66.8 per cent) and females (70.3 per cent) who received medical treatment for a work-related injury.

Of those people who did receive treatment for a work-related injury, 60.3 per cent had visited a general practitioner, 29.1 per cent attended physiotherapy, 10.7 per cent attended a hospital outpatient department, 9.5 per cent attended a chiropractor or osteopath or acupuncturist, 9.2 per cent were admitted to hospital, and 9.1 per cent attended a specialist.
The types of injuries sustained most often were sprains, strains and dislocations (38.6 per cent) followed by open wounds without amputation (16.9 per cent). These were the most common injuries, in both males and females. The next most common injuries were contusions or crush injuries (8.6 per cent) in males, and muscle or tendon or soft tissue injury (8.7 per cent) and mental disorders (8.7 per cent) in females.

The industry of employment at the time of sustaining the work-related injury varied by sex. Among males, the greatest proportion worked in mining and construction (20.5 per cent), followed by manufacturing (18 per cent), and/or wholesale or retail trade (11.6 per cent). Among females, the greatest proportion worked in health and community services (24.5 per cent), followed by wholesale or retail trade (17 per cent), and communication and business (15.1 per cent).

Of those people who had suffered a work-related injury or illness, 51.1 per cent were not absent from work as a result of the injury or illness, 24.7 per cent were absent from work for 1–4 days, 12.7 per cent were absent from work for 5–30 days, and 11.5 per cent were absent from work for 30 or more days, as a result of the injury or illness.

Of those people who had suffered a work-related injury or illness, only 24.8 per cent received workers’ compensation, and 2.1 per cent had a workers’ compensation claim still pending.

Figures 77–79 and Table 26 show the proportion of people who reported a work-related injury over the last 12 months, by age, socioeconomic disadvantage, and health area. Figure 80 shows the proportion making a workers’ compensation claim for a work-related injury in the last 12 months, and Figure 81 shows the type of work-related injuries sustained.

References
FIGURE 78
WORK-RELATED INJURY IN LAST 12 MONTHS BY SOCIOECONOMIC DISADVANTAGE SCORE, PERSONS WHO WORKED IN THE PREVIOUS 12 MONTHS, AGED 16–65 YEARS, NSW, 2002

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quintile</th>
<th>Males</th>
<th>Females</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5th Quintile</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>14.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th Quintile</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>13.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd Quintile</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>14.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd Quintile</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>11.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st Quintile</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>10.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSW</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>12.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.

FIGURE 79
WORK-RELATED INJURY IN LAST 12 MONTHS BY HEALTH AREA, PERSONS WHO WORKED IN THE PREVIOUS 12 MONTHS, AGED 16–65 YEARS, NSW, 2002

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.
### TABLE 24

**WORK-RELATED INJURY IN LAST 12 MONTHS BY HEALTH AREA, PERSONS WHO WORKED IN THE PREVIOUS 12 MONTHS, AGED 16–65 YEARS, NSW, 2002**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Males %</th>
<th>LL 95%CI</th>
<th>UL 95%CI</th>
<th>(est. no.)</th>
<th>Females %</th>
<th>LL 95%CI</th>
<th>UL 95%CI</th>
<th>(est. no.)</th>
<th>Persons %</th>
<th>LL 95%CI</th>
<th>UL 95%CI</th>
<th>(est. no.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Central Sydney</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>25.9</td>
<td>29000</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>14200</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>43200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Sydney</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>24400</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>23800</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>48300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Sydney</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>27200</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>22700</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>49900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wentworth</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>31.2</td>
<td>22200</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>14200</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>36400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South West Sydney</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td>45300</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>16200</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>61500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Coast</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>15000</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>7400</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>22400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hunter</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>28.3</td>
<td>29300</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>25.8</td>
<td>18000</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>25.3</td>
<td>47300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illawarra</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>16900</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>25.1</td>
<td>12500</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>29400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South East Sydney</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>36400</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>18300</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>54700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Rivers</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>11900</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>8400</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>20300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid North Coast</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>7300</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>4200</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>11500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New England</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>8800</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>4100</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>12900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macquarie</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>29.1</td>
<td>6000</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>3200</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>9200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Western</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>31.4</td>
<td>10200</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>4600</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>25.1</td>
<td>14800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Far West</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>31.6</td>
<td>2900</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>4100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Murray</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>15700</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>6100</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>21800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>31.2</td>
<td>10400</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>4900</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>15300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>245700</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>147400</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>393100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>73100</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>36700</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>109800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSW</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>318800</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>184100</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>502900</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Estimates are based on 6459 respondents in NSW. 1 (0.02 per cent) were ‘not stated’ (Don’t know or Refused) for this indicator in NSW. The indicator includes those who had a work related injury in the last 12 months. The question used to define the indicator was ‘In the last 12 months have you suffered any injury or illness related to work?’.

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.

### FIGURE 80

**WORKERS’ COMPENSATION FOR WORK-RELATED INJURY IN LAST 12 MONTHS, PERSONS WITH A WORK-RELATED INJURY, AGED 16–65 YEARS, NSW, 2002**

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.
Mental health

Introduction

Psychological distress has a major effect on the ability of people to work, study, and manage their day-to-day activities. Mental health disorders account for nearly 30 per cent of the non-fatal burden of disease in Australia.\(^1\) Affective disorders (including depression) are the most common, followed by substance use and anxiety disorders.\(^2\) Each year, approximately 18 per cent of Australian adults experience mental illness, and 38 per cent of these people use a health service for mental health-related problems.\(^3\)

The Kessler 10 (or K10) measure was included in the New South Wales Adult Health Survey 2002 as a measure of psychological distress.\(^4\) The K10 is a 10-item questionnaire intended to yield a global measure of ‘psychological distress’, based on questions about the level of anxiety and depressive symptoms in the most recent four-week period.\(^4\)

The resulting K10 score is then classified into four categories: ‘low psychological distress’ when the K10 score is 10 to 15; ‘moderate psychological distress’ when the K10 score is 16 to 21; ‘high psychological distress’ when the K10 score is 22 to 29; and ‘very high psychological distress’ when the K10 score is 30 or higher.

In the New South Wales Adult Health Survey 2002 respondents were asked the following K10 questions: ‘In the past four weeks, about how often did you feel tired out for no good reason?’, ‘In the past four weeks, about how often did you feel nervous?’, ‘In the past four weeks, about how often did you feel so nervous that nothing could calm you down?’, ‘In the past four weeks, about how often did you feel restless or fidgety?’, ‘In the past four weeks, about how often did you feel depressed?’, ‘In the past four weeks, about how often did you feel everything was an effort?’, ‘In the past four weeks, about how often did you feel hopeless?’, ‘In the past four weeks, about how often did you feel restless or fidgety?’, ‘In the past four weeks, about how often did you feel so sad that nothing could cheer you up?’, ‘In the past four weeks, about how often did you feel worthless?’.

Any respondents aged 16–65 years who scored above 15 points, were also asked the following questions: ‘In the last four weeks, how many days were you totally unable to work, study, or manage your day to day activities because of these feelings?’, ‘In the last four weeks, about how often did you feel restless or fidgety?’, ‘In the last four weeks, about how often did you feel everything was an effort?’, ‘In the last four weeks, about how often did you feel hopeless?’, ‘In the last four weeks, about how often did you feel everything was an effort?’, ‘In the last four weeks, about how often did you feel hopeful?’, ‘In the last four weeks, about how often did you feel so sad that nothing could cheer you up?’, ‘In the last four weeks, about how often did you feel worthless?’.

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.
last four weeks, how often have physical health problems been the main cause of these feelings?”.

**Results**

Overall, in 2002, 63.0 per cent of people were classified as having ‘low’ levels of psychological distress, 24.8 per cent as having ‘moderate’ levels of psychological distress, 8.9 per cent as having ‘high’ levels of psychological distress, and 3.3 per cent as having ‘very high’ levels of psychological distress. Just over one in 10 (12.2 per cent) respondents reported ‘high or very high’ levels of psychological distress. A significantly greater proportion of females (14.0 per cent) than males (10.5 per cent) were likely to report high or very high levels of psychological distress.

A significantly greater proportion of females aged 16–24 years (20.3 per cent) and a significantly lower proportion of females aged 65 years and over (8.7 per cent to 9.1 per cent) were likely to report high or very high levels of psychological distress, compared with the overall female population. There was no significant variation among males, based on age.

The proportion of people reporting high or very high levels of psychological distress did not vary significantly between urban areas (12.4 per cent) and rural areas (11.8 per cent); however, a significantly lower proportion of residents in the Northern Sydney Area Health Service (7.9 per cent) reported high or very levels of psychological distress, compared with the overall population.

A significantly higher proportion of people in the most socioeconomically disadvantaged quintile (15.3 per cent) reported high or very high levels of psychological distress, compared with the overall population. Conversely, a significantly lower proportion in the least socioeconomically disadvantaged quintile (7.8 per cent) reported high or very high levels of psychological distress, compared with the overall population.

Reported rates of high and very high psychological distress have risen significantly from 1998 (10.5 per cent) to 2002 (12.2 per cent).

Among the people aged 16–65 years who reported moderate, high, or very high levels of psychological distress (scored over 15 on the K10) the average number of days that they were totally unable to work, study, or manage their day-to-day activities because of their psychological distress was 3.2 days (3.5 days for males and 3.0 days for females). These respondents reported that they had to cut down on what they did because of their psychological distress on an average of 3.4 days (3.0 days for males and 3.7 days for females) over the last four weeks. Just over three-quarters (77.3 per cent) of the people who had moderate, high, or very high psychological distress said that the problems they had were not mainly due to physical problems. The people who had moderate, high, or very high psychological distress saw a doctor or other health professional about their psychological distress on average 0.6 times (0.8 times for males and 0.5 times for females) in the past four weeks.

Figure 82 shows the proportion of people in each K10 category. Figures 83–85 and Table 25 show the proportion of people who reported high or very high levels of psychological distress, by age, socioeconomic disadvantage, and health area. Figure 86 shows the proportion of people who say their psychological distress was due to physical problem all, most, some, a little, or none of the time. Table 26 shows the effect of psychological distress on daily activities.
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FIGURE 82
PSYCHOLOGICAL DISTRESS (KESSLER 10) CATEGORIES, PERSONS AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.

FIGURE 83
HIGH AND VERY HIGH PSYCHOLOGICAL DISTRESS BY AGE, PERSONS AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.
TABLE 25
HIGH AND VERY HIGH PSYCHOLOGICAL DISTRESS BY HEALTH AREA, PERSONS AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Males</th>
<th>Females</th>
<th>Persons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>%</td>
<td>LL</td>
<td>UL (est. no.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>95%CI</td>
<td>95%CI</td>
<td>95%CI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64,500</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>24300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65,400</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>19900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57,800</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>38300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51,500</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>9100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17,500</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>7900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>256,700</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Estimates are based on 12528 respondents in NSW.
94 (0.75 per cent) were ‘not stated’ (Don’t know or Refused) for this indicator in NSW.

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.
TABLE 26

EFFECT OF PSYCHOLOGICAL DISTRESS ON DAILY ACTIVITIES IN PEOPLE WITH MODERATE, HIGH, OR VERY HIGH PSYCHOLOGICAL DISTRESS, AGED 16–64 YEARS, NSW, 2002

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effect</th>
<th>males</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>females</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>persons</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Days unable to manage daily activities</td>
<td>3.54</td>
<td>(2.72–4.37)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>(2.41–3.61)</td>
<td>3.24</td>
<td>(2.75–3.74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Days cut down on daily activities</td>
<td>3.04</td>
<td>(2.45–3.63)</td>
<td>3.68</td>
<td>(3.14–4.24)</td>
<td>3.41</td>
<td>(3.00–3.81)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Times saw a health professional</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>(0.54–0.96)</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>(0.42–0.60)</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>(0.51–0.72)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Oral health

Introduction

Dental caries is the most prevalent health problem, and periodontal diseases are the fifth most prevalent health problem, in Australia. About 90 per cent of all tooth loss can be attributed to these conditions, and because these conditions are preventable most of this tooth loss can be avoided.1 Factors such as exposure to fluoride, change in diet, reduced sugar consumption, and changes in disease management, have improved oral health significantly. Although Australians enjoy a relatively high standard of oral health, this is not distributed equally among different age and social groups.

Regular visits to a dental care professional (that is, at least once every two years) have a positive effect on dental health. Those who visit a dental care professional regularly have significantly less severity and prevalence, and suffer fewer social and psychological effects, of dental health problems.2 There is variation in the frequency of dental visits across the Australian population, and people who have a longer period of time between visits are more likely to visit a dentist because they have a problem rather than for a check up. Patterns of access for dental visits are uneven across the Australian population, with some socially-disadvantaged groups in the community, including health card holders, migrant groups, and indigenous populations experiencing problems with access to oral health services.1

In the New South Wales Adult Health Survey 2002, respondents were asked ‘Are any of your natural teeth missing?’, ‘Do you have dentures or false teeth?’, ‘In the last 12 months, how often have you had a toothache or other problem with your mouth or dentures?’, ‘In the last four weeks, how often have you had a toothache or other problem with your mouth or dentures?’, ‘What was the most recent problem you had?’, ‘What treatment did you receive for that problem?’, ‘When did you last see a dental professional about your teeth, dentures or gums?’, ‘Where was your last dental visit made?’, ‘What are the main reasons for you not visiting the dentist in the last 12 months?’. 

Results

Retention of natural teeth

Overall, in 2002, 37.2 per cent of people reported that they had all of their natural teeth, 55.8 per cent reported that they had some natural teeth missing, and 6.9 per cent reported that they had all natural teeth missing.

There was no significant difference between the proportion of males (37.9 per cent) and females (36.6 per cent) who had no natural teeth missing. The proportion of people who had no natural teeth missing decreased significantly with age. A significantly greater proportion of people aged 16–34 years (58.4 per cent to 79.4 per cent) and females aged 35–44 years (42.6 per cent) were likely to have no natural teeth missing compared with the overall population. A significantly lower proportion of people aged 45 years and over (2.2 per cent to 21.4 per cent) were likely to have no natural teeth missing, compared with the overall population.

The proportion of respondents reporting having no natural teeth missing was significantly greater in urban areas (39.1 per cent) than in rural areas (30.6 per cent). A significantly lower proportion of residents in the Illawarra Area Health Service (32.0 per cent) and a significantly greater proportion of residents in the Central Sydney (46.1 per cent) and Northern Sydney (46.8 per cent) Area Health Services were likely to have no natural teeth missing, compared with the overall urban population. A significantly lower proportion of residents in the Mid North Coast Area Health Service (23.3 per cent) were likely to have no natural teeth missing than the overall rural population.

A significantly greater proportion of people in the least (44.6 per cent) and second least (43.1 per cent) socioeconomically disadvantaged quintiles, and a significantly lower proportion in the most disadvantaged quintile (31.6 per cent), were likely to have no natural teeth missing than the overall population.

The proportion of people who had no natural teeth missing increased significantly from 1998 (35.0 per cent) to 2002 (37.2 per cent).

Toothache and other oral health problems

Overall, in 2002, 52.0 per cent of people reported that they ‘never’ had oral health problems, 26.5 per cent of people ‘hardly ever’ had problems, 15.3 per cent of people ‘sometimes’ had problems, 4.0 per cent ‘often’ had problems, and 2.2 per cent of people had oral health problems ‘very often’. The proportion of males (28.4 per cent) ‘hardly ever’ having oral health problems was significantly greater than females (24.7 per cent).

Of those who reported an oral health problem, 39.4 per cent did not see a dentist for the problem. Of those who did see a dentist, the most common treatments were dental fillings (24.1 per cent), tooth extractions (12.0 per cent), or simply a check up (9.0 per cent).

Frequency of visits to dental professionals

Overall, in 2002, 36.1 per cent of people had seen a dentist less than 12 months ago, 23.4 per cent had seen a dentist one to less than two years ago, 21.6 per cent had seen a dentist two to less than five years ago, 9.7 per cent had seen a dentist five to less than 10 years ago, 8.4 per cent had seen a dentist 10 years ago or more, and 0.9 per cent of people had never seen a dentist. A significantly lower proportion of males (32.9 per cent) than females (39.1 per cent) reported having seen a dentist in the last 12 months.

Dental providers used

In 2002, 89.4 per cent of people used a private dental provider, 9.1 per cent used a public dental clinic, 0.3 per cent used the school dental service, 0.6 per cent of people
used a dental technician, and 0.6 per cent of people used another type of dental service.

Figures 87–88 and Table 27 show the proportion of people who have no natural teeth missing by socioeconomic disadvantage and health area. Figure 89 shows the range and times since the last dental visit.
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### TABLE 27

**NO NATURAL TEETH MISSING BY HEALTH AREA, PERSONS AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>% Males LL (est. no.)</th>
<th>% Females LL (est. no.)</th>
<th>% Persons LL (est. no.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>95%CI 95%CI</td>
<td>95%CI 95%CI</td>
<td>95%CI 95%CI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Sydney</td>
<td>44.5 38.2 50.9 89200</td>
<td>47.7 42.5 52.9 98100</td>
<td>46.1 42 50.2 187300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Sydney</td>
<td>50.6 44 57.1 154500</td>
<td>43.3 37 49.6 141100</td>
<td>46.8 42.3 51.4 295600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Sydney</td>
<td>36.5 29.7 43.4 93300</td>
<td>36.7 31.1 42.3 97000</td>
<td>36.6 32.2 41.1 190300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wentworth</td>
<td>38.7 32 45.3 44900</td>
<td>33 27.2 38.7 39500</td>
<td>35.8 31.4 40.2 84400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South West Sydney</td>
<td>41.5 34.6 48.3 124100</td>
<td>34.6 28.9 40.3 104200</td>
<td>38 33.5 42.5 228300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Coast</td>
<td>32.2 24.7 39.6 35000</td>
<td>35 28.8 41.2 40900</td>
<td>33.6 28.8 38.5 75900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hunter</td>
<td>33.1 25.8 40.5 68300</td>
<td>34.9 29.3 40.5 74100</td>
<td>34 29.5 38.6 142400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illawarra</td>
<td>32.9 26.1 39.6 42900</td>
<td>31.1 26 36.2 42300</td>
<td>32 27.8 36.2 85100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South East Sydney</td>
<td>39.8 33.2 46.5 124500</td>
<td>39.2 33.8 44.6 124200</td>
<td>39.5 35.3 43.8 248800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Rivers</td>
<td>30.2 22.9 37.5 29700</td>
<td>32.2 26.5 37.9 33100</td>
<td>31.2 26.6 35.8 62800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid North Coast</td>
<td>24.1 17.4 30.8 23500</td>
<td>22.5 16.8 28.2 23500</td>
<td>23.3 18.9 27.7 46700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New England</td>
<td>29.8 23.1 36.4 18900</td>
<td>30.1 24.3 35.8 19700</td>
<td>29.9 25.5 34.3 38600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macquarie</td>
<td>35.3 28 42.7 13100</td>
<td>29 23.5 34.5 10900</td>
<td>32.2 27.5 36.8 24100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Western</td>
<td>31.4 25 37.8 19000</td>
<td>33.6 28.2 39 21000</td>
<td>32.5 28.3 36.7 40000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Far West</td>
<td>30.8 24 37.6 5800</td>
<td>37.9 31 44.8 6800</td>
<td>34.3 29.4 39.2 12500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Murray</td>
<td>30.1 23 37.1 28300</td>
<td>35 29.5 40.6 34300</td>
<td>32.6 28.1 37.1 62600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern</td>
<td>34.1 27.2 40.9 23700</td>
<td>34.5 29 40 24200</td>
<td>34.3 29.9 38.7 48000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>40.2 37.7 42.6 776700</td>
<td>38.1 36.1 40.1 761400</td>
<td>39.1 37.5 40.7 1538200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>30 27.4 32.7 162000</td>
<td>31.1 28.9 33.2 173200</td>
<td>30.6 28.9 32.3 335200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSW</td>
<td>37.9 36 39.9 938700</td>
<td>36.6 34.9 38.2 934700</td>
<td>37.2 36 38.5 1873400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
- Estimates are based on 12618 respondents in NSW.
- 4 (0.03 per cent) were 'not stated' (Don't know or Refused) for this indicator in NSW.
- The indicator includes those respondents who had no natural teeth missing. The question used to define the indicator was 'Are any of your natural teeth missing? (Natural teeth does not include dentures, but includes wisdom teeth)'.
- Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.

### FIGURE 89

**TIME SINCE LAST DENTAL VISIT, PERSONS AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Estimated Number</th>
<th>Males</th>
<th>Females</th>
<th>Estimated Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>549,900</td>
<td>32.9</td>
<td>39.1</td>
<td>680,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>365,700</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>430,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>389,900</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>345,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>182,000</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>147,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>164,100</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>122,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19,200</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>12,600</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.
Overweight or obesity

Introduction

The prevalence of obesity is rising worldwide and NSW is no exception. Being overweight or obese increases the risk of a wide range of health problems, including cardiovascular disease, non-insulin dependent diabetes mellitus, breast cancer, gallstones, degenerative joint disease, obstructive sleep apnoea, and impaired psychosocial functioning.1 Weight gain and obesity develop when the energy intake from food and drink exceeds energy expenditure from physical activity and other metabolic processes.

In the New South Wales Adult Health Survey 2002, respondents were asked ‘How tall are you without shoes?’ and ‘How much do you weigh without clothes or shoes?’. These answers were used to estimate body mass index (BMI). The BMI provides the most useful and practical method for classifying overweight or obesity in adults. BMI is calculated by dividing a person’s weight (in kilograms) by their height (in metres) squared. The resulting BMI is then classified into four categories: ‘underweight’ when the BMI is less than 18.5; ‘acceptable or ideal weight’ when the BMI is greater than or equal to 18.5 and less than 25; ‘overweight’ when the BMI is greater than or equal to 25 and less than 30; and ‘obese’ when the BMI is greater than or equal to 30.2

Studies have shown that relying on self-reported height and weight results in an underestimation of the true prevalence of overweight or obesity. In one study, the reliability of self-reported height and weight improved when the person had recently weighed themselves.3 Therefore, respondents were also asked ‘How often do you weigh yourself?’ and ‘Do you consider yourself to be acceptable weight, underweight, or overweight?’.

Results

Overall, in 2002, 3.6 per cent of the population were categorised as ‘underweight’, 50.1 per cent as ‘acceptable weight’, 31.6 per cent as ‘overweight’, and 14.6 per cent as ‘obese’ (in total 46.2 per cent ‘overweight’ or ‘obese’). Of the people whose BMI was calculated, 27.1 per cent weighed themselves at least weekly, 27.0 per cent weighed themselves monthly, 32.7 per cent weighed themselves a few times a year, and 13.2 per cent never weighed themselves.

A significantly greater proportion of males (53.9 per cent) than females (38.5 per cent) were classified as overweight or obese. Interestingly, when asked to rate their own weight as acceptable, overweight, or underweight, only 39.8 per cent of the respondents categorised themselves as being overweight, with a significantly greater proportion of females (43.3 per cent) considering themselves to be overweight than males (36.3 per cent).

Among males, a significantly lower proportion of those aged 16–24 years (32.0 per cent) and a significantly greater proportion aged 35–74 years (greater than 60.2 per cent) were likely to be classified as overweight or obese, compared with the overall population. Among females, a significantly lower proportion of those aged 16–44 years (14.3 per cent to 35.7 per cent) and a significantly greater proportion of those aged 55–74 years (53.6 per cent to 55.7 per cent) were likely to be classified as overweight or obese, compared with the overall population.

There was significant geographic variation in the proportion of residents classified as overweight or obese, with a significantly greater proportion of rural residents (49.3 per cent) than urban residents (45.4 per cent) being overweight or obese. This difference is almost totally explained by the difference in rates of overweight or obesity between rural and urban women. There was no significant difference between urban and rural men. Central Sydney Area Health Service (38.6 per cent) had a significantly lower proportion of overweight or obese residents compared to the overall urban population. Macquarie (56.3 per cent) and Far West (56.9 per cent) Area Health Services had significantly greater proportions of overweight or obese residents compared to the overall rural population.

The second most socioeconomically disadvantaged quintile (50.5 per cent) had significantly greater proportions of overweight or obese people than the overall population. The least disadvantaged quintile (39.6 per cent) had a significantly lower proportion of overweight or obese people than the overall population. This difference is almost totally explained by the difference between socioeconomic quintiles and level of overweight or obesity in women. In men, there was no significant variation in the proportion of people classified as overweight or obese by socioeconomic quintile.

The proportion of people classified as overweight or obese has risen significantly from 1997 (42.2 per cent) to 2002 (46.3 per cent). This increase has occurred in both males (49.7 per cent to 53.9 per cent) and females (34.5 per cent to 38.5 per cent).

Figure 90 shows the proportion of people in each BMI category. Figures 91–93 and Table 28 show the proportion of people who are overweight or obese, by age, socioeconomic disadvantage, and health area.
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FIGURE 90
BODY MASS INDEX (BMI) CATEGORIES, PERSONS AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.

FIGURE 91
OVERWEIGHT OR OBESITY BY AGE, PERSONS AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.
FIGURE 92
OVERWEIGHT OR OBESITY BY SOCIOECONOMIC DISADVANTAGE SCORE, PERSONS AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quintile</th>
<th>Estimated Number Males</th>
<th>Estimated Number Females</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5th Quintile (most disadvantaged)</td>
<td>241,300</td>
<td>224,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th Quintile</td>
<td>358,700</td>
<td>239,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd Quintile</td>
<td>286,200</td>
<td>204,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd Quintile</td>
<td>238,800</td>
<td>138,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st Quintile (least disadvantaged)</td>
<td>180,500</td>
<td>114,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSW</td>
<td>1,305,500</td>
<td>921,500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.

FIGURE 93
OVERWEIGHT OR OBESITY BY HEALTH AREA, PERSONS AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Males %</th>
<th>LL 95%CI</th>
<th>UL 95%CI</th>
<th>(est. no.)</th>
<th>Females %</th>
<th>LL 95%CI</th>
<th>UL 95%CI</th>
<th>(est. no.)</th>
<th>Persons %</th>
<th>LL 95%CI</th>
<th>UL 95%CI</th>
<th>(est. no.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Central Sydney</td>
<td>43.7</td>
<td>37.4</td>
<td>50.1</td>
<td>85000</td>
<td>33.5</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>38.5</td>
<td>65300</td>
<td>38.6</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>42.7</td>
<td>150200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Sydney</td>
<td>54.7</td>
<td>48.1</td>
<td>61.3</td>
<td>165100</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>86500</td>
<td>40.9</td>
<td>36.4</td>
<td>45.3</td>
<td>251700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Sydney</td>
<td>52.4</td>
<td>45.3</td>
<td>59.5</td>
<td>129900</td>
<td>40.4</td>
<td>34.7</td>
<td>46.2</td>
<td>101800</td>
<td>46.4</td>
<td>41.8</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>231700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wentworth</td>
<td>57.7</td>
<td>50.9</td>
<td>64.5</td>
<td>65900</td>
<td>44.5</td>
<td>38.5</td>
<td>50.5</td>
<td>48000</td>
<td>51.3</td>
<td>46.7</td>
<td>55.9</td>
<td>113900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South West Sydney</td>
<td>58.2</td>
<td>51.4</td>
<td>64.9</td>
<td>170800</td>
<td>43.3</td>
<td>37.4</td>
<td>49.2</td>
<td>120600</td>
<td>50.9</td>
<td>46.4</td>
<td>55.5</td>
<td>291400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Coast</td>
<td>61.1</td>
<td>53.8</td>
<td>68.4</td>
<td>64800</td>
<td>42.7</td>
<td>36.7</td>
<td>48.8</td>
<td>47500</td>
<td>51.7</td>
<td>46.8</td>
<td>56.6</td>
<td>112300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hunter</td>
<td>56.4</td>
<td>49.4</td>
<td>63.4</td>
<td>112900</td>
<td>42.8</td>
<td>37.2</td>
<td>48.4</td>
<td>84100</td>
<td>49.7</td>
<td>45.1</td>
<td>54.3</td>
<td>197100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illawarra</td>
<td>51.3</td>
<td>44.4</td>
<td>58.3</td>
<td>65200</td>
<td>44.7</td>
<td>39.3</td>
<td>50.2</td>
<td>55300</td>
<td>48.1</td>
<td>43.7</td>
<td>52.5</td>
<td>120500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South East Sydney</td>
<td>50.7</td>
<td>44.5</td>
<td>57.4</td>
<td>157500</td>
<td>29.3</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>34.2</td>
<td>87200</td>
<td>40.2</td>
<td>35.9</td>
<td>44.5</td>
<td>244700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Rivers</td>
<td>46.5</td>
<td>39.4</td>
<td>53.5</td>
<td>43600</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>33.4</td>
<td>44.6</td>
<td>38300</td>
<td>42.6</td>
<td>38.2</td>
<td>47.1</td>
<td>81900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid North Coast</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>44.1</td>
<td>57.9</td>
<td>48500</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>45.9</td>
<td>38500</td>
<td>45.4</td>
<td>40.8</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>87000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New England</td>
<td>57.8</td>
<td>50.7</td>
<td>64.8</td>
<td>35700</td>
<td>45.5</td>
<td>39.4</td>
<td>51.6</td>
<td>28100</td>
<td>51.6</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>56.3</td>
<td>63800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macquarie</td>
<td>61.7</td>
<td>54.9</td>
<td>68.5</td>
<td>22500</td>
<td>50.6</td>
<td>44.5</td>
<td>56.6</td>
<td>17400</td>
<td>56.3</td>
<td>51.6</td>
<td>60.9</td>
<td>39900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Western</td>
<td>57.5</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>64.1</td>
<td>33400</td>
<td>44.8</td>
<td>39.3</td>
<td>50.2</td>
<td>26600</td>
<td>51.1</td>
<td>48.6</td>
<td>55.4</td>
<td>60000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Far West</td>
<td>63.8</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>70.5</td>
<td>11600</td>
<td>49.1</td>
<td>42.4</td>
<td>55.9</td>
<td>7900</td>
<td>56.9</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>61.7</td>
<td>19500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Murray</td>
<td>61.2</td>
<td>54.1</td>
<td>68.3</td>
<td>56600</td>
<td>42.5</td>
<td>36.8</td>
<td>48.3</td>
<td>35800</td>
<td>52.3</td>
<td>47.6</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>92400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern</td>
<td>52.8</td>
<td>46.1</td>
<td>59.5</td>
<td>36400</td>
<td>49.9</td>
<td>44.5</td>
<td>55.3</td>
<td>32400</td>
<td>51.4</td>
<td>47.1</td>
<td>55.7</td>
<td>68900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>53.7</td>
<td>51.2</td>
<td>56.1</td>
<td>1017200</td>
<td>37.1</td>
<td>35.1</td>
<td>39.1</td>
<td>696400</td>
<td>45.4</td>
<td>43.8</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>1713600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>54.9</td>
<td>52.2</td>
<td>57.6</td>
<td>288300</td>
<td>43.7</td>
<td>41.4</td>
<td>45.9</td>
<td>225200</td>
<td>49.3</td>
<td>47.6</td>
<td>51.1</td>
<td>513400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSW</td>
<td>53.9</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>55.9</td>
<td>1305500</td>
<td>38.5</td>
<td>36.9</td>
<td>40.1</td>
<td>921500</td>
<td>46.3</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>47.6</td>
<td>2227000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Estimates are based on 11998 respondents in NSW.

621 (4.92 per cent) were 'not stated' (Don’t know or Refused) for this indicator in NSW.

The indicator includes those with a Body Mass Index (BMI) of 25 or higher. The questions used to define the indicator were 'How tall are you without shoes?' and 'How much do you weigh without clothes or shoes?' Body Mass Index is calculated as follows BMI = weight(kg)/height²(m).

Categories for this indicator include overweight (BMI between 25 and 29.9) and obese (BMI of 30 and over).

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.
9. HEALTH SERVICES

NSW Health provides a range of health care services to NSW residents that are delivered across a variety of settings. The New South Wales Adult Health Survey 2002 included a range of questions that addressed access to and satisfaction with health care services. Measuring consumer satisfaction with health care services is part of the process of monitoring the success of community participation and quality improvement strategies. In 2002, questions focused on difficulties getting health care when needed, admission to hospital or attendance at an emergency department, and use of community health centres or public dental services.

**Difficulties getting health care**

**Introduction**

In order to identify some of the issues around access to health services, the New South Wales Adult Health Survey 2002 included questions about difficulties that people may have had with those services. Respondents were asked ‘Do you have any difficulties getting health care when you need it?’. Those who responded ‘Yes’ were then asked, ‘Please describe the difficulties you have’.

**Results**

Only 12.6 per cent of the population reported having difficulties getting health care. The main difficulties reported were waiting time for an appointment with a general practitioner (36.1 per cent), shortage of general practitioners in the local area (18.6 per cent), and quality of treatment (14.7 per cent).

A significantly greater proportion of females (14.2 per cent) reported difficulties in getting health care than males (10.9 per cent). Among females, a significantly lower proportion of those aged 16–24 years (10.1 per cent) and 65 years and over (4.2 per cent to 10.7 per cent) reported having difficulties getting health care, compared with the overall female population. The proportion of males reporting difficulties getting health care was significantly lower among those aged 16–24 years (5.3 per cent) and 75 years and over (6.0 per cent), compared with the overall male population.

There was significant geographic variation in the reporting of difficulties in getting health care, with a significantly greater proportion of rural residents (21.4 per cent) reporting difficulties getting health care than urban residents (10.1 per cent). Residents in the Northern Rivers Area Health Service (15.5 per cent) were significantly less likely to experience difficulties in getting health care than rural residents. Residents in the Central Coast (19.2 per cent) and Hunter (14.6 per cent) Area Health Services were significantly more likely to have difficulties getting health care than urban residents.

There has been a significant increase in the proportion of people having difficulties getting health care, from 10.0 per cent in 1997 to 12.6 per cent in 2002. This increase was greater in females (11.1 per cent to 14.2 per cent) than males (8.9 per cent to 10.9 per cent).

Figure 94 shows the health services attended in the last 12 months. Figure 95–97 and Table 29 show the proportion of people reporting difficulties getting health care when they needed it, by age, socioeconomic disadvantage, and health area. Figure 98 shows the types of difficulties experienced.
FIGURE 94
HEALTH SERVICES ATTENDED IN LAST 12 MONTHS, PERSONS AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.

FIGURE 95
DIFFICULTIES GETTING HEALTH CARE WHEN NEEDING IT BY AGE, PERSONS AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.
FIGURE 96
DIFFICULTIES GETTING HEALTH CARE WHEN NEEDING IT BY SOCIOECONOMIC DISADVANTAGE SCORE, PERSONS AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Estimated Number</th>
<th>Males</th>
<th>Females</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>65,000</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>16.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88,500</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>17.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51,700</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>13.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26,300</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>11.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>256,600</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>14.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.

FIGURE 97
DIFFICULTIES GETTING HEALTH CARE WHEN NEEDING IT BY HEALTH AREA, PERSONS AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.
TABLE 29
DIFFICULTIES GETTING HEALTH CARE WHEN NEEDING IT BY HEALTH AREA, PERSONS AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Males %</th>
<th>LL 95%CI</th>
<th>UL 95%CI</th>
<th>(est. no.)</th>
<th>Females %</th>
<th>LL 95%CI</th>
<th>UL 95%CI</th>
<th>(est. no.)</th>
<th>Persons %</th>
<th>LL 95%CI</th>
<th>UL 95%CI</th>
<th>(est. no.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Central Sydney</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>13500</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>22100</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>35600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Sydney</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>25300</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>24800</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>50100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Sydney</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>18900</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>21700</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>40500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wentworth</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>12800</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>17400</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>30100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South West Sydney</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>12200</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>28800</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>40900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Coast</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>16800</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>27.6</td>
<td>25000</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>41800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hunter</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>24700</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>35200</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>59900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illawarra</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>10000</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>19500</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>29800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South East Sydney</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>23100</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>28600</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>51800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Rivers</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>11900</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td>17100</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>29000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid North Coast</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>17300</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>18400</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>35700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New England</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>12300</td>
<td>30.5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>35.9</td>
<td>19400</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>29.6</td>
<td>31700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macquarie</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>7500</td>
<td>29.3</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>34.4</td>
<td>10800</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>28.9</td>
<td>18300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Western</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>8900</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>13500</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>22300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Far West</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>25.3</td>
<td>3600</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>31.6</td>
<td>4400</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>8000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Murray</td>
<td>25.9</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>32.4</td>
<td>23400</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>30.3</td>
<td>24200</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>29.7</td>
<td>47600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>14400</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td>17400</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>31800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>157200</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>223300</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>380500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>99300</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>25.1</td>
<td>125100</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>22.8</td>
<td>224400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSW</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>256600</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>348400</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>604900</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Estimates are based on 12017 respondents in NSW.

605 (4.79 per cent) were 'not stated' (Don't know or Refused) for this indicator in NSW.

The indicator includes those who had difficulties getting health care when they needed it. It excludes those who said they do not need health care. The question used to define the indicator was 'Do you have any difficulties getting health care when you need it?'.

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.

FIGURE 98
TYPES OF DIFFICULTIES GETTING HEALTH CARE WHEN NEEDING IT, PERSONS AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.
Emergency departments

Introduction

In 2002 there were approximately 1.6 million visits to emergency departments in NSW hospitals.\(^1\)

The New South Wales Adult Health Survey 2002 included questions on attendance at an emergency department and satisfaction with that service. Respondents were asked the following questions: ‘In the last 12 months, have you attended a hospital emergency department (or casualty) for your own medical care?’, ‘Which hospital’s emergency department did you last attend?’, ‘Overall, what do you think of the care you received at this emergency department?’ (if care rated as ‘fair’ or ‘poor’ then respondents were also asked ‘Could you briefly describe why you rated the care you received as “fair” or “poor”?’), ‘If you had to enter an emergency department again, would you prefer to return to this emergency department, or go to a different emergency department?’.

Results

Attendance

The New South Wales Adult Health Survey 2002 estimated that about 717,200 persons (363,600 males and 353,600 females) had attended an emergency department in the previous 12 months, representing 14.3 per cent of the population overall. There was no significant difference between the proportion of males (14.7 per cent) and females (13.8 per cent) attending, nor was there significant variation between age groups.

There was significant geographic variation in emergency department attendances in the last 12 months, with a significantly greater proportion of rural residents (19.6 per cent) than urban residents (12.8 per cent) reporting attendance at an emergency department. A significantly greater proportion of residents in the Central Coast Area Health Service (18.7 per cent) reported emergency department attendance compared with the overall urban population. There was no significant difference within rural health areas.

There was significant geographic variation in emergency department attendances in the last 12 months, with a significantly greater proportion of rural residents (19.6 per cent) than urban residents (12.8 per cent) reporting attendance at an emergency department. A significantly greater proportion of residents in the Central Coast Area Health Service (18.7 per cent) reported emergency department attendance compared with the overall urban population. There was no significant difference within rural health areas.

Other than a significantly lower proportion of females in the least disadvantaged quintile (9.4 per cent) reporting emergency department attendance than the overall population, there was little variation in attendance by socioeconomic disadvantage.

Emergency department attendance did not differ significantly from 1997 (13.8 per cent) to 2002 (14.3 per cent).

Rating of emergency department care

Those who had attended an emergency department in the last 12 months were asked to rate the care they received during the attendance. Of these, 29.2 per cent rated the care received as ‘excellent’, 27.5 per cent as ‘very good’, 19.8 per cent as ‘good’, 11.6 per cent as ‘fair’, and 11.9 per cent as ‘poor’. Females (15.0 per cent) were significantly more likely to rate the care received as ‘poor’ than males (8.8 per cent). The main reason for rating the care as ‘fair’ or ‘poor’ was waiting time in emergency departments (69.8 per cent).

Responses of ‘excellent’, ‘very good’ and ‘good’ were combined into a ‘positive’ rating of care. Overall, 76.5 per cent of people gave a positive rating of the care they received at an emergency department. There was no significant difference in positive rates of emergency department care between males (79.8 per cent) and females (73.2 per cent). A significantly greater proportion of people aged 65 years and over (89.8 per cent to 90.3 per cent) and males aged 55–64 years (87.9 per cent), and a significantly lower proportion of females aged 16–24 years (60.2 per cent) gave a positive rating of their emergency department care, compared with the overall population.

There was no significant variation in positive ratings of emergency department care between rural residents (79.8 per cent) and urban residents (75.2 per cent). A significantly greater proportion of residents in the Mid Western (88.7 per cent) and Macquarie (86.8 per cent) Area Health Services gave a positive rating, compared with the overall population.

Apart from a significantly greater proportion of males in the least disadvantaged quintile (94.0 per cent) giving a positive rating of their emergency care, compared with the overall population, there was little variation by socioeconomic disadvantage.

Overall, the proportion of people who gave a positive rating of emergency department care did not differ significantly from 1997 (80.3 per cent) to 2002 (76.5 per cent). However, the proportion of females who gave a positive rating decreased significantly from 1997 (79.9 per cent) to 2002 (73.2 per cent).

Figures 99–100 and Table 30 show the proportion of people attending an emergency department in the last 12 months, by socioeconomic disadvantage and health area. Figure 101 shows the reason for rating the most recent emergency department visit as fair or poor. Figure 102 shows the proportion of people in the emergency department as excellent, very good, or good.
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FIGURE 99
EMERGENCY DEPARTMENT ATTENDANCE IN THE PREVIOUS 12 MONTHS BY SOCIOECONOMIC DISADVANTAGE SCORE, PERSONS AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002

Estimated Number

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quintile</th>
<th>Males</th>
<th>Females</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5th Quintile</td>
<td>74,600</td>
<td>85,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th Quintile</td>
<td>87,400</td>
<td>107,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd Quintile</td>
<td>78,500</td>
<td>73,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd Quintile</td>
<td>73,100</td>
<td>50,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st Quintile</td>
<td>50,100</td>
<td>37,300</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.

FIGURE 100
EMERGENCY DEPARTMENT ATTENDANCE IN THE PREVIOUS 12 MONTHS BY HEALTH AREA, PERSONS AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.
TABLE 30

EMERGENCY DEPARTMENT ATTENDANCE IN THE PREVIOUS 12 MONTHS BY HEALTH AREA, PERSONS AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>% Males</th>
<th>% (95%CI)</th>
<th>% LL</th>
<th>% UL (est. no.)</th>
<th>% Females</th>
<th>% (95%CI)</th>
<th>% LL</th>
<th>% UL (est. no.)</th>
<th>% Persons</th>
<th>% (95%CI)</th>
<th>% LL</th>
<th>% UL (est. no.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Central Sydney</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>34900</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>25000</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>59900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Sydney</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>46600</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>28300</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>74900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Sydney</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>21100</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>31500</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>52600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wentworth</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>19100</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>18700</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>37800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South West Sydney</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>35100</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>35800</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>70900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Coast</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>20000</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>22300</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>42200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hunter</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>27000</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>34000</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>61000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illawarra</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>18600</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>17700</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>36400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South East Sydney</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>33700</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>33000</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>66700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Rivers</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>18900</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>24.9</td>
<td>20600</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>39500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid North Coast</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>17300</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>17400</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>34800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New England</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>12800</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>29.2</td>
<td>15200</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>25.8</td>
<td>28000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macquarie</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>25.9</td>
<td>7400</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>6000</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>13400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Western</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>32.4</td>
<td>16000</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>13300</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>29200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Far West</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>31.5</td>
<td>4800</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>29.4</td>
<td>4300</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>28.8</td>
<td>9000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Murray</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>20000</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>17600</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>37700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>10300</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>12800</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>23100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>256100</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>246300</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>502400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>107500</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>107300</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>214800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSW</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>363600</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>353600</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>717200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Estimates are based on 12604 respondents in NSW. 18 (0.14 per cent) were ‘not stated’ (Don’t know or Refused) for this indicator in NSW. The indicator includes those attending an emergency department in the last 12 months. The question used to define the indicator was ‘In the last 12 months, have you attended a hospital emergency department or casualty for your own medical care?’.

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.

FIGURE 101

EMERGENCY DEPARTMENT CARE RATED AS EXCELLENT, VERY GOOD OR GOOD BY AGE, PERSONS WHO ATTENDED AN EMERGENCY DEPARTMENT IN THE PREVIOUS 12 MONTHS, AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.
Hospital admissions

Introduction

In the 2000–01 financial year there were approximately 1.99 million admissions to NSW hospitals.1

The New South Wales Adult Health Survey 2002 included questions on admission to hospital and satisfaction with hospital services. Respondents were asked the following questions: ‘In the last 12 months, have you stayed for at least one night in hospital?’, ‘In which hospital was your most recent overnight stay?’, ‘Can you tell me if that is a public or private hospital?’, ‘During your overnight hospital admission were you admitted as a public or private patient?’, ‘Overall, what do you think of the care you received at this hospital?’ (if the care was rated as ‘fair’ or ‘poor’, respondents were also asked ‘Could you briefly describe why you rated the care you received as “fair” or “poor”?’), ‘If you had to enter hospital again, would you prefer to return to this hospital, or go to a different hospital?’, ‘Did someone at this hospital tell you how to cope with this condition when you returned home?’ (if ‘Yes’, respondent was also asked ‘How adequate was this information once you went home?’).

Results

Hospital admissions

The New South Wales Adult Health Survey 2002 estimated that about 696,200 people (279,000 males and 417,200 females) were admitted to hospital in the previous 12 months, representing 13.9 per cent of the overall population.

A significantly greater proportion of females (16.3 per cent) than males (11.3 per cent) reported being admitted to hospital. Among females, a significantly lower proportion of those aged 55–64 years (12.4 per cent) and a significantly greater proportion of those aged 25–34 years (21.6 per cent) and 75 years and over (23.0 per cent) were admitted to hospital, compared to the overall female population. A significantly lower proportion of males aged 25–44 years (6.5 per cent to 7.3 per cent) and a significantly greater proportion of males aged 55 years and over (15.7 per cent to 30.8 per cent) were admitted to hospital, compared to the overall male population.

There was no significant difference in the proportion of people reporting hospital admissions in the last 12 months between rural areas (15.4 per cent) and urban areas (13.4 per cent), or within rural or urban health areas.

Overall, the proportion of people reporting hospital admissions did not vary significantly by level of socioeconomic disadvantage.

Rates of hospital admissions did not differ significantly from 1997 (13.0 per cent) to 2002 (13.9 per cent).

Rating of hospital care

Those who had been admitted to hospital in the last 12 months were asked to rate the care they received during the admission. Overall, 43.5 per cent rated the care they

---

**FIGURE 102**

REASON FOR RATING MOST RECENT EMERGENCY VISIT AS FAIR OR POOR, PERSONS WHO ATTENDED AN EMERGENCY DEPARTMENT IN THE PREVIOUS 12 MONTHS, AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason</th>
<th>Estimated Number</th>
<th>Estimated Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not enough staff</td>
<td>7,600</td>
<td>10,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor quality accommodation</td>
<td>1,300</td>
<td>3,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication problems</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor attitude of clinical staff</td>
<td>7,600</td>
<td>13,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor technical skills of clinical staff</td>
<td>10,700</td>
<td>21,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waiting time</td>
<td>54,200</td>
<td>62,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>6,000</td>
<td>6,100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.
received as ‘excellent’, 30.5 per cent as ‘very good’, 16.9 per cent as ‘good’, 6.3 per cent as ‘fair’, and 2.8 per cent rated the care received as ‘poor’. The main reasons for rating the care as fair or poor were the poor attitude of clinical staff (29.1 per cent) and the poor technical skill of clinical staff (28.4 per cent).

Responses of ‘excellent’, ‘very good’, and ‘good’ were combined into a ‘positive’ rating of care. Overall, 91.0 per cent of people gave a positive rating of the care they had received at hospital. There was no significant difference between the proportions of males (93.5 per cent) and females (89.3 per cent) giving positive ratings. A significantly greater proportion of people aged 55–64 years (95.9 per cent) and people aged 75 years and over (96.0 per cent) gave positive ratings of the care they received at a hospital, compared with the overall population.

There was no significant geographical variation in positive ratings of hospital care between rural residents (91.8 per cent) and urban residents (90.7 per cent). Females in the New England (98.1 per cent) and Southern (96.7 per cent) Area Health Services, and males in the Western Sydney (100 per cent) and South Eastern Sydney (100 per cent) and Macquarie (100 per cent) Area Health Services, were significantly more likely to give positive ratings of hospital care, compared with the overall population.

There was no significant difference in positive ratings of hospital care, based on socioeconomic disadvantage.

Overall, the proportion of people giving positive ratings of hospital care did not differ significantly from 1997 (90.1 per cent) to 2002 (91.0 per cent).

Figure 103 shows the proportion of people who were admitted to hospital in the previous 12 months by age. Figure 104 and Table 31 show the proportion rating their hospital care as excellent, very good, or good, by health area. Figure 105 shows the proportion rating their care as excellent, very good, good, fair, or poor. Figure 106 shows the reasons for rating care fair or poor.
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**FIGURE 104**

HOSPITAL CARE RATED AS EXCELLENT, VERY GOOD OR GOOD BY HEALTH AREA, PERSONS WHO ATTENDED HOSPITAL IN THE PREVIOUS 12 MONTHS, AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002

**TABLE 31**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Males % (95%CI)</th>
<th>Ul (95%CI)</th>
<th>(est. no.)</th>
<th>Females % (95%CI)</th>
<th>Ul (95%CI)</th>
<th>(est. no.)</th>
<th>Persons % (95%CI)</th>
<th>Ul (95%CI)</th>
<th>(est. no.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Central Sydney</td>
<td>94.8 (89.6, 100)</td>
<td>100 (24200)</td>
<td>78.9 (67.3, 90.5)</td>
<td>24800</td>
<td>86.1 (78.7, 93.4)</td>
<td>48900</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Sydney</td>
<td>93.5 (86, 100)</td>
<td>30500</td>
<td>88.2 (75.3, 100)</td>
<td>34800</td>
<td>90.6 (82.7, 98.5)</td>
<td>65300</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Sydney</td>
<td>100 (100, 100)</td>
<td>21000</td>
<td>92.6 (86.1, 99)</td>
<td>41400</td>
<td>94.9 (90.5, 99.3)</td>
<td>62400</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wentworth</td>
<td>96.3 (91.8, 100)</td>
<td>18600</td>
<td>90 (79.4, 100)</td>
<td>16800</td>
<td>93.2 (87.4, 99.1)</td>
<td>35500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South West Sydney</td>
<td>93.3 (84.1, 100)</td>
<td>30700</td>
<td>90.2 (80.5, 99.9)</td>
<td>46000</td>
<td>91.4 (84.5, 98.3)</td>
<td>76700</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Coast</td>
<td>91.4 (82, 100)</td>
<td>90000</td>
<td>88.6 (77.9, 99.7)</td>
<td>17000</td>
<td>89.7 (81.8, 97.6)</td>
<td>26000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hunter</td>
<td>87.6 (71, 100)</td>
<td>24000</td>
<td>92.7 (84.2, 100)</td>
<td>32400</td>
<td>90.5 (81.6, 99.3)</td>
<td>56500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illawarra</td>
<td>95.2 (85.9, 100)</td>
<td>12000</td>
<td>86.8 (78.3, 95.3)</td>
<td>19400</td>
<td>89.8 (83.4, 96.2)</td>
<td>31400</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South East Sydney</td>
<td>91.4 (88.5, 100)</td>
<td>10700</td>
<td>91.4 (83.8, 99.9)</td>
<td>18600</td>
<td>92.2 (86.4, 97.6)</td>
<td>29300</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Rivers</td>
<td>89 (76.5, 100)</td>
<td>11800</td>
<td>94.6 (88.1, 100)</td>
<td>17100</td>
<td>89.6 (81.5, 97.7)</td>
<td>75100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid North Coast</td>
<td>93 (85.5, 100)</td>
<td>10000</td>
<td>91.4 (83.8, 99.9)</td>
<td>18600</td>
<td>92.2 (85.7, 98.7)</td>
<td>28900</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New England</td>
<td>90.4 (81, 99.7)</td>
<td>6800</td>
<td>98.1 (95.4, 100)</td>
<td>10800</td>
<td>95.7 (90.8, 99.1)</td>
<td>17700</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macquarie</td>
<td>100 (100, 100)</td>
<td>4600</td>
<td>93.1 (87.6, 98.7)</td>
<td>7000</td>
<td>95.7 (92.3, 99.2)</td>
<td>11500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Western</td>
<td>90.5 (79.5, 100)</td>
<td>8100</td>
<td>85.2 (75.2, 95.2)</td>
<td>7800</td>
<td>87.8 (80.4, 95.2)</td>
<td>15900</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Far West</td>
<td>92.8 (84.5, 100)</td>
<td>2300</td>
<td>90.6 (81.3, 99.8)</td>
<td>2700</td>
<td>91.5 (85.2, 97.9)</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Murray</td>
<td>83.9 (63.1, 100)</td>
<td>12200</td>
<td>92.8 (86.8, 98.8)</td>
<td>17900</td>
<td>89 (78.9, 99)</td>
<td>30100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern</td>
<td>89.9 (74.8, 100)</td>
<td>5500</td>
<td>96.7 (93.2, 100)</td>
<td>10600</td>
<td>94.2 (88.2, 100)</td>
<td>16100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>94.6 (91.5, 97.8)</td>
<td>198000</td>
<td>88.1 (84.4, 91.8)</td>
<td>279800</td>
<td>90.7 (88.1, 93.3)</td>
<td>477800</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>89.9 (84, 95.7)</td>
<td>62100</td>
<td>93.1 (90.6, 95.7)</td>
<td>92500</td>
<td>91.8 (88.9, 94.6)</td>
<td>154600</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSW</td>
<td>93.5 (90.7, 96.2)</td>
<td>261000</td>
<td>89.3 (86.4, 92.2)</td>
<td>372300</td>
<td>91 (88.9, 93)</td>
<td>632300</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Estimates are based on 1927 respondents in NSW.

4 (0.21 per cent) were ‘not stated’ (Don’t know or Refused) for this indicator in NSW.

The indicator includes those admitted to hospital in the last 12 months who rated the care as excellent, very good or good for their most recent overnight stay. The questions used to define the indicator were ‘In the last 12 months, have you stayed for at least one night in hospital?’ and ‘Overall, what do you think of the care you received at this hospital? Was it excellent, very good, good, fair or poor?’

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.
FIGURE 105
REASON FOR RATING MOST RECENT OVERNIGHT HOSPITAL STAY AS FAIR OR POOR, PERSONS WHO
ATTENDED HOSPITAL IN THE PREVIOUS 12 MONTHS, AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason</th>
<th>Estimated Number (Males)</th>
<th>Per cent</th>
<th>Estimated Number (Females)</th>
<th>Per cent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not enough staff</td>
<td>2,700</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>9,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor quality accommodation</td>
<td>2,500</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>3,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication problems</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>3,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor attitude of clinical staff</td>
<td>4,100</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>31.7</td>
<td>14,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor technical skill of clinical staff</td>
<td>7,300</td>
<td>40.2</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>10,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excessive time waiting for care</td>
<td>3,600</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>6,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>3,800</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>3,400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.

FIGURE 106
HOSPITAL CARE RATINGS, PERSONS WHO ATTENDED HOSPITAL IN THE PREVIOUS 12 MONTHS, AGED 16
YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Estimated Number (Males)</th>
<th>Per cent</th>
<th>Estimated Number (Females)</th>
<th>Per cent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>128,400</td>
<td>46.1</td>
<td>41.7</td>
<td>173,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very Good</td>
<td>84,000</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>30.8</td>
<td>128,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>47,700</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>70,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>10,200</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>33,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>8,000</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>11,200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.
Community health centres

Introduction

Community health centres have a particularly important role to play in providing health information and support to people of all ages within the community. Services provided by community health centres include primary health care, nursing, sexual assault services, child and family team counselling, selected allied health services, dental services for adults and children, outreach clinics, child protection services, child development services, physical disabilities services, day and respite care, and health promotion.

The New South Wales Adult Health Survey 2002 included questions on attendance at a community health centre and satisfaction with that service. Respondents were asked the following questions: 'In the last 12 months, have you been to a government-run community health centre?', ‘Overall, what do you think of the care you received at that community health centre?', (if the care was rated as ‘fair’ or ‘poor’, respondents were also asked ‘Could you briefly describe why you rated the care you received as “fair” or “poor”?'). ‘If you had to use a community health centre again, would you prefer to return to this same community health centre, or go to a different community health centre?’ ‘Did someone at this community health centre tell you how to cope with your condition when you returned home?’ (if ‘Yes’, respondents were also asked ‘How adequate was this information once you went home?’).

Results

Attendance at community health centres

The New South Wales Adult Health Survey 2002 estimated that about 346,800 persons (118,400 males and 228,400 females) attended a community health centre in the previous 12 months, representing 6.9 per cent of the overall population.1

A significantly lower proportion of males (4.8 per cent) than females (8.9 per cent) were likely to have attended a community health centre. Among females, a significantly lower proportion of those aged 45–74 years (5.7 per cent to 6.2 per cent) and a significantly greater proportion of those aged 25–34 years (14.0 per cent) attended a community health centre, compared with the overall female population. A significantly lower proportion of males aged 45–54 years (2.8 per cent) attended a community health centre, compared with the overall male population.

There was significant geographic variation in community health centre attendance, with a significantly greater proportion of rural residents (9.4 per cent) than urban residents (6.2 per cent) reporting having attended a community health centre. A significantly greater proportion of residents in the Far West Area Health Service (15.8 per cent) attended a community health centre, compared with the overall rural population. There was no significant variation in community health centre attendance within urban area health services.

A significantly lower proportion of people in the least socioeconomically disadvantaged quintile (4.4 per cent) visited a community health centre, compared with the overall population.

There are no comparative data available for community health centre attendance.

Rating of care at community health centres

Those who had attended a community health centre in the last 12 months were asked to rate the care they received during the visit. Of those who had attended a community health centre, 48.4 per cent rated the care they received as ‘excellent’, 23.5 per cent as ‘very good’, 25.5 per cent as ‘good’, 1.8 per cent as ‘fair’, and 0.8 per cent rated the care received as ‘poor’. The main reasons for rating the care as fair or poor were waiting time (41.3 per cent) followed by poor technical skill of staff (22.4 per cent).

Responses of ‘excellent’, ‘very good’, or ‘good’ were then combined into ‘positive’ ratings of care. Overall, 92.9 per cent of people who had attended a community health centre gave a positive rating of the care they received. There was no significant difference in the proportion of males (91.6 per cent) and females (93.7 per cent) who gave positive ratings. A significantly greater proportion of females aged 55–64 years (98.2 per cent) and males aged 65–74 years (98.4 per cent) gave positive ratings of the care they received at a community health centre, compared with the overall population.

There was no significant geographical variation in positive ratings of care received at a community health centre between rural residents (95.1 per cent) and urban residents (92.0 per cent). A significantly greater proportion of female residents in the Western Sydney (100 per cent), Illawarra (100 per cent), and Mid North Coast (98.5 per cent) Area Health Services, and males in the Wentworth (100 per cent), Central Coast (100 per cent), South Eastern Sydney (100 per cent), and Northern Rivers (100 per cent) Area Health Services gave positive ratings, compared with the overall population.

Apart from a significantly greater proportion of females in the most disadvantaged quintile (97.6 per cent), who were more likely to give positive ratings of care received at the community health centre than the overall population, there was no difference in positive ratings of care by socio-economic disadvantage.

There are no comparative data available for positive ratings of care received at a community health centre.

Figure 107 shows the proportion of people attending a community health centre in the previous 12 months, by age. Figure 108 shows community health centre care ratings. Figure 109 shows the reasons for rating the most recent community health care visit as fair or poor.
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FIGURE 107
COMMUNITY HEALTH CENTRE ATTENDANCE IN THE PREVIOUS 12 MONTHS BY AGE PERSONS AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.

FIGURE 108
COMMUNITY HEALTH CENTRE CARE RATINGS, PERSONS WHO ATTENDED A COMMUNITY HEALTH CENTRE IN THE PREVIOUS 12 MONTHS, AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.
Public dental services

Introduction

People in NSW with a Health Care Concession Card or a Pensioner Concession Card are eligible for public dental care.

The New South Wales Adult Health Survey 2002 included questions on attendance at a public dental service and satisfaction with that service. Respondents were asked the following questions: ‘In the last 12 months, have you been to a government-run public dental service or dental hospital?’; ‘Overall, what do you think of the care you received at the public dental service?’ (if the care was rated as ‘fair’ or ‘poor’, the respondent was also asked ‘Could you briefly describe why you rated the care you received as “fair” or “poor”?’); ‘If you had to use a public dental service again, would you prefer to return to this same public dental service, or go to a different public dental service?’; ‘Did someone at this public dental service tell you how to cope with your condition when you returned home?’ (If ‘Yes’, respondent was then asked ‘How adequate was this information once you went home?’).

Results

Attendance at public dental services

The New South Wales Adult Health Survey 2002 estimated that about 227,200 people (95,400 males and 131,900 females) attended a public dental service in the previous 12 months. This represented 4.5 per cent of the overall population.¹

There was no significant difference in the proportion of females (5.2 per cent) or males (3.9 per cent) attending a public dental service. A significantly lower proportion of people aged 55–64 years (2.7 per cent) and males aged 45–54 years (2.2 per cent), and a significantly greater proportion of people aged 16–24 years (8.8 per cent) attended a public dental service in the previous 12 months, compared with the overall population.

There was no significant difference in the proportion of people attending a public dental service between rural areas (5.6 per cent) and urban areas (4.2 per cent). In the Far West Area Health Service (11.7 per cent) and Southern Area Health Service (7.8 per cent) a significantly greater proportion of people attended a public dental service, compared with the overall population.

Overall, there was no significant difference in the proportion of people attending public dental services, based on level of socioeconomic disadvantage.

There was no comparative data available for attendance at a public dental service from previous years.

Rating of care at public dental services

People who had attended a public dental service in the last 12 months were asked to rate the care they received during the attendance. Of these, 25.7 per cent rated the care they received as ‘excellent’, 32.0 per cent as ‘very good’, 23.4 per cent as ‘good’, 8.0 per cent as ‘fair’, and 10.8 per cent rated the care they received as ‘poor’. The main reason for rating the care as ‘fair’ or ‘poor’ was the

---

¹ There was no significant difference in the proportion of people attending a public dental service, based on level of socioeconomic disadvantage.
poor technical skill of clinical staff (43.5 per cent), followed closely by waiting times (41.3 per cent).

Responses of ‘excellent’, ‘very good’ and ‘good’ were combined into ‘positive’ ratings of care. Overall, 81.2 per cent of people gave positive ratings of the care they received at a public dental service. There was no significant difference in the proportion of males (81.7 per cent) and females (80.7 per cent) giving positive ratings of care. A significantly greater proportion of people aged 16–24 years (93.0 per cent) gave positive ratings of the care they received at a public dental service, compared with the overall population.

There was no significant variation in the proportion of rural residents (82.0 per cent) and urban residents (80.8 per cent) giving positive ratings of public dental care. A significantly greater proportion of residents in the Central Coast (94.2 per cent), Illawarra (93.1 per cent) and Macquarie (97.9 per cent) Area Health Services gave positive ratings of care, compared with the overall population.

There was no significant variation in the proportion of males (81.7 per cent) and females (80.7 per cent) giving positive ratings of public dental care. There was no variation in the proportion of people giving positive ratings of the care received at a public dental service by level of socioeconomic disadvantage.

There are no comparative data available for the rating of care received at a public dental service from previous years. Figure 110 shows the proportion of people who attended a public dental service in the previous 12 months, by age. Figure 111 shows the public dental service care rating. Figure 112 shows the proportion of people rating their public dental service care as excellent, very good, good, fair, or poor, by age. Figure 113 shows the reason for rating the most recent public dental service as fair or poor.
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FIGURE 111
PUBLIC DENTAL SERVICE CARE RATING, PERSONS WHO ATTENDED A PUBLIC DENTAL SERVICE IN THE PREVIOUS 12 MONTHS, AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.

FIGURE 112
PUBLIC DENTAL SERVICE CARE RATED AS EXCELLENT, VERY GOOD OR GOOD BY AGE, PERSONS WHO ATTENDED A PUBLIC DENTAL SERVICE IN THE PREVIOUS 12 MONTHS, AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.
FIGURE 113
REASON FOR RATING MOST RECENT PUBLIC DENTAL SERVICE VISIT AS FAIR OR POOR, PERSONS WHO ATTENDED A PUBLIC DENTAL SERVICE IN THE PREVIOUS 12 MONTHS, AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.
10. SOCIAL CAPITAL

Introduction

The term ‘social capital’ refers to the institutions, relationships, and norms that shape social networks, foster trust, and facilitate coordination and cooperation for mutual benefit. A key concept of social capital is the notion of interlocking networks of relationships between individuals and groups.

Social reciprocity and neighbourhood connection are defined as a combination of short-term altruism and long-term self interest where people help each other or act for the benefit of other people at a personal cost, with the general expectation that this help will be returned in the future when they might need help themselves.

Trust involves a willingness to take risks in a social context. This willingness is based on a confidence that others will respond as expected and will act in mutually supportive ways or at least that others will not intend harm. The overall level of trust that people attribute to others has been explored in conjunction with perceptions of safety within the individual’s local community.

Individuals acting on their own do not generate social capital; it is generated by people in communities engaging with others through a variety of associations that are both voluntary and equitable. Participation in the local community depends on a tendency among people to be social and to form new associations and networks.

The New South Wales Adult Health Survey 2002 included questions on social reciprocity and neighbourhood connection, feelings of trust and safety and participation in the local community. Respondents were asked the following questions: ‘In the past three months, how often have you helped out any local group or organisation such as a school, scouts and brownies, a sporting club, or hospital as a volunteer, or other organisation?’, ‘In the past six months, how often have you attended a local community event such as a church or school fete, school concert, or a street fair?’ ‘Are you an active member of a local organisation, church or club, such as a sport, craft, or social club?’, ‘Do you agree or disagree with the statement, “I feel safe walking down my street after dark”?’, ‘Do you agree or disagree with the statement, “Most people can be trusted”?’, ‘If you were caring for a child and needed to go out for a while, and could not take the child with you, would you ask someone in your neighbourhood for help?’ ‘How often have you visited someone in your neighbourhood in the past week?’, ‘When you go shopping in your local area how often are you likely to run into friends and acquaintances?’ ‘Would you be sad if you had to leave this neighbourhood?’.

Results

Social reciprocity and neighbourhood connection

Responses to the questions on social reciprocity and neighbourhood connection were grouped into positive and negative responses. Responses of ‘Yes’ to the questions ‘If you were caring for a child and needed to go out for a while, and could not take the child with you, would you ask someone in your neighbourhood for help?’ and ‘Would you be sad if you had to leave this neighbourhood’, as well as responses of at least ‘Once’ to the question ‘How often have you visited someone in your neighbourhood in the last week’, and responses of at least ‘Some of the time’ to the question ‘When you go shopping in your local area, how often are you likely to run into friends and acquaintances?’ were combined into positive responses. The question ‘How often have you visited someone in your neighbourhood in the past week?’ has been used as an example and analysed further.

Overall, in 2002, 70.6 per cent of the population said they would ask someone in their neighbourhood for help with caring for a child if they needed to go out for a while. A significantly greater proportion of males (73.3 per cent) than females (68.0 per cent) would ask someone in their neighbourhood for help with a child.

Nearly three-quarters (73.5 per cent) of the population stated that they would be sad if they had to leave their neighbourhood. A significantly greater proportion of females (75.7 per cent) than males (71.2 per cent) said they would be sad to leave their neighbourhood.

A total of 82.0 per cent of the population stated that they run into friends and acquaintances in their local area at least ‘sometimes’. A significantly greater proportion of females (83.7 per cent) than males (80.4 per cent) said they run into friends and acquaintances in their local area.

Almost two-thirds (65.9 per cent) of the population reported that they had visited someone in their neighbourhood in the past week. A significantly greater proportion of males (68.7 per cent) than females (63.2 per cent) had visited someone in their neighbourhood. There was no significant difference in the proportions of people who visited a neighbour, based on age.

There was significant geographic variation in the proportion of residents who reported that they had visited someone in their neighbourhood in the past week, with a significantly greater proportion of rural residents (71.2 per cent) than urban residents (64.4 per cent) having visited someone in their neighbourhood. A significantly lower proportion of residents in the Central Sydney Area Health Service (58.2 per cent), and a significantly greater proportion of residents in the Central Coast (72.9 per cent),
Hunter (71.4 per cent) and Illawarra (73.1 per cent) Area Health Services were likely to have visited someone in their neighbourhood compared to the overall urban population. There was no significant difference within rural health areas.

There was no significant variation in the proportion of people who visited their neighbours, based on socioeconomic disadvantage.

There were no comparative data for visiting neighbours in 1997 and 1998.

**Trust and safety**

In analysing the trust and safety questions, responses of ‘strongly agreed’ and ‘agreed’ to the questions ‘I feel safe walking down my street after dark’, ‘Most people can be trusted’ and ‘My area has a reputation for being a safe place’ were combined into ‘positive’ responses. The question ‘Most people can be trusted’ has been used as an example and analysed further.

Overall, in 2002, 66.8 per cent of the population strongly agreed or agreed with the statement that ‘I feel safe walking down my street after dark’. A significantly greater proportion of males (78.0 per cent) than females (55.8 per cent) felt safe walking down their street after dark.

Nearly three-quarters (73.4 per cent) of the population strongly agreed or agreed with the statement ‘My area has a reputation for being a safe place’. A significantly greater proportion of males (75.2 per cent) than females (71.6 per cent) agreed that their area was safe.

A total of 65.9 per cent of the population strongly agreed or agreed with the statement ‘Most people can be trusted’. A significantly greater proportion of males (69.0 per cent) than females (62.9 per cent) agreed that most people could be trusted. Among females, a significantly lower proportion aged 16–24 years (55.2 per cent) and a significantly greater proportion aged 75 years and over (73.3 per cent) agreed that most people can be trusted, compared to the overall female population. Among males, a significantly greater proportion aged 75 years and over (76.1 per cent) agreed that most people can be trusted, compared to the overall male population.

There was significant geographic variation in the proportion of residents who strongly agreed or agreed with the statement ‘Most people can be trusted’. A significantly greater proportion of rural residents (70.2 per cent) than urban residents (64.7 per cent) agreed that most people can be trusted. A significantly lower proportion of residents in the Central Sydney (57.7 per cent), Western Sydney (57.5 per cent), and South Western Sydney (57.9 per cent) Area Health Services, and a significantly greater proportion of residents in the Northern Sydney Area Health Service (76.9 per cent), agreed that most people can be trusted, compared to the overall urban population. Compared to the overall rural population, a significantly greater proportion of residents in the Southern Area Health Service (78.1 per cent) agreed that most people can be trusted.

There was significant variation based on socioeconomic disadvantage, with the proportion of people who agreed that ‘Most people can be trusted’ decreasing as socioeconomic disadvantage increased. A significantly greater proportion of people in the least disadvantaged quintile (75.9 per cent) and a significantly lower proportion of people in the most disadvantaged quintile (58.2 per cent) agreed that most people can be trusted, compared to the overall population.

There were no comparative data for trust in 1997 and 1998.

**Participation in the local community**

Responses to the questions on participation in the local community were grouped into positive or negative responses. Responses of ‘At least once’ to the questions ‘In the past three months, how often have you helped out any local group or organisation such as a school, scouts and brownies, a sporting club or a hospital as a volunteer, or other organisation?’, and ‘In the past three months, how often have you attended a local community event such as a church fete, school fete, school concert, or street fair?’, and of ‘Yes’ to the question ‘Are you an active member of a local organisation, church or club such as a sport, craft, or social club?’, were combined into ‘positive’ responses. The question ‘In the past six months, how often have you attended a local community event such as a church or school fete, school concert, or a street fair?’ has been used as an example and analysed further.

Overall, in 2002, one-third (33.1 per cent) of the population reported that they had helped out any local group or organisation in the past three months. A significantly greater proportion of females (35.7 per cent) than males (30.5 per cent) had helped out any local group or organisation in the past three months. Nearly half (43.9 per cent) of the population said they were active members of a local organisation (45.5 per cent of males, 42.3 per cent of females).

More than half (56.8 per cent) of the population reported that they had attended a local community event in the past six months. A significantly greater proportion of females (60.5 per cent) than males (52.9 per cent) had attended a local community event in the last six months. Among females, a significantly lower proportion aged 65 years and over (46.7 per cent to 54.7 per cent) and a significantly greater proportion aged 35–44 years (70.9 per cent) had attended a local community event in the last six months, compared to the overall female population. A significantly lower proportion of males aged 75 years and over (37.8 per cent) and a significantly greater proportion of males aged 35–44 years (64.3 per cent) had attended a local community event, compared to the overall male population.
A significantly greater proportion of rural residents (64.1 per cent) than urban residents (54.7 per cent) had attended a local community event in the last six months. A significantly lower proportion of residents in the South Eastern Sydney Area Health Service (48.6 per cent) had attended a local community event, compared to the overall urban population. There was no significant difference within rural health areas.

There was no significant variation in the proportion of people participating in local community events based on level of socioeconomic disadvantage.

There were no comparative data for attendance at a local community event from previous years.

Figure 114 shows participation in the local community. Figures 115–117 and Table 32 show the proportion of people who have attended a community event in the last six months by age, socioeconomic disadvantage, and health area. Figure 118 shows trust and safety in the local area. Figures 119–121 and Table 33 show the proportion of people who think most people can be trusted, by age, socioeconomic disadvantage, and health area. Figure 122 shows reciprocity and social engagement. Figures 123–125 and Table 34 show the proportion of people who have visited neighbours at least once in the past week, by age, socioeconomic disadvantage, and health area.
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### FIGURE 114

**PARTICIPATION IN THE LOCAL COMMUNITY, PERSONS AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Males</th>
<th>Females</th>
<th>Estimated Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Helped out any local group or organisation</td>
<td>30.5</td>
<td>35.7</td>
<td>754,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have attended local community event</td>
<td>52.9</td>
<td>60.5</td>
<td>1,307,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active member of a local organisation or social club</td>
<td>45.5</td>
<td>42.3</td>
<td>1,125,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated Number</td>
<td>1,080,700</td>
<td>1,544,900</td>
<td>912,800</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.
**FIGURE 115**
ATTENDED A COMMUNITY EVENT AT LEAST ONCE IN THE LAST 6 MONTHS BY AGE, PERSONS AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Males</th>
<th>Females</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16-24</td>
<td>52.9</td>
<td>58.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-34</td>
<td>52.6</td>
<td>60.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-44</td>
<td>64.3</td>
<td>70.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-54</td>
<td>55.1</td>
<td>62.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-64</td>
<td>47.1</td>
<td>56.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65-74</td>
<td>48.5</td>
<td>54.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75+</td>
<td>37.8</td>
<td>46.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Estimated Number</th>
<th>NSW</th>
<th>NSW</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1,307,600</td>
<td>1,544,900</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>244,300</td>
<td>274,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>319,200</td>
<td>352,100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>251,300</td>
<td>293,400</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>190,000</td>
<td>227,400</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104,200</td>
<td>127,500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52,400</td>
<td>97,500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.

**FIGURE 116**
ATTENDED A COMMUNITY EVENT AT LEAST ONCE IN THE LAST 6 MONTHS BY SOCIOECONOMIC DISADVANTAGE SCORE, PERSONS AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Socioeconomic Disadvantage Score</th>
<th>Males</th>
<th>Females</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st Quintile least disadvantaged</td>
<td>54.1</td>
<td>58.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd Quintile</td>
<td>49.4</td>
<td>62.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd Quintile</td>
<td>53.6</td>
<td>57.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th Quintile</td>
<td>54.8</td>
<td>62.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5th Quintile most disadvantaged</td>
<td>52.1</td>
<td>60.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Estimated Number</th>
<th>NSW</th>
<th>NSW</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1,307,600</td>
<td>1,544,900</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>194,200</td>
<td>234,800</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>218,100</td>
<td>292,800</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>289,700</td>
<td>313,300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>349,600</td>
<td>377,500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>255,900</td>
<td>326,500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.
FIGURE 117
ATTENDED A COMMUNITY EVENT AT LEAST ONCE IN THE LAST 6 MONTHS BY HEALTH AREA, PERSONS AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002

![Bar chart showing percentage of males and females who attended a community event at least once in the last 6 months by health area.]

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.

TABLE 32
ATTENDED A COMMUNITY EVENT AT LEAST ONCE IN THE LAST 6 MONTHS BY HEALTH AREA, PERSONS AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>% Males</th>
<th>LL 95%CI</th>
<th>UL 95%CI (est. no.)</th>
<th>% Females</th>
<th>LL 95%CI</th>
<th>UL 95%CI (est. no.)</th>
<th>% Persons</th>
<th>LL 95%CI</th>
<th>UL 95%CI (est. no.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Central Sydney</td>
<td>53.7</td>
<td>47.3</td>
<td>60 107500</td>
<td>58.1</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>63.2 119400</td>
<td>55.9</td>
<td>51.8</td>
<td>60 226800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Sydney</td>
<td>56.1</td>
<td>49.6</td>
<td>62.6 171500</td>
<td>59.8</td>
<td>53.8</td>
<td>65.8 194600</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>53.6</td>
<td>62.4 366100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Sydney</td>
<td>47.6</td>
<td>40.6</td>
<td>54.6 121100</td>
<td>55.2</td>
<td>49.6</td>
<td>60.9 145900</td>
<td>51.5</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>56 267100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wentworth</td>
<td>55.3</td>
<td>48.5</td>
<td>62 64100</td>
<td>64.5</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>70 77100</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>55.6</td>
<td>64.3 141100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South West Sydney</td>
<td>56.5</td>
<td>49.9</td>
<td>63.1 169200</td>
<td>55.7</td>
<td>49.9</td>
<td>61.4 167900</td>
<td>56.1</td>
<td>51.7</td>
<td>60.5 337100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Coast</td>
<td>54.1</td>
<td>46.8</td>
<td>61.5 58200</td>
<td>57.8</td>
<td>51.9</td>
<td>63.6 67100</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>51.4</td>
<td>60.7 125200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hunter</td>
<td>48.4</td>
<td>41.4</td>
<td>55.4 99800</td>
<td>61.2</td>
<td>55.8</td>
<td>66.6 129900</td>
<td>54.9</td>
<td>50.4</td>
<td>59.4 229700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illawarra</td>
<td>52.4</td>
<td>45.6</td>
<td>59.3 68000</td>
<td>60.5</td>
<td>55.3</td>
<td>65.6 82000</td>
<td>56.5</td>
<td>52.3</td>
<td>60.8 150000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South East Sydney</td>
<td>42.7</td>
<td>36.1</td>
<td>49.2 133900</td>
<td>54.5</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>59.9 172900</td>
<td>48.6</td>
<td>44.3</td>
<td>52.9 306800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Rivers</td>
<td>58.3</td>
<td>51.8</td>
<td>64.9 57200</td>
<td>66.2</td>
<td>60.7</td>
<td>71.6 67900</td>
<td>62.3</td>
<td>58.1</td>
<td>66.5 125200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid North Coast</td>
<td>56.4</td>
<td>49.7</td>
<td>63.1 54600</td>
<td>67.8</td>
<td>62.2</td>
<td>73.3 70100</td>
<td>62.3</td>
<td>57.9</td>
<td>66.6 124700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New England</td>
<td>60.7</td>
<td>53.9</td>
<td>67.4 38500</td>
<td>72.2</td>
<td>66.5</td>
<td>77.9 47200</td>
<td>66.5</td>
<td>62.1</td>
<td>70.9 85700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macquarie</td>
<td>59.1</td>
<td>52.3</td>
<td>66 22000</td>
<td>65.9</td>
<td>60.4</td>
<td>71.4 24700</td>
<td>62.5</td>
<td>58.2</td>
<td>66.9 48600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Western</td>
<td>64.5</td>
<td>58.5</td>
<td>70.5 39000</td>
<td>72.4</td>
<td>67.7</td>
<td>77.1 45300</td>
<td>68.5</td>
<td>64.7</td>
<td>72.3 84300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Far West</td>
<td>54.1</td>
<td>47.3</td>
<td>60.9 10100</td>
<td>64.4</td>
<td>58.3</td>
<td>70.5 11500</td>
<td>59.1</td>
<td>54.5</td>
<td>63.7 21600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Murray</td>
<td>57.7</td>
<td>50.7</td>
<td>64.7 54300</td>
<td>72.5</td>
<td>67.9</td>
<td>77.1 70900</td>
<td>65.3</td>
<td>61.1</td>
<td>69.5 125200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern</td>
<td>55.7</td>
<td>49.2</td>
<td>62.1 38600</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>67.3</td>
<td>76.6 50600</td>
<td>63.9</td>
<td>59.9</td>
<td>67.9 89200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>51.4</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>53.8 993200</td>
<td>57.9</td>
<td>55.9</td>
<td>59.9 1156700</td>
<td>54.7</td>
<td>53.1</td>
<td>56.3 2149900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>58.4</td>
<td>55.9</td>
<td>61 314300</td>
<td>69.6</td>
<td>67.6</td>
<td>71.6 388200</td>
<td>64.1</td>
<td>62.5</td>
<td>65.7 702500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSW</td>
<td>52.9</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>54.9 1307600</td>
<td>60.5</td>
<td>58.9</td>
<td>62.1 1544900</td>
<td>56.8</td>
<td>55.5</td>
<td>58 2852400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Estimates are based on 12600 respondents in NSW. 22 (0.17 per cent) were ‘not stated’ (Don’t know or Refused) for this indicator in NSW. The indicator includes those who have attended at least one community event in the last six months. The question used was ‘In the past six months, how often have you attended a local community event such as a church or school fete, school concert, or a street fair?’. Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.
FIGURE 118
TRUST AND SAFETY IN LOCAL AREA, PERSONS AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Estimated Number</th>
<th>Males</th>
<th>Per cent</th>
<th>Females</th>
<th>Per cent</th>
<th>Estimated Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1,899,500</td>
<td>78.0</td>
<td>1,879,500</td>
<td>55.8</td>
<td>1,387,800</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,650,300</td>
<td>69.1</td>
<td>1,387,800</td>
<td>62.5</td>
<td>1,507,900</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,786,600</td>
<td>75.2</td>
<td>1,705,100</td>
<td>71.3</td>
<td>1,705,100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.

FIGURE 119
MOST PEOPLE CAN BE TRUSTED BY AGE, PERSONS AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Estimated Number</th>
<th>Males</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Females</th>
<th>Per cent</th>
<th>Estimated Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100,700</td>
<td>76.1</td>
<td>75+</td>
<td>73.3</td>
<td>141,100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142,700</td>
<td>68.2</td>
<td>65-74</td>
<td>66.6</td>
<td>150,500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>209,700</td>
<td>68.5</td>
<td>55-64</td>
<td>63.2</td>
<td>184,800</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>321,600</td>
<td>73.5</td>
<td>45-54</td>
<td>65.7</td>
<td>281,300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>345,500</td>
<td>70.7</td>
<td>35-44</td>
<td>64.4</td>
<td>313,500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>309,500</td>
<td>66.0</td>
<td>25-34</td>
<td>59.0</td>
<td>277,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>243,100</td>
<td>63.9</td>
<td>16-24</td>
<td>55.2</td>
<td>210,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,672,900</td>
<td>69.0</td>
<td>NSW</td>
<td>62.9</td>
<td>1,558,100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.
### FIGURE 120

**MOST PEOPLE CAN BE TRUSTED BY SOCIOECONOMIC DISADVANTAGE SCORE, PERSONS AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quintile</th>
<th>Males Estimated Number</th>
<th>Females Estimated Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5th Quintile</td>
<td>287,400</td>
<td>56.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th Quintile</td>
<td>419,500</td>
<td>60.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd Quintile</td>
<td>376,200</td>
<td>61.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd Quintile</td>
<td>310,000</td>
<td>67.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st Quintile</td>
<td>279,700</td>
<td>72.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSW</td>
<td>1,672,900</td>
<td>62.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:** NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.

### FIGURE 121

**MOST PEOPLE CAN BE TRUSTED BY HEALTH AREA, PERSONS AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Health Area</th>
<th>Males Estimated Number</th>
<th>Females Estimated Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Central Sydney</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Sydney</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Sydney</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wentworth</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South West Sydney</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Coast</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hunter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illawarra</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South East Sydney</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Rivers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid North Coast</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New England</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macquarie</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Western</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Far West</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Murray</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSW</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:** NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.
TABLE 33
MOST PEOPLE CAN BE TRusted BY HEALTH AREA, PERSONS AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Males</th>
<th>Females</th>
<th>Persons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>%</td>
<td>LL 95%CI</td>
<td>UL 95%CI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Sydney</td>
<td>61.8</td>
<td>55.4</td>
<td>68.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Sydney</td>
<td>78.1</td>
<td>73.5</td>
<td>82.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Sydney</td>
<td>62.9</td>
<td>56.1</td>
<td>69.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wentworth</td>
<td>71.2</td>
<td>65.1</td>
<td>77.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South West Sydney</td>
<td>63.2</td>
<td>56.6</td>
<td>69.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Coast</td>
<td>65.8</td>
<td>58.7</td>
<td>73.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hunter</td>
<td>65.7</td>
<td>59.2</td>
<td>72.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illawarra</td>
<td>67.5</td>
<td>61.1</td>
<td>73.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South East Sydney</td>
<td>72.6</td>
<td>66.5</td>
<td>78.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Rivers</td>
<td>69.5</td>
<td>62.3</td>
<td>76.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid North Coast</td>
<td>72.5</td>
<td>66.4</td>
<td>78.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New England</td>
<td>70.5</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>77.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macquarie</td>
<td>67.6</td>
<td>60.9</td>
<td>74.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid West</td>
<td>73.7</td>
<td>67.9</td>
<td>79.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Far West</td>
<td>73.2</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>79.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Murray</td>
<td>70.7</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>77.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern</td>
<td>81.9</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>86.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>68.1</td>
<td>65.8</td>
<td>70.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>72.4</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>74.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSW</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>67.2</td>
<td>70.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Estimates are based on 12252 respondents in NSW.
370 (2.93 per cent) were ‘not stated’ (Don’t know or Refused) for this indicator in NSW.
The indicator includes those who strongly agree, or agree that most people can be trusted. The question used was ‘Most people can be trusted. Do you strongly agree, agree, disagree or strongly disagree?’.
Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.

FIGURE 122
RECIPIROCITY–SOCIAL ENGAGEMENT, PERSONS AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.
FIGURE 123
VISIT NEIGHBOURS BY AGE, PERSONS AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.

FIGURE 124
VISIT NEIGHBOURS BY SOCIOECONOMIC DISADVANTAGE SCORE, PERSONS AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.
FIGURE 125

VISIT NEIGHBOURS BY HEALTH AREA, PERSONS AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002

TABLE 34

VISIT NEIGHBOURS BY HEALTH AREA, PERSONS AGED 16 YEARS AND OVER, NSW, 2002

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Males</th>
<th>Females</th>
<th>Persons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>%</td>
<td>LL 95%CI</td>
<td>UL 95%CI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Sydney</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>55.9</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Sydney</td>
<td>62.2</td>
<td>55.9</td>
<td>68.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Sydney</td>
<td>66.5</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>72.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wentworth</td>
<td>64.9</td>
<td>58.5</td>
<td>71.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South West Sydney</td>
<td>70.4</td>
<td>64.4</td>
<td>76.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Coast</td>
<td>75.5</td>
<td>69.1</td>
<td>81.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hunter</td>
<td>75.3</td>
<td>69.4</td>
<td>81.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illawarra</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South East Sydney</td>
<td>62.2</td>
<td>55.9</td>
<td>68.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Rivers</td>
<td>74.2</td>
<td>68.5</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid North Coast</td>
<td>74.3</td>
<td>68.4</td>
<td>80.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New England</td>
<td>71.4</td>
<td>64.8</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macquarie</td>
<td>74.1</td>
<td>67.1</td>
<td>81.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Western</td>
<td>70.7</td>
<td>64.7</td>
<td>76.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Far West</td>
<td>69.8</td>
<td>63.3</td>
<td>76.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Murray</td>
<td>73.7</td>
<td>67.5</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern</td>
<td>77.6</td>
<td>72.3</td>
<td>82.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>67.3</td>
<td>65.1</td>
<td>69.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>73.7</td>
<td>71.4</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSW</td>
<td>68.7</td>
<td>66.9</td>
<td>70.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Estimates are based on 12603 respondents in NSW. 19 (0.15 per cent) were ‘not stated’ (Don’t know or Refused) for this indicator in NSW. The indicator includes those who visited someone in their neighbourhhood at least once in the past week. The question used was “How often have you visited someone in your neighbourhood in the past week?”

Source: NSW Health Survey 2002 (HOIST) Centre for Epidemiology and Research, NSW Department of Health.
11. CONCLUSION

The New South Wales Continuous Health Survey commenced in 2002. This report, on the health of NSW adults aged 16 years and over, is the inaugural report from this program.

Data were collected on a range of health behaviours, health status, use of and satisfaction with health services, social capital, and demographic information. Where possible indicators have been aligned with those collected in previous NSW health surveys, so that time series trends can be examined. Some of the trends and changes over the last six years are highlighted below.

**Health behaviours**

Health behaviours are known to influence health and wellbeing. Between 1997 and 2002 there have been changes in some health behaviours with discernible effects. The proportion of smoke-free households (69.8 per cent to 81.0 per cent), and the proportion of homes with a smoke alarm or detector (58.2 per cent to 72.9 per cent) has increased. There has been a notable reduction in the proportion of people who are current smokers (24.0 per cent to 21.4 per cent), who participate in any risk drinking behaviour (42.3 per cent to 34.7 per cent), and who consume reduced- or low-fat milk (45.7 per cent to 43.4 per cent).

Many health behaviours have remained unchanged. The proportion of people eating the recommended daily fruit (45.3 per cent) and vegetable intake (16.2 per cent) is unchanged. People are not exercising any more or less and only 46.6 per cent undertake adequate physical activity. Among women the rates of Pap tests (74.6 per cent) and screening mammograms (75.2 per cent) within the last two years are also unchanged.

A number of indicators have been reported for the first time and trends in these new indicators will continue to be monitored. Among people who drink alcohol, 14.4 per cent engage in high-risk drinking. Exposures to a range of environmental substances that affect health have been explored, such as exposure to indoor air pollution, wood smoke via wood fires, or benzene via garages attached to homes, and exposure to mosquitoes. Use of public water supplies, water quality, and exposure to blue green algae through recreational water use are also examined. Vaccinations for influenza and pneumococcal pneumonia in people over 65 years are also reported.

**Health status**

Monitoring the health status of a population helps to detect emerging patterns of illness and disease and provides information to inform policy and planning of health services. There have been some obvious changes in the health status of the population between 1997 and 2002.

The proportion of people reporting diabetes (4.7 per cent to 6.1 per cent), high and very high physiological stress (10.5 per cent to 12.2 per cent), overweight and obesity (42.2 per cent to 46.3 per cent), and reporting they had ever been told they had high blood pressure (16.3 per cent to 19.9 per cent) have all increased. The proportion of people who have had their cholesterol measured within two years (47.2 per cent to 53.5 per cent), or who report no natural teeth missing (35.0 per cent to 37.2 per cent) has also increased. Excellent, very good, or good self-rated health status has decreased from 84.9 per cent to 80.7 per cent. There has been no change in the proportion of people reporting current asthma (10.6 per cent), or reporting that they have had their blood pressure last measured within two years (86.7 per cent).

For the first time, information on chemical sensitivity and workplace related injuries has been collected.

**Health services**

As part of the continuing commitment to monitoring satisfaction with health services in NSW, questions were asked about the use of and satisfaction with a range of services. These included difficulties getting health care when needed, admission to hospital, or attendance at an emergency department, or use of community health centres or public dental services.

Between 1997 and 2002 the proportion of people reporting difficulties getting health care when needed increased (10.0 per cent to 12.6 per cent) and the proportion of people giving a positive rating of emergency department care decreased (80.3 per cent to 76.5 per cent).

There were no changes in the proportion of people who gave positive ratings of hospital inpatient care (91.0 per cent). Emergency department attendance in the previous 12 months (14.3 per cent) and hospital admission in the previous 12 months (13.9 per cent) remained unchanged between 1997 and 2002.

For the first time information on attendance at and rating of public dental services and community health centres was collected and these will continue to be monitored.

**Social capital**

The term ‘social capital’ refers to the institutions, relationships, and norms that shape social networks, foster trust, and facilitate coordination and cooperation for mutual benefit. The New South Wales Adult Health Survey 2002 included questions on social reciprocity and neighbourhood connection, feelings of trust and safety, and participation in the local community. This is the first time that questions on social capital have been included in an adult survey conducted by the NSW Health Survey Program.
There are a number of changes for the 2003 health survey. In the health status section, expanded modules on asthma (focusing on medications and severity) and diabetes (focusing on complications and screening) will be included. The section on cardiovascular precursors will not be included in the 2003 survey.

In addition there are new modules on food handling, incidence of gastrointestinal disease, incontinence, and sun protection. Finally, the mammographic and cervical cancer screening modules will be suspended in 2003, along with the hysterectomy rate module. In addition the physical activity module will be cut down with the exclusion of the household chore and gardening component.

Table 35 provides a summary of the trends observed between 1997 and 2002, in key indicators monitored by the New South Wales Health Survey Program.

The continued monitoring of indicators via the New South Wales Continuous Health Survey Program will provide information that will assist health professionals, health planners, and those involved in policy development to plan, implement, and evaluate health programs and initiatives within the community and within population target groups.

### TABLE 35

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Males (95%CI)</th>
<th>Females (95%CI)</th>
<th>Persons (95%CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Health</td>
<td>Alcohol risk drinking (Guideline 1)</td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>50.7 (49.3–52.2)</td>
<td>34.1 (32.9–35.4)</td>
<td>42.3 (41.3–43.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>50.5 (49.0–52.1)</td>
<td>36.2 (34.9–37.5)</td>
<td>43.2 (42.2–44.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>39.2 (37.3–41.1)</td>
<td>29.7 (28.1–31.2)</td>
<td>34.4 (33.1–35.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High risk drinking in the past 4 weeks</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>16.7 (15.0–18.4)</td>
<td>11.7 (10.3–13.1)</td>
<td>14.4 (13.3–15.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pap smear test within the last 2 years</td>
<td>1997</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>77.3 (75.9–78.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>74.6 (72.8–76.4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Screening mammogram within the last 2 years</td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>73.3 (70.9–75.7)</td>
<td>73.3 (70.9–75.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>76.4 (74.1–78.7)</td>
<td>76.4 (74.1–78.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>75.2 (72.6–77.8)</td>
<td>75.2 (72.6–77.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hysterectomy rate</td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>13.3 (12.4–14.1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>13.0 (12.2–13.9)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>12.1 (11.1–13.1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Use public water as usual source of water</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>81.1 (79.5–82.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Recreational water use limited by blue green algae in last 12 months</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>6.9 (5.9–7.9)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gas cooking without ventilation</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>55.6 (52.9–58.3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Exposure to unflued heating</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>22.6 (20.6–24.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Potential exposure to benzene through internally-accessed garages</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>22.2 (20.0–24.3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vaccinated against influenza in the last 12 months</td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>55.8 (52.3–59.2)</td>
<td>58.2 (55.3–61.0)</td>
<td>57.1 (54.9–59.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>61.9 (58.5–65.3)</td>
<td>64.5 (61.8–67.2)</td>
<td>63.3 (61.2–65.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>75.3 (72.4–78.3)</td>
<td>75.7 (73.0–78.3)</td>
<td>75.5 (73.5–77.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topic</td>
<td>Indicator</td>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Males (95%CI)</td>
<td>Females (95%CI)</td>
<td>Persons (95%CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaccinated against pneumococcal disease</td>
<td>in the last 5 years</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>36.7 (33.3–40.1)</td>
<td>41.5 (38.5–44.4)</td>
<td>39.4 (37.2–41.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homes with a smoke alarm or detector</td>
<td></td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>58.2 (57.2–59.1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1998</td>
<td></td>
<td>64.0 (63.0–65.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2002</td>
<td></td>
<td>72.9 (71.8–74.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommended daily fruit intake</td>
<td></td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>58.2 (57.2–59.1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>64.0 (63.0–65.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2002</td>
<td></td>
<td>72.9 (71.8–74.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommended vegetable intake</td>
<td></td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>58.2 (57.2–59.1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>64.0 (63.0–65.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2002</td>
<td></td>
<td>72.9 (71.8–74.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Usual use of low fat, reduced fat or skim</td>
<td>milk</td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>37.5 (36.0–38.9)</td>
<td>53.8 (52.4–55.1)</td>
<td>45.7 (44.7–46.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>38.8 (37.3–40.3)</td>
<td>52.4 (51.1–53.8)</td>
<td>45.7 (44.7–46.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>35.8 (34.0–37.6)</td>
<td>50.7 (49.0–52.4)</td>
<td>43.4 (42.1–44.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food insecurity last 12 months</td>
<td></td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>52.2 (50.7–53.7)</td>
<td>43.1 (41.8–44.4)</td>
<td>47.6 (46.6–48.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>50.4 (48.4–52.3)</td>
<td>42.9 (41.2–44.5)</td>
<td>46.6 (45.3–47.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>52.2 (50.7–53.7)</td>
<td>43.1 (41.8–44.4)</td>
<td>47.6 (46.6–48.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health status</td>
<td>Excellent, very good, or good self–rated health status</td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>84.9 (83.9–85.8)</td>
<td>85.0 (84.1–85.9)</td>
<td>84.9 (83.4–85.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>84.9 (83.9–85.8)</td>
<td>83.0 (82.1–83.9)</td>
<td>83.9 (83.2–84.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>81.8 (80.3–83.3)</td>
<td>79.7 (78.5–81.0)</td>
<td>80.7 (79.7–81.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ever diagnosed with asthma</td>
<td></td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>14.9 (13.9–16.0)</td>
<td>18.1 (17.1–19.2)</td>
<td>16.6 (15.8–17.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>15.4 (14.3–16.5)</td>
<td>18.0 (17.0–19.0)</td>
<td>16.7 (16.0–17.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>18.3 (16.8–19.9)</td>
<td>20.9 (19.6–22.3)</td>
<td>19.6 (18.6–20.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current asthma</td>
<td></td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>8.7 (7.9–9.5)</td>
<td>11.9 (11.0–12.8)</td>
<td>10.3 (9.7–10.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>8.9 (8.0–9.8)</td>
<td>10.9 (10.1–11.7)</td>
<td>9.9 (9.3–10.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>9.1 (8.0–10.2)</td>
<td>12.0 (11.0–13.0)</td>
<td>10.6 (9.8–11.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood pressure measured within the last 2</td>
<td>years</td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>82.9 (81.7–84.0)</td>
<td>91.7 (90.9–92.4)</td>
<td>87.3 (86.7–88.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>83.2 (82.0–84.4)</td>
<td>91.8 (91.0–92.5)</td>
<td>87.5 (86.8–88.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>82.4 (80.8–84.0)</td>
<td>90.8 (89.8–91.9)</td>
<td>86.7 (85.8–87.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High blood pressure</td>
<td></td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>16.7 (15.6–17.7)</td>
<td>16.1 (15.1–17.0)</td>
<td>16.3 (15.7–17.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>17.2 (16.1–18.4)</td>
<td>17.1 (16.2–18.1)</td>
<td>17.2 (16.5–17.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>20.9 (19.4–22.4)</td>
<td>19.0 (17.9–20.2)</td>
<td>19.9 (19.0–20.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol measured within last 2 years</td>
<td></td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>47.8 (46.4–49.3)</td>
<td>46.5 (45.3–47.9)</td>
<td>47.2 (46.2–48.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>50.8 (49.3–52.4)</td>
<td>47.5 (46.2–48.8)</td>
<td>49.1 (48.1–50.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>54.7 (52.6–56.7)</td>
<td>52.4 (50.7–54.1)</td>
<td>53.5 (52.2–54.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High cholesterol</td>
<td></td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>25.0 (23.4–26.5)</td>
<td>23.6 (22.2–24.9)</td>
<td>24.3 (23.2–25.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>21.5 (20.0–23.0)</td>
<td>21.4 (20.1–22.6)</td>
<td>21.4 (20.5–22.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>25.3 (23.4–27.3)</td>
<td>24.4 (22.6–26.0)</td>
<td>24.9 (23.6–26.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnosed chemical sensitivity</td>
<td></td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>2.4 (1.9–3.0)</td>
<td>3.4 (2.8–4.1)</td>
<td>2.9 (2.5–3.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes or high blood sugar</td>
<td></td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>5.2 (4.6–5.8)</td>
<td>4.3 (3.8–4.8)</td>
<td>4.7 (4.3–5.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>4.9 (4.2–5.5)</td>
<td>4.0 (3.5–4.5)</td>
<td>4.5 (4.0–4.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>6.6 (5.8–7.4)</td>
<td>5.7 (5.0–6.4)</td>
<td>6.1 (5.6–6.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work related injury in last 12 months</td>
<td></td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>17.9 (16.0–19.7)</td>
<td>12.8 (11.3–14.3)</td>
<td>15.6 (14.4–16.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### TABLE 35 continued

**TRENDS IN INDICATORS, NSW, 1997–2002**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Males (95%CI)</th>
<th>Females (95%CI)</th>
<th>Persons (95%CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>High and very high psychological distress</strong></td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>9.2 (8.4–10.0)</td>
<td>12.9 (12.0–13.8)</td>
<td>11.1 (10.5–11.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>9.0 (8.1–9.9)</td>
<td>12.0 (11.1–12.8)</td>
<td>10.5 (9.9–11.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>10.5 (9.3–11.6)</td>
<td>14.0 (12.8–15.1)</td>
<td>12.2 (11.4–13.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>No natural teeth missing</strong></td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>36.3 (34.8–37.8)</td>
<td>33.7 (32.4–35.0)</td>
<td>35.0 (34.0–36.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>37.9 (36.0–39.9)</td>
<td>36.6 (34.9–38.2)</td>
<td>37.2 (36.0–38.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>49.7 (48.3–51.2)</td>
<td>34.5 (33.3–35.8)</td>
<td>42.2 (41.2–43.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Overweight and obesity</strong></td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>49.7 (48.3–51.2)</td>
<td>34.5 (33.3–35.8)</td>
<td>42.2 (41.2–43.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>50.3 (48.7–51.8)</td>
<td>34.5 (33.2–35.7)</td>
<td>42.5 (41.4–43.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>53.9 (52.0–55.9)</td>
<td>38.5 (36.9–40.1)</td>
<td>46.3 (45.0–47.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Health services**         | Difficulties getting health care when needing it | 1997 | 8.9 (8.1–9.7) | 11.1 (10.4–11.9) | 10.0 (9.5–10.6) |
|                            | 1998                                           | 8.6 (7.8–9.4)   | 11.9 (11.1–12.6) | 10.3 (9.7–10.8)       |
|                            | 2002                                           | 10.9 (9.7–12.0) | 14.2 (13.1–15.3) | 12.6 (11.8–13.4)       |
|                            | Emergency department attendance in the previous 12 months | 1997 | 15.7 (14.7–16.7) | 11.9 (11.1–12.7) | 13.8 (13.1–14.4) |
|                            | 1998                                           | 13.9 (12.9–14.9) | 12.0 (11.2–12.8) | 12.9 (12.3–13.6)       |
|                            | 2002                                           | 14.7 (13.4–16.0) | 13.8 (12.8–14.9) | 14.3 (13.4–15.1)       |
|                            | Emergency department care rated as excellent, very good or good | 1997 | 80.5 (77.7–83.4) | 79.9 (77.0–82.9) | 80.3 (78.2–82.3) |
|                            | 1998                                           | 82.6 (79.5–85.6) | 78.6 (75.7–81.5) | 80.7 (78.6–82.8)       |
|                            | 2002                                           | 79.8 (75.9–83.7) | 73.2 (69.3–77.0) | 76.5 (73.8–79.3)       |
|                            | Hospital admission in the previous 12 months | 1997 | 11.3 (10.4–12.2) | 14.7 (13.8–15.5) | 13.0 (12.4–13.6) |
|                            | 1998                                           | 11.5 (10.6–12.4) | 15.4 (14.5–16.3) | 13.5 (12.8–14.1)       |
|                            | 2002                                           | 11.3 (10.1–12.4) | 16.3 (15.1–17.6) | 13.9 (13.0–14.7)       |
|                            | Hospital care rated as excellent, very good or good | 1997 | 90.3 (87.9–92.7) | 89.9 (87.9–91.9) | 90.1 (88.5–91.6) |
|                            | 1998                                           | 92.5 (90.3–94.6) | 90.0 (88.1–91.9) | 91.0 (89.6–92.5)       |
|                            | 2002                                           | 93.5 (90.7–96.2) | 89.3 (86.4–92.2) | 91.0 (88.9–93.0)       |
|                            | Community health centre attendance in the previous 12 months | 2002 | 4.8 (4.0–5.6) | 8.9 (8.0–9.9) | 6.9 (6.3–7.5) |
|                            | Community health centre care rated as excellent, very good or good | 2002 | 91.6 (86.8–96.3) | 93.7 (91.0–96.4) | 92.9 (90.5–95.4) |
|                            | Public dental service attendance in the previous 12 months | 2002 | 3.9 (3.1–4.6) | 5.2 (4.4–5.9) | 4.5 (4.0–5.0) |
|                            | Public dental service care rated as excellent, very good or good | 2002 | 81.7 (74.4–89.1) | 80.7 (75.1–88.4) | 81.2 (76.7–85.6) |

| **Social Capital**          | Attended a community event at least once in the last 6 months | 2002 | 52.9 (51.0–54.9) | 60.5 (58.9–62.1) | 56.8 (55.5–58.0) |
|                            | Helped out any local group or organisation at least once in the past 3 months | 2002 | 30.5 (28.7–32.2) | 35.7 (34.1–37.3) | 33.1 (32.0–34.3) |
|                            | Active member of a local organisation, church or club | 2002 | 45.5 (43.6–47.5) | 42.3 (40.7–43.9) | 43.9 (42.6–45.1) |
|                            | Most people can be trusted | 2002 | 69.0 (67.2–70.8) | 62.9 (61.3–64.6) | 65.9 (64.7–67.2) |
|                            | Feel safe walking down their street after dark | 2002 | 78.0 (76.4–79.5) | 55.8 (54.2–57.5) | 66.8 (65.6–67.9) |
|                            | Area has a reputation for being a safe place | 2002 | 75.2 (73.6–76.9) | 71.6 (70.1–73.1) | 73.4 (72.3–74.5) |
|                            | Visit neighbours | 2002 | 68.7 (66.9–70.5) | 63.2 (61.6–64.8) | 65.9 (64.7–67.1) |
|                            | Able to ask for neighbourhood help to care for a child | 2002 | 73.3 (71.5–75.1) | 68.0 (66.4–69.6) | 70.6 (69.4–71.8) |
|                            | Run into friends and acquaintances when shopping in local area | 2002 | 80.4 (78.8–82.0) | 83.7 (82.4–84.9) | 82.0 (81.1–83.0) |
|                            | Sad to leave neighbourhood | 2002 | 71.2 (69.4–73.0) | 75.7 (74.3–77.2) | 73.5 (72.4–74.7) |
QUESTION MODULES

The survey questions used in the *New South Wales Adult Health Survey 2002* are available as individual question modules. This includes modules on alcohol, asthma, cancer screening, cardiovascular disease precursors, chemical sensitivity, community health centres, demographics, diabetes, difficulties getting health care, emergency departments, hospitals, immunisation, injury prevention, work-related injury, mental health, nutrition, oral health, overweight and obesity, physical activity, public dental services, self-rated health, smoking, and social capital.

Alcohol question module

Now I would like to ask you some questions about alcohol.

Q1. How often do you usually drink alcohol? [PROMPT IF NECESSARY]
   1. ___ Number of days
   2. Less than once per week
   3. don’t drink alcohol
      → END OF MODULE
   X Don’t know
   R Refused

Q2. Alcoholic drinks are measured in terms of a ‘standard drink’. A standard drink is equal to 1 middy of full-strength beer, 1 schooner of light beer, 1 small glass of wine or 1 pub-sized nip of spirits. On a day when you drink alcohol, how many standard drinks do you usually have? [PROMPT IF NECESSARY]
   1. ___ Number of drinks
   X Don’t know
   R Refused

Q3. In the past 4 weeks have you had more than [4 if male; 2 if female] drinks in a day? [PROMPT IF NECESSARY]
   1. Yes
   2. No → END OF MODULE
   X Don’t know → END OF MODULE
   R Refused → END OF MODULE

Q4. In the past 4 weeks how often have you had [11 or more if male; 7 or more if female] drinks in a day?
   1. ___ Number of times
   2. Not at all
   X Don’t know
   R Refused

Q5. In the past 4 weeks how often have you had [7–10 if male; 5–6 if female] drinks in a day?
   1. ___ Number of times
   2. Not at all
   X Don’t know
   R Refused

Asthma question module

The next few questions are about asthma.

Q1. Have you ever been told by a doctor or at a hospital that you have asthma?
   1. Yes
   2. No → END OF MODULE
   X Don’t know → END OF MODULE
   R Refused → END OF MODULE

Q2. Have you had symptoms of asthma or taken treatment for asthma in the last 12 months?
   1. Yes
   2. No → END OF MODULE
   X Don’t know → END OF MODULE
   R Refused → END OF MODULE

Q3. Have you had symptoms of asthma or taken treatment for asthma in the last 4 weeks?
   1. Yes
   2. No → END OF MODULE
   X Don’t know → END OF MODULE
   R Refused → END OF MODULE

Q4. Have you visited your GP or local doctor for an attack of asthma in the last 4 weeks?
   1. Yes
   2. No
   X Don’t know
   R Refused

Q5. Have you visited a hospital emergency department for an attack of asthma in the last 4 weeks?
   1. Yes
   2. No
   X Don’t know
   R Refused

Cancer screening question module

I would now like to ask you some questions about women’s health matters.

Q1. A mammogram is an X-ray taken of the breasts by a machine that presses against the breast while the picture is taken. It is a means of detecting breast cancer in the early stages. Have you ever had a mammogram?
   1. Yes
   2. No → Q6
   X Don’t know → Q6
   R Refused → Q6
Q2. When did you last have a mammogram?
   1. Less than 1 year ago
   2. 1 year to less than 2 years ago
   3. 2 years to less than 3 years ago
   4. 3 years to less than 4 years ago
   5. 4 years to less than 5 years ago
   6. 5 or more years ago
   X Don’t know
   R Refused

Q3. Can you tell me all the reasons why you had your last mammogram? [MULTIPLE RESPONSE]
   1. Breast problem (lump, discharge, or pain)
   2. Family history
   3. Had breast cancer in the past
   4. Regular check up
   5. Due for screening mammogram
   6. Doctor recommended it
   7. An invitation from BreastScreen or a breast screening and assessment unit
   8. Publicity about breast cancer and screening
   9. Urged by a friend or relative to go
   10. Other [SPECIFY]
   11. Don’t know

Q4. Do you have mammograms regularly?
   1. Yes
   2. No → Q6
   X Don’t know → Q6
   R Refused → Q6

Q5. What is the usual time period between your mammograms?
   1. ___ Number of years
   2. Only had one
   X Don’t know
   R Refused

Q6. A Pap test, sometimes called a Pap smear, is a routine test carried out by a doctor. It is recommended for all women for early detection of cancer of the cervix. Have you ever had a Pap test?
   1. Yes
   2. No → END OF MODULE
   X Don’t know → END OF MODULE
   R Refused

Q7. When did you last have a Pap test?
   1. Less than 1 year ago
   2. 1 year to less than 2 years ago
   3. 2 years to less than 3 years ago
   4. 3 years to less than 4 years ago
   5. 4 years to less than 5 years ago
   6. 5 or more years ago
   X Don’t know

Q8. Do you have Pap tests regularly?
   1. Yes
   2. No → END OF MODULE
   X Don’t know → END OF MODULE
   R Refused → END OF MODULE

Q9. What is the usual time period between your Pap tests?
   1. Only had one Pap Test
   2. Less than 1 year ago
   3. ___ Number of years
   X Don’t know
   R Refused

Cardiovascular disease precursors question module

Now I would like to ask you about blood pressure and cholesterol.

Q1. When did you last have your blood pressure measured?
   1. 0–3 months
   2. 4–6 months
   3. 7–12 months
   4. 13 months to 2 years ago
   5. More than 2 years ago
   6. Never measured → Q4
   X Don’t know
   R Refused

Q2. Have you ever been told by a doctor or at a hospital that you have high blood pressure sometimes called hypertension?
   1. Yes
   2. Yes, but only during pregnancy → Q4
   3. Yes, but only temporarily → Q4
   4. No → Q4
   X Don’t know → Q4
   R Refused → Q4

Q3. What are you doing now to manage your high blood pressure or hypertension? [MULTIPLE RESPONSE]
   1. Following a diet (including reducing salty food, weight reduction diet)
   2. Trying to lose weight
   3. Exercising most days
   4. Taking medication to help lower your blood pressure
   5. Doing anything else to manage your blood pressure [SPECIFY]
   6. Not applicable as no longer have high blood pressure
7. Not doing anything to manage high blood pressure
   X Don’t know
   R Refused

Q4. When did you last have your cholesterol measured?
   1. 0–6 months
   2. 7–12 months
   3. 13 months to 2 years ago
   4. More than 2 years ago
   5. Never measured
      → END OF MODULE
   X Don’t know
   R Refused

Q5. Have you ever been told by a doctor or at a hospital that you have high cholesterol?
   1. Yes
   2. No → END OF MODULE
   3. Borderline
   X Don’t know → END OF MODULE
   R Refused

Q6. What are you doing now to manage your high cholesterol? [MULTIPLE RESPONSE]
   1. Following a diet [including reducing salty food, weight reduction diet]
   2. Trying to lose weight
   3. Exercising most days
   4. Taking medication to help lower your cholesterol
   5. Doing anything else to manage your high cholesterol [SPECIFY]
   6. Not applicable as no longer have high cholesterol
   7. Not doing anything to manage high cholesterol.
   X Don’t know
   R Refused

Chemical sensitivity question module
Now a couple of questions about odours.

Q1. Do certain chemical odours or smells regularly make you unwell?
   1. Yes
   2. No → END OF MODULE
   X Don’t know
   R Refused

Q2. Have you ever been diagnosed with a chemical sensitivity? [Chemical sensitivity is a heightened physical response to chemical odours, which can include symptoms such as headaches, nausea dizziness or other symptoms.]
   1. Yes
   2. No
   X Don’t know
   R Refused

Community health centre question module
The next questions are about your use of health services.

Q1. In the last 12 months, have you attended a government run community health centre?
   1. Yes
   2. No → END OF MODULE
   X Don’t know → END OF MODULE
   R Refused → END OF MODULE

Q2. Overall, what do you think of the care you received at the community health centre?
   [READ OUT]
   1. Excellent → Q4
   2. Very Good → Q4
   3. Good → Q4
   4. Fair
   5. Poor
   X Don’t know → Q4
   R Refused → Q4

Q3. Could you briefly describe why you rated the care you received as fair or poor?
   1. Description_________________

Q4. If you had to use a community health centre again, would you prefer to return to this same community health centre or go to a different community health centre?
   1. Prefer same community health centre
   2. Prefer different community health centre
   3. Depends on condition or reason for going
   X Don’t know
   R Refused

Q5. Did someone at this community health centre tell you how to cope with your condition when you returned home?
   1. Yes
   2. No → END OF MODULE
   3. Not Applicable → END OF MODULE
   X Don’t know → END OF MODULE
   R Refused → END OF MODULE

Q6. How adequate was this information once you went home? [READ OUT]
   1. Very adequate
   2. Adequate
   3. Inadequate
   4. Completely inadequate
Demographics question module

Q1. [RECORD LANGUAGE SURVEY RECORDED IN]
   1. English
   2. Arabic
   3. Chinese
   4. Greek
   5. Italian
   6. Vietnamese

Q2. A letter was sent to your household recently about this study. Do you remember receiving this letter?
   1. Yes
   2. No → Q6
   X Don’t know → Q6
   R Refused → Q6

Q3. How many people, including yourself, live in your household?
   1. ___ Number of people

Q4. How many children under 6 years of age live in this household?
   1. ___ Number of people

Q5. How many people aged 65 years old or over, live in this household?
   1. ___ Number of people

Q6. Could you please tell me how old you are today?
   1. ___ Age in years
   X Don’t know
   R Refused

Q7. Are you male or female? [ONLY ASK IF UNSURE]
   1. Male
   2. Female

Q8. Besides yourself, who else lives in your household? [MULTIPLE RESPONSE]
   1. No-one—lives alone
   2. Mother
   3. Father
   4. Respondent’s partner
   5. Step-mother
   6. Step-father
   7. Grandparents
   8. Sons or daughters
   9. Brothers and sisters
   10. Step-brothers or step-sisters
   11. Other relatives
   12. Non-family members
   13. Other [SPECIFY]

Q9. What is your current formal marital status?
   1. Married
   2. Widowed
   3. Separated but not divorced
   4. Divorced
   5. Never married
   X Don’t know
   R Refused

Q10. In which country were you born?
    1. Australia
    2. ______ Other country [SPECIFY]
    X Don’t know
    R Refused

Q11. When did you first arrive in Australia to live here for one year or more?
    1. ___ Year
    X Don’t know
    R Refused

Q12. Do you usually speak a language other than English at home?
    1. Yes
    2. No
    X Don’t know
    R Refused

Q13. What language do you usually speak at home?
    1. ______ Language [SPECIFY]
    X Don’t know
    R Refused

Q14. What is the highest level of primary or high school that you have completed? [PROMPT IF NECESSARY]
    1. Never attended school
    2. Currently still at school
    3. Year 8 or below
    4. Year 9 or equivalent
    5. Year 10 or equivalent
    6. Year 11 or equivalent
    7. Year 12 or equivalent (Matriculation or Leaving)
    X Don’t know
    R Refused

Q15. What is the level of the highest qualification you have completed?
    1. Completed School Certificate or Intermediate or Year 10 or 4th Form
    2. Completed HSC or Leaving or Year 12 or 6th Form
    3. TAFE certificate or diploma
    4. University, CAE or some other tertiary institute degree or higher
5. Other [SPECIFY]________________
6. Completed Primary School
7. Completed years 7–9
X Don’t know
R Refused

Q16. In the last week, which of the following best describes your employment status?[READ OUT]

1. Worked for payment or profit
2. Worked for payment or profit, but absent on paid leave, holidays, on strike or stood down
3. Unpaid work in a family business
4. Other unpaid work
5. Other unpaid work
6. Did not have a job
X Don’t know
R Refused

Q18. Were you actively looking for work in the last week?

1. Yes—looked for full-time work
2. Yes—looked for part-time work
3. No—did not look for work
X Don’t know
R Refused

Q21. Do you currently receive a government pension, allowance or benefit?

1. Yes
2. No
X Don’t know
R Refused

Q19. In the main job held in the last week, were you:

1. A wage or salary earner
2. Conducting own business with employees
3. Conducting own business without employees
4. A helper not receiving wages
X Don’t know
R Refused

Q22. I would like to ask you some questions about your housing arrangements. Are you:
[READ OUT]

1. Paying rent or board
2. Paying off this dwelling
3. Outright owner or fully owned
4. Living rent free
5. Purchasing under a rent–buy scheme
6. Occupying your dwelling under a life tenure scheme
7. Other [SPECIFY]
X Don’t know
R Refused

Q23. What type of accommodation do you live in?
[PROMPT IF NECESSARY]

1. Separate house
3. Unit, flat or apartment–granny flat
4. Caravan, cabin, houseboat
5. Improvised home, tent, sleeper out
6. House–flat attached to a shop–office
7. Other [SPECIFY] ______ (for example, hotel, retirement village)
X Don’t know
R Refused

Q24. Before tax is taken out, which of the following ranges best describes your household’s approximate income, from all sources, over the last 12 months?

1. Less than $10,000
2. $10,000–$20,000
3. $20,000–$40,000
4. $40,000–$60,000
5. $60,000–$80,000
6. More than $80,000
X Don’t know
R Refused

Q25. How long have you lived in your local area?

1. _____ years
X Don’t know
R Refused

Q26. What is the name of your local council or shire?

1. ______
X Don’t know
R Refused
Q27. What is the name of the town or suburb where you live?
   1. _____
      X Don’t know
      R Refused

Q28. Could you tell me your postcode?
   1. _____
      X Don’t know
      R Refused

Q29. Do you have more than one telephone number in your household?
   1. Yes
   2. No
      X Don’t know
      R Refused

Q30. How many residential telephone numbers do you have? Do not include mobile phone numbers, dedicated fax numbers or modems.
   1. _____ number of phone numbers
      X Don’t know
      R Refused

Diabetes question module

The next few questions are about diabetes and high blood sugar. Diabetes is a disease where there is too much sugar in the blood.

Q1. Have you ever been told by a doctor or at a hospital that you have diabetes?
   1. Yes [If female adult → Q3; if child or male → Q5]
   2. No
   3. Only during pregnancy → END OF MODULE
      X Don’t know
      R Refused

Q2. Have you ever been told by a doctor or at a hospital that you have high blood sugar?
   1. Yes—[If female adult → Q3; if child or male → Q6]
   2. No → END OF MODULE
   3. Borderline—if male → Q6
   4. Only during pregnancy → END OF MODULE
      X Don’t know → END OF MODULE
      R Refused → END OF MODULE

Q3. [If female then ask] Were you pregnant when you were first told you had diabetes or high blood sugar?
   1. Yes
   2. No → Q5
      X Don’t know → Q5
      R Refused → Q5

Q4. [If female then ask] Have you ever had diabetes—high blood sugar apart from when you were pregnant?
   1. Yes
   2. No → END OF MODULE
      X Don’t know
      R Refused

Q5. What type of diabetes were you told you had?
   1. Type 1
   2. Type 2
   3. Gestational
   4. Other [SPECIFY]
      X Don’t know
      R Refused

Q6. How old were you when you were first told you had diabetes or high blood sugar? [If ongoing diabetes since pregnancy, then age of diagnosis during pregnancy]
   1. ___ Years
      X Don’t know
      R Refused

Q7. What are you doing now to manage your diabetes or high blood sugar? [MULTIPLE RESPONSE]
   1. Having insulin injections
   2. On tablets for diabetes or high blood sugar
   3. Following a special diet [for example, reducing sugar and or fat in the diet]
   4. Losing weight
   5. Exercising most days
   6. Doing anything else to manage your diabetes or high blood sugar
   7. Other [SPECIFY]
   8. Not doing anything to control diabetes
      X Don’t know
      R Refused

Q8. Have you been given a blue and orange card about managing your diabetes?
   1. Yes
   2. No
      X Don’t know
      R Refused

Difficulties getting health care question module

Q1. Do you have any difficulties getting health care when you need it?
   1. Yes → Q2
   2. No → END OF MODULE
Q2. Please describe the difficulties you have.
   1. Description ____________________  → END OF MODULE

Q3. Do you have any comments on the health services in your local area?
   1. Comments ____________________

Emergency department question module
The next questions are about your use of health services.

Q1. In the last 12 months, have you attended a hospital emergency department (or casualty) for your own medical care?
   1. Yes
   2. No → END OF MODULE
   X Don’t know → END OF MODULE
   R Refused → END OF MODULE

Q2. Which hospital’s emergency department did you last attend?
   1. Name of hospital _____________

Q3. Overall, what do you think of the care you received at this emergency department? [READ OUT]
   1. Excellent → Q5
   2. Very Good → Q5
   3. Good → Q5
   4. Fair
   5. Poor
   X Don’t know → Q5
   R Refused → Q5

Q4. Could you briefly describe why you rated the care you received as fair or poor?
   1. Reasons ____________________

Q5. If you had to enter an emergency department again, would you prefer to return to this emergency department or go to a different emergency department?
   1. Prefer same emergency department
   2. Prefer different emergency department
   3. Depends on condition or reason for going
   X Don’t know
   R Refused

Environmental health question module
Now I have some questions about water usage.

Q1. What is your normal source of drinking water?
   1. Public water supply
   2. Bottled water
   3. Rainwater
   4. Private bore, spring or well
   5. Other private supply [for example, creek or farm dam]
   6. Combination of different water sources
   7. Other [SPECIFY]
   X Don’t know
   R Refused

Q2. Do you treat your water before drinking? [If Yes, how?]  
   1. No
   2. Sometimes
   3. Yes—Boiling
   4. Yes—Filtering
   5. Boil and filter
   6. Yes—Other [SPECIFY]
   X Don’t know
   R Refused

Q3. In the past 12 months has blue-green algae ever stopped you from using your usual recreational lake or river for purposes such as fishing, swimming or water skiing?
   1. Yes
   2. No
   3. I don’t use my local lake or river for recreational purposes
   X Don’t know
   R Refused

Q4. ‘Effluent’ is wastewater or sewage. ‘Treated effluent water’ is the water that comes from wastewater (or sewage) after treatment. Effluent water may be treated to a suitable standard and re-used (for example, for watering a golf course or on farms, or for flushing toilets). Which of the following do you support? [READ OUT—MULTIPLE RESPONSE]
   1. Re-use of treated effluent water directly into rivers and waterways to maintain water levels
   2. Re-use of treated effluent water in public parks and gardens
   3. Re-use of treated effluent water by combining it with drinking water supply in reservoirs
   4. Re-use of treated effluent water for crop irrigation
   5. None of the proposals

Environmental Risk (Home Environment)
Next I have some questions about your home environment.
Q5. What is the usual way you heat the living areas of your home?
1. A gas heater with flue (a pipe or vent to the outside)
2. A gas heater without a flue
3. An electric space heater—this includes oil column heaters.
4. Reverse cycle air conditioning
5. Slow burning combustion heater
6. An open fireplace
7. A kerosene heater
8. Other type of heater [SPECIFY]
9. Don’t have heating
X Don’t know
R Refused

Q6. What type of cook-top do you have?
1. Gas
2. Electric
3. No cook-top
4. Other [SPECIFY]
X Don’t know
R Refused

Q7. What type of oven do you have?
1. Gas
2. Electric
3. No oven
4. Other [SPECIFY]
X Don’t know
R Refused

Q8. How are steam and fumes removed when you cook?
1. An exhaust fan, connected outside or to the roof space
2. An exhaust fan where air is filtered and returned to the kitchen
3. A flue (small chimney to remove fumes outside) → Q10
4. Other [SPECIFY] → Q10
5. No means of removing fumes when cooking → Q10
X Don’t know → Q10
R Refused → Q10

Q9. How often do you use the fan when cooking? [READ OUT]
1. Always
2. Mostly
3. Sometimes
4. Rarely
5. Never
X Don’t know
R Refused

Q10. How often do you open windows or an external door when cooking? [READ OUT]
1. Always
2. Mostly
3. Sometimes
4. Rarely
5. Never
X Don’t know
R Refused

Q11. Do you have a garage?
1. Yes
2. No → END OF MODULE
X Don’t know → END OF MODULE
R Refused → END OF MODULE

Q12. Which of the following best describes the access to your garage? [READ OUT]
1. The garage can be accessed internally from the house
2. The garage is attached but there is no internal access from the house
3. The garage is separate
X Don’t know
R Refused

Environmental Risk (Mosquitoes)
Now I have some questions about protection from mosquitoes.

Q13. When mosquitoes are around, how often do you take measures to avoid being bitten? [READ OUT]
1. Always
2. Often
3. Sometimes
4. Rarely → Q15
5. Never → Q15
X Don’t know → Q15
R Refused → Q15

Q14. What measures do you take? [MULTIPLE RESPONSE]
1. Reduce breeding sites on your property or around the home → END OF MODULE
2. Use insect repellents such as Aerogard, Rid → END OF MODULE
3. Cover up exposed parts of the body → END OF MODULE
4. Stay indoors at dawn or dusk → END OF MODULE
5. Use screens or netting on windows and doors at home → END OF MODULE
6. Use mosquito zappers, insect lights or citronella candles → END OF MODULE
7. Insecticide → END OF MODULE
8. Other [SPECIFY] → END OF MODULE
   X Don’t know → END OF MODULE
   R Refused → END OF MODULE

Q15. Can you tell me the main reason that you don’t try to stop mosquitoes from biting you when they are around?
   1. Don’t get bitten
   2. The bites don’t bother me
   3. No mozzies around
   4. Can’t afford protection
   5. Don’t care or doesn’t matter if I get bitten
   6. Can’t be bothered
   7. Other [SPECIFY]
   X Don’t know
   R Refused

Hospital question module
The next questions are about your use of health services.

Q1. In the last 12 months, have you stayed for at least one night in hospital?
   1. Yes
   2. No → END OF MODULE
   X Don’t know → END OF MODULE
   R Refused → END OF MODULE

Q2. In which hospital was your most recent overnight stay?
   1. Name of hospital _______________

Q3. Can you tell me if that is a public or private hospital?
   1. Public hospital
   2. Private hospital
   3. Private hospital attached to a public hospital
   X Don’t know
   R Refused

Q4. During your overnight hospital admission were you admitted as a private or public patient?
   1. Private patient [that is, private health insurance]
   2. Public patient
   X Don’t know
   R Refused

Q5. Overall, what do you think of the care you received at this hospital? [READ OUT]
   1. Excellent → Q7
   2. Very good → Q7
   3. Good → Q7
   4. Fair
   5. Poor
   X Don’t know → Q7
   R Refused → Q7

Q6. Could you briefly describe why you rated the care you received as fair or poor?
   1. Description _______________

Q7. If you had to enter hospital again, would you prefer to return to this hospital or go to a different hospital?
   1. Prefer same hospital
   2. Prefer different hospital
   3. Depends on condition or reason for going
   X Don’t know
   R Refused

Q8. Did someone at this hospital tell you how to cope with your condition when you returned home?
   1. Yes
   2. No → END OF MODULE
   3. Not applicable → END OF MODULE
   X Don’t know
   R Refused

Immunisation question module
I now have a few questions about immunisation.

Q1. Has a health professional ever advised you to be vaccinated against flu?
   1. Yes
   2. No
   X Don’t know
   R Refused

Q2. Were you vaccinated or immunised against flu in the past 12 months?
   1. Yes
   2. No
   X Don’t know
   R Refused

Q3. Has a health professional ever advised you to be vaccinated against pneumonia?
   1. Yes
   2. No
   X Don’t know
   R Refused
Q4. When were you last vaccinated or immunised against pneumonia?
   1. Within the last 12 months
   2. 12 months to 5 years ago
   3. More than 5 years ago
   4. Never vaccinated
   X Don’t know
   R Refused

**Injury prevention question module**

The next few questions are about safety issues.

Q1. How many smoke alarms or detectors are installed in your home?
   1. Number of alarms [If 0 → END OF MODULE]
   X Don’t know → END OF MODULE
   R Refused → END OF MODULE

Q2. Has there ever been a fire in your home that has activated a smoke alarm or detector?
   1. Yes
   2. No → END OF MODULE
   X Don’t know → END OF MODULE
   R Refused → END OF MODULE

Q3. When was the last time this occurred?
   1. Within the last 12 months
   2. 1 year to 3 years ago
   3. More than 3 years ago
   X Don’t know
   R Refused

Q4. Thinking about the last time this happened, was the fire extinguished without calling the fire brigade?
   1. Yes
   2. No
   X Don’t know
   R Refused

**Work-related injury question module**

The next few questions are about any injuries you may have received at work in the last 12 months.

Q1. Have you been employed in the last 12 months?
   1. Yes
   2. No → END OF MODULE
   X Don’t know → END OF MODULE
   R Refused → END OF MODULE

Q2. In the last 12 months have you suffered any injury or illness related to work?
   1. Yes
   2. No → END OF MODULE
   3. Don’t work → END OF MODULE
   X Don’t know → END OF MODULE

Q5. What type of injury or illness was this? If more than one, please report the most recent injury or illness.
   1. Specify injury ______________

Q4. Did you receive medical treatment or professional health care for this injury or illness?
   1. Yes
   2. No → Q6
   X Don’t know → Q6
   R Refused → Q6

Q6. How many days off work did you take for this injury or illness?
   1. None
   2. 1–4 days
   3. 5–30 days
   4. 30 days or more
   X Don’t know
   R Refused

Q7. What industry were you working in at the time of this injury or illness?
   1. Specify Industry______________

Q8. Did you receive workers compensation for this injury or illness?
   1. Yes
   2. No
   3. Pending
   X Don’t know
   R Refused

**Mental health question module**

The next ten questions are about how you have been feeling in the past 4 weeks

Q1. In the past 4 weeks, about how often did you feel tired out for no good reason? [READ OUT]
   1. All of the time
   2. Most of the time
3. Some of the time
4. A little of the time
5. None of the time
X Don’t know
R Refused

Q2. In the past 4 weeks, about how often did you feel nervous? [READ OUT]
   1. All of the time
   2. Most of the time
   3. Some of the time
   4. A little of the time
   5. None of the time → Q4
   X Don’t know → Q4
   R Refused → Q4

Q3. In the past 4 weeks, about how often did you feel so nervous that nothing could calm you down? [READ OUT]
   1. All of the time
   2. Most of the time
   3. Some of the time
   4. A little of the time
   5. None of the time
   X Don’t know
   R Refused

Q4. In the past 4 weeks, about how often did you feel hopeless? [READ OUT]
   1. All of the time
   2. Most of the time
   3. Some of the time
   4. A little of the time
   5. None of the time
   X Don’t know
   R Refused

Q5. In the past 4 weeks, about how often did you feel restless or fidgety? [READ OUT]
   1. All of the time
   2. Most of the time
   3. Some of the time
   4. A little of the time
   5. None of the time → Q7
   X Don’t know → Q7
   R Refused → Q7

Q6. In the past 4 weeks, about how often did you feel so restless you could not sit still? [READ OUT]
   1. All of the time
   2. Most of the time
   3. Some of the time
   4. A little of the time
   5. None of the time
   X Don’t know
   R Refused

Q7. In the past 4 weeks, about how often did you feel depressed? [READ OUT]
   1. All of the time
   2. Most of the time
   3. Some of the time
   4. A little of the time
   5. None of the time
   X Don’t know
   R Refused

Q8. In the past 4 weeks, about how often did you feel that everything was an effort? [READ OUT]
   1. All of the time
   2. Most of the time
   3. Some of the time
   4. A little of the time
   5. None of the time
   X Don’t know
   R Refused

Q9. In the past 4 weeks, about how often did you feel so sad that nothing could cheer you up? [READ OUT]
   1. All of the time
   2. Most of the time
   3. Some of the time
   4. A little of the time
   5. None of the time
   X Don’t know
   R Refused

Q10. In the past 4 weeks, about how often did you feel worthless? [READ OUT]
    1. All of the time
    2. Most of the time
    3. Some of the time
    4. A little of the time
    5. None of the time
    X Don’t know
    R Refused

Q11. In the last 4 weeks, about how often did you feel happy? [READ OUT]
    1. All of the time
    2. Most of the time
    3. Some of the time
    4. A little of the time
    5. None of the time
    X Don’t know
    R Refused

Q12. In the last 4 weeks, about how often did you feel calm and peaceful? [READ OUT]
    1. All of the time
    2. Most of the time
    3. Some of the time
4. A little of the time
5. None of the time
X Don’t know
R Refused

Q13. In the last four weeks about how often have you felt bored? [READ OUT]
   1. All of the time
   2. Most of the time
   3. Some of the time
   4. A little of the time
   5. None of the time
X Don’t know
R Refused

Q14. In the last four weeks about how often have you felt lonely? [READ OUT]
   1. All of the time
   2. Most of the time
   3. Some of the time
   4. A little of the time
   5. None of the time
X Don’t know
R Refused

Q15. In the last 4 weeks, how many days were you TOTALLY UNABLE to work, study or manage your day-to-day activities because of these feelings?
   1. ___ Number of days

Q16. Aside from [that day–those (#) days], in the last 4 weeks, how many days were you ABLE to work, study or manage your day-to-day activities, but had to CUT DOWN on what you did because of these feelings?
   1. ___ Number of days

Q17. In the last 4 weeks, how many times have you seen a doctor or other health professional about these feelings?
   1. ___ Number of consultations

Q18. In the last 4 weeks, how often have physical health problems been the main cause of these feelings?[READ OUT]
   1. All of the time
   2. Most of the time
   3. Some of the time
   4. A little of the time
   5. None of the time
X Don’t know
R Refused

Nutrition question module

The next few questions are about food. I’m going to read you a list of different food and drinks. Please tell me how much of these foods and drinks you usually consume per day or per week.
Q7. How many cups of cooked pasta, rice, noodles or other cooked cereals do you usually eat each week [not including cooked breakfast cereals]?  
1. ___ Cups per day  
2. ___ Cups per week  
3. Don’t eat these foods  
X Don’t know  
R Refused  

Q8. How often do you eat pasta, rice, noodles or other cooked cereals [not including cooked breakfast cereals]?  
1. ___ Times per day  
2. ___ Times per week  
3. ___ Times per month  
4. Rarely or never  
X Don’t know  
R Refused  

Q9. What type of milk do you usually have?  
1. Regular milk (whole or full cream)  
2. Low or reduced fat milk  
3. Skim milk  
4. Evaporated or sweetened milk  
5. Other [SPECIFY]  
6. Don’t have milk  
X Don’t know  
R Refused  

Q10. How often do you eat processed meat products such as sausages, frankfurts, devon, salami, meat pies, bacon or ham?  
1. ___ Times per day  
2. ___ Times per week  
3. ___ Times per month  
4. Rarely or never  
X Don’t know  
R Refused  

Q11. How often do you eat chips, french fries, wedges, fried potatoes or crisps?  
1. ___ Times per day  
2. ___ Times per week  
3. ___ Times per month  
4. Rarely or never  
X Don’t know  
R Refused  

Oral health question module  
The next questions are about your teeth and dental health.  

Q1. Are any of your natural teeth missing?  
1. Yes—have some natural teeth missing  
2. Yes—have all natural teeth missing  
3. No—have no natural teeth missing  
→ Q3  
X Don’t know → Q3  
R Refused → Q3  

Q2. Do you have dentures or false teeth?  
1. Yes  
2. No  
X Don’t know  
R Refused  

Q3. In the last 12 months, how often have you had a toothache or other problem with your mouth or dentures? [READ OUT]  
1. Very often  
2. Often  
3. Sometimes  
4. Hardly ever  
5. Never (during the last 12 months)  
→ Q7  
X Don’t know → Q7  
R Refused → Q7  

Q4. In the last four weeks, how often have you had a toothache or other problem with your mouth or dentures? [READ OUT]  
1. Very often  
2. Often  
3. Sometimes  
4. Never (during the last 4 weeks)  
X Don’t know  
R Refused  

Q5. What was the most recent problem you had?  
1. Toothache  
2. Bleeding gums  
3. Loose or broken tooth or other problem as a result of an injury  
4. Loose or broken tooth—not due to injury  
5. Lost a filling  
6. Problem with jaw or bite  
7. Other [SPECIFY]  
X Don’t know  
R Refused  

Q6. What treatment did you receive for [problem in Q5]? [MULTIPLE RESPONSE]  
1. Check up → Q8  
2. Dental filling → Q8  
3. Amalgam replacement → Q8  
4. Root canal filling → Q8  
5. Crown → Q8  
6. Tooth extracted → Q8  
7. Fluoride treatment → Q8  
8. Gum treatment → Q8  
9. Teeth straightened or braces → Q8
10. New or replacement dentures → Q8
11. Teeth cleaned → Q8
12. Fissure sealant → Q8
13. Whitening or bleaching → Q8
14. Denture repair → Q8
15. None—Did not visit dentist
16. Other treatment [SPECIFY] → Q8
X Don’t know → Q8
R Refused → Q8

Q7. When did you last visit a dental professional about your teeth, dentures or gums? [A dental professional includes dentist, dental specialist, dental hygienist, dental technician, dental mechanic, dentist or dental therapist] [READ OUT]
1. Less than 12 months ago
2. 1 year to less than 2 years ago → Q9
3. 2 to less than 5 years ago → Q9
4. 5 to less than 10 years ago → Q9
5. 10 years ago or more → Q9
6. Never → Q9
X Don’t know → Q9
R Refused → END OF MODULE

Q8. Where was your last dental visit made? [READ OUT]
1. Government dental clinic or hospital → END OF MODULE
2. School dental service (SOKS) → END OF MODULE
3. Dental technician (includes dental mechanic and denturist practising independently of a dentist) → END OF MODULE
4. Other [SPECIFY] → END OF MODULE
X Don’t know → END OF MODULE
R Refused → END OF MODULE

Q9. What are the main reasons for you not visiting the dentist in the last 12 months? [MULTIPLE RESPONSE]
1. Respondent has dentures
2. Worried or afraid of going; don’t like going
3. Don’t need to
4. Hard to find time
5. Can’t find a dentist I like
6. Too expensive
7. Too far to go
8. Long waiting lists
9. Dentist has moved or retired
10. Other [SPECIFY]
X Don’t know → Q8
R Refused

**Overweight and obesity question module**

Now a few questions about height and weight.

Q1. How tall are you without shoes?
   1. ___ Centimetres
      X Don’t know
      R Refused
   OR
   1. ___ Feet ___ Inches
      X Don’t know
      R Refused

Q2. How much do you weigh without clothes or shoes?
   1. ___ Kilograms
      X Don’t know
      R Refused
   OR
   1. ___ Stones ___ Lbs
      X Don’t know
      R Refused

Q3. Do you consider yourself to be: [READ OUT]
   1. Acceptable weight
   2. Underweight
   3. Overweight
      X Don’t know
      R Refused

Q4. How often do you weigh yourself?
   1. At least once a day
   2. Several times a week
   3. About once a week
   4. About once or twice a month
   5. A few times a year
   6. I never weigh myself
      X Don’t know
      R Refused

**Physical activity question module**

Now I’m going to ask some questions about the physical activity you did in the last week.

Q1. In the last week, how many times have you walked continuously for at least 10 minutes for recreation or exercise or to get to or from places?
   1. Number of times [If =0 → Q3]
      X Don’t know → Q3
      R Refused → Q3

Q2. What do you estimate was the total time you spent walking in this way in the last week? [In hours and minutes]
   1. ___ Hours ___ Minutes
      X Don’t know
      R Refused
Q3. The next question does not include gardening. In the last week, how many times did you do any vigorous household chores which made you breathe harder or puff and pant?
   1. Number of times [If =0 → Q5]
   X Don’t know → Q5
   R Refused → Q5

Q4. What do you estimate was the total time you spent doing these vigorous household chores in the last week? [In hours and minutes]
   1. ___ Hours ___ Minutes
   X Don’t know
   R Refused

Q5. In the last week, how many times did you do any vigorous gardening or heavy work around the yard which made you breathe harder or puff and pant?
   1. Number of times [If =0 → Q7]
   X Don’t know → Q7
   R Refused → Q7

Q6. What do you estimate was the total time you spent doing vigorous gardening or heavy work around the yard in the last week? [In hours and minutes]
   1. ___ Hours ___ Minutes
   X Don’t know
   R Refused

Q7. The next question excludes household chores or gardening. In the last week, how many times did you do any vigorous physical activity which made you breathe harder or puff and pant? [For example: football, tennis, netball, squash, athletics, cycling, jogging, keep-fit exercises and vigorous swimming]
   1. Number of times [If =0 → Q9]
   X Don’t know → Q9
   R Refused → Q9

Q8. What do you estimate was the total time you spent doing this vigorous physical activity in the last week? [In hours and minutes]
   1. ___ Hours ___ Minutes
   X Don’t know
   R Refused

Q9. This next question does not include household chores or gardening. In the last week, how many times did you do any other more moderate physical activity that you haven’t already mentioned? [For example: lawn bowls, golf, tai chi, and sailing]
   1. Number of times [If =0 → END OF MODULE]
   X Don’t know → END OF MODULE
   R Refused → END OF MODULE

Q10. What do you estimate was the total time that you spent doing these activities in the last week? [In hours and minutes]
    1. ___ Hours ___ Minutes
    X Don’t know
    R Refused

Public dental service question module

The next questions are about your use of health services.

Q1. In the last 12 months have you attended a public (government run) dental service or dental hospital?
   1. Yes
   2. No → END OF MODULE
   X Don’t know → END OF MODULE
   R Refused → END OF MODULE

Q2. Overall, what do you think of the care you received at the public dental service? [READ OUT]
    1. Excellent → Q4
    2. Very Good → Q4
    3. Good → Q4
    4. Fair
    5. Poor
    X Don’t know → Q4
    R Refused → Q4

Q3. Could you briefly describe why you rated the care you received as fair or poor?
    1. Description _________________

Q4. If you had to use a public dental service again, would you prefer to return to this same public dental service or go to a different public dental service?
   1. Prefer same public dental service
   2. Prefer different public dental service
   3. Depends on condition or reason for going
   X Don’t know
   R Refused

Q5. Did someone at this Public Dental Service tell you how to cope with your condition when you returned home?
   1. Yes
   2. No → END OF MODULE
   3. Not applicable → END OF MODULE
   X Don’t know → END OF MODULE
   R Refused → END OF MODULE

Q6. How adequate was this information once you went home? [READ OUT]
   1. Very adequate
   2. Adequate
   3. Inadequate
4. Completely inadequate
X Don’t know
R Refused

Self-rated health status question module
Now I am going to read some statements about aspects of your health.

Q1. Overall, how would you rate your health during the past 4 weeks? [READ OUT]
   1. Excellent
   2. Very good
   3. Good
   4. Fair
   5. Poor
   6. Very poor
   X Don’t know
   R Refused

Q2. During the past 4 weeks, how much did physical health problems limit your activities such as walking or climbing stairs? [READ OUT]
   1. Not at all
   2. Very little
   3. Somewhat
   4. Quite a lot
   5. Could not do physical activities
   X Don’t know
   R Refused

Q3. During the past 4 weeks, how much difficulty did you have doing your daily work, both at home and away from home, because of your physical health? [READ OUT]
   1. None at all
   2. A little bit
   3. Some
   4. Quite a lot
   5. Could not do daily work
   X Don’t know
   R Refused

Q4. How much bodily pain have you had during the past 4 weeks? [READ OUT]
   1. None
   2. Very mild
   3. Mild
   4. Moderate
   5. Severe
   6. Very severe
   X Don’t know
   R Refused

Q5. During the past 4 weeks, how much energy did you have? [READ OUT]
   1. Very much
   2. Quite a lot
   3. Some
   4. A little
   5. None
   X Don’t know
   R Refused

Q6. During the past 4 weeks, how much did your physical health or emotional problems limit your usual social activities with family or friends? [READ OUT]
   1. Not at all
   2. Very little
   3. Somewhat
   4. Quite a lot
   5. Could not do social activities
   X Don’t know
   R Refused

Q7. During the past 4 weeks, how much have you been bothered by emotional problems (such as feeling anxious, depressed or irritable)? [READ OUT]
   1. Not at all
   2. Slightly
   3. Moderately
   4. Quite a lot
   5. Extremely
   X Don’t know
   R Refused

Q8. During the past 4 weeks, how much did personal or emotional problems keep you from doing your usual work, school or other daily activities? [READ OUT]
   1. Not at all
   2. Very little
   3. Somewhat
   4. Quite a lot
   5. Could not do daily activities
   X Don’t know
   R Refused

Smoking question module

Q1. A ban on smoking in most enclosed public places (not including pubs and clubs) was introduced in September 2000. This ban includes places such as shopping centres, restaurants and cafes, common areas in hostels and motels, and community halls. In the past month, how often would you say that you saw people smoking indoors in these types of places? [READ OUT]
   1. Often
   2. Occasionally
   3. Rarely → Q3
   4. Never → Q3
Q2. In which indoor public places have you seen someone smoking? [MULTIPLE RESPONSE]
1. Shopping centre, mall or plaza
2. Shop
3. Restaurant, café or other eating place (NOT in a pub or club)
4. Business premises (for example, offices or factory)
5. Theatre, cinema, library or gallery
6. On a train or in a train station
7. Public transport (other, including private coach lines)
8. Airport
9. Accommodation (hotel, hostel or other)
10. Community hall or bingo hall
11. Fitness centre, bowling alley or other sporting and recreational facility
12. School, college or university
13. Childcare facility
14. Hospital
15. Non-smoking registered club–pub–nightclub
16. Other [SPECIFY]
X Don’t know
R Refused

Q3. Which of the following best describes your smoking status? [READ OUT]
1. I smoke daily
2. I smoke occasionally
3. I don’t smoke now, but I used to → Q5
4. I’ve tried it a few times but never smoked regularly → Q5
5. I’ve never smoked → Q5
X Don’t know
R Refused

Q5. Which of the following best describes your home situation? [READ OUT]
1. My home is smoke free (includes smoking is allowed outside only)
2. People occasionally smoke in the house
3. People frequently smoke in the house
X Don’t know
R Refused

Q6. Can you tell me what percentage of the population you think are smokers?
1. Percentage ___%
X Don’t know
R Refused

Social capital question module
The next questions are about your involvement in your local community and neighbourhood.

Q1. In the past three months, how often have you helped out any local group or organisation such as a school, scouts and brownies, a sporting club, or hospital as a volunteer, or other organisation? [READ OUT]
1. About once a week
2. Once every 2–3 weeks
3. Once a month or less
4. No, not at all
X Don’t know
R Refused

Q2. In the past six months, how often have you attended a local community event such as a church or school fete, school concert, or a street fair? [READ OUT]
1. Three times or more
2. Twice
3. Once
4. Never
X Don’t know
R Refused

Q3. Are you an active member of a local organisation, church or club, such as a sport, craft, or social club? [READ OUT]
1. Yes, very active
2. Yes, somewhat active
3. Yes, a little active
4. No, not an active member
X Don’t know
R Refused
Q4. I’m now going to read you some statements about safety in your local area. Can you please tell me if you agree or disagree with these statements. I feel safe walking down my street after dark. Do you agree or disagree?  
   1. Strongly agree  
   2. Agree  
   3. Disagree  
   4. Strongly disagree  
   X Don’t know  
   R Refused

Q5. Most people can be trusted. Do you agree or disagree?  
   1. Strongly agree  
   2. Agree  
   3. Disagree  
   4. Strongly disagree  
   X Don’t know  
   R Refused

Q6. My area has a reputation for being a safe place. Do you agree or disagree?  
   1. Strongly agree  
   2. Agree  
   3. Disagree  
   4. Strongly disagree  
   X Don’t know  
   R Refused

Q7. If you were caring for a child and needed to go out for a while, and could not take the child with you, would you ask someone in your neighbourhood for help? [READ OUT]  
   1. Yes, definitely  
   2. Yes, possibly  
   3. No, probably not  
   4. No, definitely not  
   X Don’t know  
   R Refused

Q8. How often have you visited someone in your neighbourhood in the past week? [READ OUT]  
   1. Frequently  
   2. A few times  
   3. At least once  
   4. Never (in the last week)  
   X Don’t know  
   R Refused

Q9. When you go shopping in your local area how often are you likely to run into friends and acquaintances? [READ OUT]  
   1. Nearly always  
   2. Most of the time  
   3. Some of the time  
   4. Rarely or never  
   X Don’t know  
   R Refused

Q10. Would you be sad if you had to leave this neighbourhood?  
   1. Yes  
   2. No  
   X Don’t know  
   R Refused